

## Volume 1 Contents

1.0	Introduction.....	1.0-1
1.1	Purpose and Scope .....	1.0-2
1.2	Groundwater Monitoring.....	1.0-3
1.3	Shoreline Monitoring.....	1.0-4
1.4	Compliance Summary for CERCLA Operable Units .....	1.0-5
1.4.1	200-BP-5 Operable Unit .....	1.0-5
1.4.2	200-PO-1 Operable Unit.....	1.0-6
1.4.3	200-UP-1 Operable Unit.....	1.0-6
1.4.4	200-ZP-1 Operable Unit .....	1.0-7
1.4.5	100-BC-5 Operable Unit.....	1.0-7
1.4.6	100-KR-4 Operable Unit.....	1.0-8
1.4.7	100-NR-2 Operable Unit .....	1.0-8
1.4.8	100-HR-3 Operable Unit .....	1.0-9
1.4.9	100-FR-3 Operable Unit .....	1.0-9
1.4.10	300-FF-5 Operable Unit.....	1.0-10
1.4.11	1100-EM-1 Operable Unit .....	1.0-10
1.5	Compliance Summary for Regulated Facilities .....	1.0-11
1.5.1	Low-Level Waste Management Area 1.....	1.0-12
1.5.2	Low-Level Waste Management Area 2.....	1.0-12
1.5.3	Waste Management Area B-BX-BY.....	1.0-13
1.5.4	Waste Management Area C.....	1.0-13
1.5.5	Liquid Effluent Retention Facility.....	1.0-13
1.5.6	216-B-63 Trench.....	1.0-13
1.5.7	Integrated Disposal Facility.....	1.0-14
1.5.8	RCRA PUREX Cribs.....	1.0-14
1.5.9	Waste Management Area A-AX.....	1.0-14
1.5.10	216-A-29 Ditch .....	1.0-14
1.5.11	216-B-3 Pond .....	1.0-14
1.5.12	Nonradioactive Dangerous Waste Landfill .....	1.0-15
1.5.13	Solid Waste Landfill .....	1.0-15
1.5.14	200 Areas Treated Effluent Disposal Facility.....	1.0-15
1.5.15	Waste Management Area S-SX.....	1.0-15
1.5.16	Waste Management Area U.....	1.0-16
1.5.17	216-S-10 Pond and Ditch.....	1.0-16
1.5.18	Low-Level Waste Management Area 3.....	1.0-16
1.5.19	Low-Level Waste Management Area 4.....	1.0-16
1.5.20	Waste Management Area T .....	1.0-17
1.5.21	Waste Management Area TX-TY .....	1.0-17
1.5.22	State-Approved Land Disposal Site .....	1.0-18
1.5.23	116-N-1 Liquid Waste Disposal Facility.....	1.0-18
1.5.24	116-N-3 Liquid Waste Disposal Facility.....	1.0-18
1.5.25	120-N-1 Percolation Pond and 120-N-2 Surface Impoundment.....	1.0-18
1.5.26	183-H Solar Evaporation Basins .....	1.0-19
1.5.27	Former 300 Area Process Trenches (316-5 Waste Site).....	1.0-20
1.6	CERCLA Five-Year Review .....	1.0-20
1.7	Remediation Decision Support Function .....	1.0-20
1.8	EM-22 Technology Proposals.....	1.0-21
1.9	New Tri-Party Agreement Milestones for Groundwater.....	1.0-22
1.10	Quality Control Summary .....	1.0-22
1.11	Related Reports.....	1.0-23

1.12 Conventions Used in This Report .....	1.0-24
1.13 Sources of Additional Information .....	1.0-26
2.0 Overview of Groundwater Flow .....	2.0-1
2.1 March 2009 Water Table Map.....	2.0-1
2.2 Water Table Change from March 2008 .....	2.0-4
2.3 200 East Area Hydraulic Gradient Evaluation .....	2.0-4
2.3.1 Low-Level Waste Management Area 1.....	2.0-5
2.3.2 Integrated Disposal Facility/PUREX Cribs.....	2.0-6
2.3.3 Low-Level Waste Management Area 2.....	2.0-7
3.0 Overview of Hanford Hydrogeology.....	3.0-1
3.1 Stratigraphic Setting.....	3.0-1
3.1.1 Columbia River Basalt Group .....	3.0-2
3.1.2 Ringold Formation .....	3.0-3
3.1.3 Cold Creek Unit .....	3.0-6
3.1.4 Hanford Formation .....	3.0-6
3.1.5 Clastic Dikes.....	3.0-8
3.1.6 Holocene Surficial Deposits .....	3.0-8
3.2 Hydrologic Setting.....	3.0-8
3.2.1 Confined Aquifer.....	3.0-9
3.2.2 Unconfined Aquifer .....	3.0-9
3.2.3 Vadose Zone .....	3.0-10
4.0 200-BP-5 Operable Unit .....	4.0-1
4.1 Conceptual Model .....	4.0-2
4.2 Groundwater Contaminants .....	4.0-4
4.2.1 Nitrate.....	4.0-5
4.2.1.1 Wells at the B Complex and Extending Northwest Beyond the 200 East Area and Beyond Well 699-49-57A.....	4.0-6
4.2.1.2 216-B-62 Crib and North Along Western Side of Low-Level Waste Management Area 1 ....	4.0-6
4.2.1.3 Wells 699-53-55A, B, and C Near Gable Mountain/Gable Butte Gap .....	4.0-7
4.2.1.4 Wells Monitoring Gable Mountain Pond .....	4.0-8
4.2.1.5 Wells Monitoring B Plant .....	4.0-8
4.2.1.6 216-B-5 Injection Well and 216-B-9 Crib.....	4.0-8
4.2.1.7 Wells at Waste Management Area C.....	4.0-8
4.2.1.8 Wells at Low-Level Waste Management Area 2.....	4.0-9
4.2.2 Iodine-129.....	4.0-9
4.2.2.1 Wells at the B Complex and Extending Northwest Beyond the 200 East Area and Beyond Well 699-49-57A.....	4.0-9
4.2.2.2 Wells Monitoring 216-B-5 Injection Well and 216-B-9 Crib .....	4.0-10
4.2.2.3 Wells Monitoring Waste Management Area C.....	4.0-10
4.2.2.4 Wells Monitoring Low-Level Waste Management Area 2 .....	4.0-10
4.2.2.5 Wells Monitoring B Pond Wells .....	4.0-11
4.2.3 Technetium-99 .....	4.0-11
4.2.3.1 Wells at the B Complex and Extending Northwest Beyond the 200 East Area and Beyond Well 699-49-57A.....	4.0-11
4.2.3.2 Wells 699-53-55A, B, and C Near Gable Gap.....	4.0-12
4.2.3.3 Wells at Waste Management Area C.....	4.0-13
4.2.4 Uranium.....	4.0-13
4.2.4.1 Wells at the B Complex and Northwest Beyond the 200 East Area .....	4.0-13
4.2.4.2 Wells Near 216-B-62 Crib and North Along the Western Side of Low-Level Waste Management Area 1 .....	4.0-14

4.2.4.3	Well Near the 216-B-5 Injection Well .....	4.0-15
4.2.4.4	Wells Monitoring B Plant .....	4.0-15
4.2.5	Strontium-90 .....	4.0-15
4.2.6	Cyanide.. .....	4.0-16
4.2.7	Cesium-137 .....	4.0-16
4.2.8	Plutonium-239/240.....	4.0-17
4.2.9	Tritium... .....	4.0-17
4.2.10	Sulfate.....	4.0-18
	4.2.10.1 BY Crib Wells .....	4.0-18
	4.2.10.2 Wells 299-E33-9 and 299-E33-31 Within and Along the Northwest Boundary of Waste Management Area BY .....	4.0-18
	4.2.10.3 Well 299-E27-14 at Waste Management Area C .....	4.0-18
	4.2.10.4 Well 299-E27-10 at Low-Level Waste Management Area 2 .....	4.0-18
4.3	CERCLA Groundwater Activities .....	4.0-19
4.4	Facility Monitoring .....	4.0-21
4.4.1	Low-Level Waste Management Area 1.....	4.0-21
4.4.1.1	Network Evaluation .....	4.0-22
4.4.1.2	Groundwater Contaminants .....	4.0-22
4.4.1.3	Compliance Status .....	4.0-24
4.4.2	Low-Level Waste Management Area 2.....	4.0-24
4.4.2.1	Network Evaluation .....	4.0-24
4.4.2.2	Groundwater Contaminants .....	4.0-25
4.4.2.3	Compliance Status .....	4.0-26
4.4.3	Waste Management Area B-BX-BY .....	4.0-26
4.4.3.1	Network Evaluation .....	4.0-27
4.4.3.2	Groundwater Contaminants .....	4.0-27
4.4.3.3	Compliance Status .....	4.0-31
4.4.4	Waste Management Area C.....	4.0-31
4.4.4.1	Network Evaluation .....	4.0-31
4.4.4.2	Groundwater Contaminants .....	4.0-32
4.4.4.3	Compliance Status .....	4.0-34
4.4.5	Liquid Effluent Retention Facility.....	4.0-35
4.4.5.1	Network Evaluation .....	4.0-36
4.4.5.2	Groundwater Contaminants .....	4.0-36
4.4.5.3	Compliance Status .....	4.0-36
4.4.6	216-B-63 Trench .....	4.0-37
4.4.6.1	Network Evaluation .....	4.0-37
4.4.6.2	Groundwater Contaminants .....	4.0-38
4.4.6.3	Compliance Status .....	4.0-39
4.5	Conclusions and Recommendations.....	4.0-39
4.5.1	Conclusions .....	4.0-39
4.5.2	Recommendations .....	4.0-40
5.0	200-PO-1 Operable Unit .....	5.0-1
5.1	Conceptual Model .....	5.0-2
5.2	Groundwater Contaminants .....	5.0-4
5.2.1	Tritium... .....	5.0-4
5.2.2	Iodine-129.....	5.0-5
5.2.3	Nitrate.... .....	5.0-6
5.2.4	Strontium-90 .....	5.0-7
5.2.5	Technetium-99 .....	5.0-7
5.2.6	Uranium.....	5.0-7
5.2.7	Other Constituents.....	5.0-8

5.3	CERCLA Groundwater Activities .....	5.0-9
5.3.1	CERCLA Decision Documents .....	5.0-9
5.3.2	Remedial Investigation/Feasibility Study .....	5.0-10
5.3.3	CERCLA Monitoring Results .....	5.0-12
5.3.3.1	Results from Well Transects (Guard Wells) of the Routine Sampling and Analysis Plan .....	5.0-12
5.3.3.2	Results from Remedial Investigation Characterization Wells (Characterization Sampling and Analysis Plan Wells) .....	5.0-13
5.3.3.3	Results from Wells Scheduled for Decommissioning (Characterization Sampling and Analysis Plan – Table A3-3) .....	5.0-16
5.4	Facility Monitoring .....	5.0-17
5.4.1	Integrated Disposal Facility (Immobilized Low-Activity Waste) .....	5.0-17
5.4.1.1	Constituent List and Sampling Frequency .....	5.0-18
5.4.1.2	Network Evaluation .....	5.0-19
5.4.1.3	Groundwater Contaminants .....	5.0-19
5.4.1.4	Compliance Status .....	5.0-19
5.4.2	RCRA PUREX Cribs .....	5.0-19
5.4.2.1	Constituent List and Sampling Frequency .....	5.0-20
5.4.2.2	Network Evaluation .....	5.0-21
5.4.2.3	Groundwater Contaminants .....	5.0-21
5.4.2.4	Compliance Status .....	5.0-22
5.4.3	Waste Management Area A-AX .....	5.0-22
5.4.3.1	Constituent List and Sampling Frequency .....	5.0-23
5.4.3.2	Network Evaluation .....	5.0-23
5.4.3.3	Groundwater Contaminants .....	5.0-23
5.4.3.4	Compliance Status .....	5.0-23
5.4.4	216-A-29 Ditch .....	5.0-24
5.4.4.1	Constituent List and Sampling Frequency .....	5.0-25
5.4.4.2	Network Evaluation .....	5.0-25
5.4.4.3	Groundwater Contaminants .....	5.0-26
5.4.4.4	Compliance Status .....	5.0-26
5.4.5	216-B-3 Pond .....	5.0-27
5.4.5.1	Constituent List and Sampling Frequency .....	5.0-29
5.4.5.2	Network Evaluation .....	5.0-29
5.4.5.3	Groundwater Contaminants .....	5.0-30
5.4.5.4	Compliance Status .....	5.0-30
5.4.6	Nonradioactive Dangerous Waste Landfill .....	5.0-30
5.4.6.1	Constituent List and Sampling Frequency .....	5.0-32
5.4.6.2	Network Evaluation .....	5.0-32
5.4.6.3	Groundwater Contaminants .....	5.0-32
5.4.6.4	Compliance Status .....	5.0-33
5.4.7	Solid Waste Landfill (600 Area Central Landfill) .....	5.0-33
5.4.7.1	Leachate and Soil Vapor Monitoring .....	5.0-33
5.4.7.2	Groundwater Monitoring .....	5.0-34
5.4.7.3	Compliance Status .....	5.0-36
5.4.8	200 Areas Treated Effluent Disposal Facility .....	5.0-36
5.4.8.1	Constituent List and Sampling Frequency .....	5.0-37
5.4.8.2	Network Evaluation .....	5.0-37
5.4.8.3	Groundwater Contaminants .....	5.0-37
5.4.8.4	Compliance Status .....	5.0-37
5.4.9	400 Area Water Supply Wells .....	5.0-37
5.5	Conclusions and Recommendations .....	5.0-38
5.5.1	Conclusions .....	5.0-38
5.5.2	Recommendations .....	5.0-40

---

6.0	200-UP-1 Operable Unit.....	6.0-1
6.1	Conceptual Model .....	6.0-2
6.2	Groundwater Contaminants .....	6.0-3
6.2.1	Technetium-99 .....	6.0-3
6.2.2	Uranium.....	6.0-5
6.2.3	Tritium.....	6.0-6
6.2.4	Iodine-129.....	6.0-7
6.2.5	Nitrate.....	6.0-7
6.2.6	Chromium.....	6.0-9
6.2.7	Chlorinated Hydrocarbons .....	6.0-9
6.2.8	Strontium-90.....	6.0-10
6.2.9	Other Constituents.....	6.0-11
6.3	CERCLA Groundwater Activities .....	6.0-12
6.3.1	CERCLA Decision Document.....	6.0-13
6.3.2	200-UP-1 Pump-and-Treat System .....	6.0-14
6.3.2.1	Changes in 2009 .....	6.0-15
6.3.2.2	Extraction System Performance.....	6.0-15
6.3.2.3	Capture Zone Analysis .....	6.0-16
6.3.2.4	Treatment System Performance .....	6.0-18
6.3.2.5	Compliance Monitoring .....	6.0-18
6.3.3	Extended Purging of Well 299-W23-19 .....	6.0-20
6.4	Facility Monitoring .....	6.0-20
6.4.1	Waste Management Area S-SX.....	6.0-21
6.4.1.1	Constituent List and Sample Frequency .....	6.0-21
6.4.1.2	Network Evaluation .....	6.0-22
6.4.1.3	Groundwater Contaminants .....	6.0-22
6.4.1.4	Compliance Status .....	6.0-24
6.4.2	Waste Management Area U.....	6.0-24
6.4.2.1	Constituent List and Sample Frequency .....	6.0-24
6.4.2.2	Network Evaluation .....	6.0-25
6.4.2.3	Groundwater Contaminants .....	6.0-25
6.4.2.4	Compliance Status .....	6.0-26
6.4.3	216-S-10 Pond and Ditch.....	6.0-26
6.4.3.1	Constituent List and Sample Frequency .....	6.0-27
6.4.3.2	Network Evaluation .....	6.0-27
6.4.3.3	Groundwater Contaminants .....	6.0-28
6.4.3.4	Compliance Status .....	6.0-29
6.4.4	Environmental Restoration Disposal Facility.....	6.0-30
6.4.4.1	Leachate Monitoring.....	6.0-30
6.4.4.2	Groundwater Monitoring.....	6.0-31
6.5	Conclusions and Recommendations.....	6.0-32
7.0	200-ZP-1 Operable Unit.....	7.0-1
7.1	Conceptual Model .....	7.0-1
7.2	Groundwater Contaminants .....	7.0-3
7.2.1	Carbon Tetrachloride .....	7.0-3
7.2.2	Trichloroethene.....	7.0-4
7.2.3	Nitrate.....	7.0-5
7.2.4	Chromium.....	7.0-5
7.2.5	Tritium.....	7.0-6
7.2.6	Iodine-129.....	7.0-6
7.2.7	Technetium-99 .....	7.0-6
7.2.8	Other Constituents.....	7.0-7

7.3	CERCLA Groundwater Activities .....	7.0-8
7.3.1	CERCLA Decision Documents .....	7.0-9
7.3.2	Pump-and-Treat System for Carbon Tetrachloride .....	7.0-9
7.3.2.1	Changes in 2009 .....	7.0-10
7.3.2.2	Extraction System Performance .....	7.0-11
7.3.2.3	Capture Zone Analysis .....	7.0-11
7.3.2.4	Treatment System Performance .....	7.0-14
7.3.2.5	Compliance Monitoring .....	7.0-14
7.3.2.6	Carbon Tetrachloride Monitoring Results .....	7.0-16
7.3.2.7	Secondary Contaminants .....	7.0-16
7.3.2.8	Historical Plume Trends .....	7.0-17
7.3.3	Pump-and-Treat System for Technetium-99 .....	7.0-18
7.3.3.1	Changes in 2009 .....	7.0-18
7.3.3.2	Extraction System Performance .....	7.0-18
7.3.3.3	Capture Zone Analysis .....	7.0-19
7.3.3.4	Treatment System Performance .....	7.0-20
7.3.3.5	Compliance Monitoring .....	7.0-20
7.4	Facility Monitoring .....	7.0-21
7.4.1	Low-Level Waste Management Area 3 .....	7.0-21
7.4.1.1	Network Evaluation .....	7.0-22
7.4.1.2	Groundwater Contaminants .....	7.0-22
7.4.1.3	Compliance Status .....	7.0-23
7.4.2	Low-Level Management Area 4 .....	7.0-23
7.4.2.1	Network Evaluation .....	7.0-24
7.4.2.2	Groundwater Contaminants .....	7.0-24
7.4.2.3	Compliance Status .....	7.0-26
7.4.3	Waste Management Area T .....	7.0-26
7.4.3.1	Network Evaluation .....	7.0-27
7.4.3.2	Groundwater Contaminants .....	7.0-27
7.4.3.3	Compliance Status .....	7.0-28
7.4.4	Waste Management Area TX-TY .....	7.0-29
7.4.4.1	Network Evaluation .....	7.0-29
7.4.4.2	Groundwater Contaminants .....	7.0-30
7.4.4.3	Compliance Status .....	7.0-32
7.4.5	State-Approved Land Disposal Site .....	7.0-32
7.4.5.1	Network Evaluation .....	7.0-32
7.4.5.2	Groundwater Contaminants .....	7.0-33
7.4.5.3	Compliance Status .....	7.0-33
7.5	Conclusions and Recommendations .....	7.0-33
7.5.1	Conclusions .....	7.0-33
7.5.2	Recommendations .....	7.0-35
8.0	Confined Aquifers .....	8.0-1
8.1	Ringold Formation Confined Aquifer .....	8.0-2
8.1.1	Groundwater Flow in the Ringold Confined Aquifer .....	8.0-2
8.1.2	Groundwater Quality in the Ringold Confined Aquifer .....	8.0-3
8.2	Upper Basalt-Confined Aquifer System .....	8.0-4
8.2.1	Groundwater Flow in the Upper Basalt-Confined Aquifer System .....	8.0-5
8.2.2	Groundwater Quality in the Upper Basalt-Confined Aquifer System .....	8.0-6
8.2.2.1	Anions .....	8.0-7
8.2.2.2	Metals .....	8.0-8
8.2.2.3	Radionuclides .....	8.0-8
8.2.2.4	Field Parameters .....	8.0-9

9.0	Well Installation, Maintenance, and Decommissioning .....	9.0-1
9.1	Well Installation.....	9.0-1
9.2	Characterization Boreholes and Direct-Push Technology Installation .....	9.0-2
9.3	Well Maintenance .....	9.0-3
9.4	Well Decommissioning .....	9.0-3
10.0	Vadose Zone Remediation, Monitoring, and Characterization.....	10.0-1
10.1	Carbon Tetrachloride Soil Vapor Monitoring and Remediation.....	10.0-1
10.1.1	Soil Vapor Extraction .....	10.0-2
10.1.2	Monitoring of Offline Wells and Probes.....	10.0-3
10.1.3	Passive Soil Vapor Extraction .....	10.0-3
10.2	Tank Farm Activities .....	10.0-4
10.2.1	Direct-Push Boreholes and Sampling .....	10.0-4
10.2.2	Surface Geophysical Exploration.....	10.0-5
10.2.3	Geophysical Logging.....	10.0-5
10.2.4	Interim Barrier.....	10.0-6
10.3	Upscaled Flow Properties for Heterogeneous Hanford Sediments.....	10.0-6
11.0	References.....	11.0-1

## Volume 2 Contents

12.0	Introduction to Volume 2 – River Corridor.....	12.0-1
12.1	Organization of Volume 2.....	12.0-1
12.2	Shoreline Monitoring.....	12.0-2
12.3	Remedial Investigation/Feasibility Study .....	12.0-3
13.0	100-BC-5 Operable Unit.. .....	13.0-1
13.1	Conceptual Model.. .....	13.0-1
13.2	Groundwater Contaminants .....	13.0-2
13.2.1	Strontium-90 .....	13.0-3
13.2.2	Tritium.....	13.0-3
13.2.3	Chromium.....	13.0-4
13.2.4	Nitrate.....	13.0-5
13.2.5	Additional Contaminants of Potential Concern .....	13.0-6
13.3	CERCLA Activities.....	13.0-6
13.4	Conclusions and Recommendations.....	13.0-7
14.0	100-KR-4 Operable Unit.. .....	14.0-1
14.1	Conceptual Site Model.....	14.0-1
14.2	Contaminant Monitoring .....	14.0-3
14.2.1	Chromium Monitoring Results.....	14.0-3
14.2.1.1	116-K-2 Trench.....	14.0-5
14.2.1.2	KW Reactor Area.....	14.0-6
14.2.1.3	KE Reactor Area .....	14.0-7
14.2.2	Tritium Monitoring Results .....	14.0-7
14.2.2.1	116-K-2 Trench.....	14.0-8
14.2.2.2	KW Reactor Area.....	14.0-8
14.2.2.3	KE Reactor Area .....	14.0-8

14.2.3	Strontium-90 Monitoring Results.....	14.0-9
14.2.3.1	116-K-2 Trench.....	14.0-9
14.2.3.2	KW Reactor Area.....	14.0-9
14.2.3.3	KE Reactor Area .....	14.0-10
14.2.4	Carbon-14 Monitoring Results .....	14.0-10
14.2.4.1	116-K-2 Trench.....	14.0-10
14.2.4.2	KW Reactor Area .....	14.0-10
14.2.4.3	KE Reactor Area .....	14.0-11
14.2.5	Nitrate Monitoring Results .....	14.0-11
14.2.5.1	116-K-2 Trench.....	14.0-11
14.2.5.2	KW Reactor Area.....	14.0-11
14.2.5.3	KE Reactor Area .....	14.0-12
14.2.6	Trichloroethene Monitoring Results.....	14.0-12
14.2.7	Other Target Analytes .....	14.0-12
14.2.7.1	Technetium-99.....	14.0-12
14.2.7.2	Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons.....	14.0-12
14.3	CERCLA Groundwater Activities .....	14.0-13
14.3.1	CERCLA Decision Documents .....	14.0-13
14.3.2	Status of Five –Year Review Action Items.....	14.0-14
14.3.3	Remedial Process Optimization Activities .....	14.0-15
14.3.4	Report of Investigation/Feasibility Study Work Plan Activities .....	14.0-16
14.3.5	116-K-2 Pump-and-Treat Systems (KR4, KX, and KW Systems).....	14.0-16
14.3.5.1	KR4 Pump-and-Treat System.....	14.0-17
14.3.5.2	KX Pump-and-Treat System.....	14.0-20
14.3.5.3	KW Pump-and-Treat System .....	14.0-23
14.3.6	Hydraulic Capture .....	14.0-25
14.3.6.1	Water-Level Mapping and Capture Zone Estimation Method.....	14.0-25
14.3.6.2	Groundwater Flow Modeling and Capture Zone Estimation Method .....	14.0-27
14.3.6.3	100-K Area Approximate Flow Patterns and Extents of Capture .....	14.0-27
14.3.6.4	Summary .....	14.0-28
14.3.7	Calcium Polysulfide Treatability Test.....	14.0-29
14.4	Groundwater Monitoring at KE and KW Basins.....	14.0-30
14.4.1	KE Basin.....	14.0-31
14.4.2	KW Basin .....	14.0-31
14.5	Conclusions.....	14.0-31
14.5.1	Groundwater Monitoring .....	14.0-31
14.5.2	Hexavalent Chromium Treatment.....	14.0-33
14.6	Recommendations.. .....	14.0-37
14.6.1	Groundwater Monitoring .....	14.0-37
14.6.2	Hexavalent Chromium Treatment.....	14.0-37
15.0	100-NR-2 Operable Unit.....	15.0-1
15.1	Conceptual Model.. .....	15.0-1
15.2	Groundwater Contaminants .....	15.0-2
15.2.1	Strontium-90.....	15.0-3
15.2.2	Tritium....	15.0-4
15.2.3	Nitrate.....	15.0-5
15.2.4	Petroleum Hydrocarbons .....	15.0-6
15.2.5	Sulfate.....	15.0-7
15.2.6	Manganese and Iron .....	15.0-7
15.2.7	Chromium.....	15.0-7
15.3	CERCLA Activities .....	15.0-8

---

15.3.1	CERCLA Decision Documents .....	15.0-8
15.3.2	Permeable Reactive Barrier.....	15.0-9
15.3.2.1	Performance Monitoring at Existing Barrier .....	15.0-11
15.3.2.2	Core Samples .....	15.0-12
15.3.2.3	Proposed Extension of Existing Apatite Permeable Reactive Barrier.....	15.0-12
15.3.3	Other Strontium-90 Treatment Technologies .....	15.0-13
15.3.3.1	Jet Injection Tests.....	15.0-13
15.3.3.2	Infiltration Gallery Tests.....	15.0-14
15.3.3.3	Phytoextraction Study.....	15.0-14
15.3.4	Characterization of Petroleum Contamination.....	15.0-14
15.3.5	Water Line Rupture .....	15.0-15
15.3.6	Interim Action Monitoring.....	15.0-16
15.3.7	River Sediment Porewater Sampling .....	15.0-17
15.4	RCRA Facility Monitoring.....	15.0-17
15.4.1	116-N-1 (1301-N) Liquid Waste Disposal Facility.....	15.0-17
15.4.1.1	Network Evaluation .....	15.0-18
15.4.1.2	Groundwater Contaminants .....	15.0-18
15.4.1.3	Compliance Status .....	15.0-18
15.4.2	120-N-1 (1324-NA) Percolation Pond and 120-N-2 (1324-N) Surface Impoundment .....	15.0-18
15.4.2.1	Network Evaluation .....	15.0-19
15.4.2.2	Groundwater Contaminants .....	15.0-19
15.4.2.3	Compliance Status .....	15.0-19
15.4.3	116-N-3 (1325-N) Liquid Waste Disposal Facility.....	15.0-19
15.4.3.1	Network Evaluation .....	15.0-20
15.4.3.2	Groundwater Contaminants .....	15.0-20
15.4.3.3	Compliance Status .....	15.0-20
15.5	Conclusions and Recommendations.....	15.0-20
16.0	100-HR-3 Operable Unit.....	16.0-1
16.1	Conceptual Model..	16.0-1
16.2	Groundwater Contaminants .....	16.0-4
16.2.1	Chromium.....	16.0-4
16.2.1.1	Horn Area Plume.....	16.0-4
16.2.1.2	Southern 100-D Area Plume.....	16.0-5
16.2.1.3	Northern 100-D Area Plume .....	16.0-6
16.2.1.4	100-H Area Plume.....	16.0-7
16.2.2	Strontium-90.....	16.0-9
16.2.2.1	100-D Area.....	16.0-9
16.2.2.2	100-H Area.....	16.0-9
16.2.3	Technetium-99 and Uranium .....	16.0-10
16.2.3.1	100-D Area .....	16.0-10
16.2.3.2	100-H Area .....	16.0-10
16.2.4	Tritium....	16.0-10
16.2.4.1	100-D Area .....	16.0-10
16.2.4.2	100-H Area .....	16.0-11
16.2.5	Nitrate and Nitrite.....	16.0-11
16.2.5.1	100-D Area .....	16.0-11
16.2.5.2	100-H Area .....	16.0-11
16.2.6	Sulfate.....	16.0-11
16.2.7	Gross Beta.....	16.0-12
16.2.7.1	100-D Area .....	16.0-12
16.2.7.2	100-H Area.....	16.0-12

16.3	Operable Unit Activities.....	16.0-12
16.3.1	CERCLA Decision Documents.....	16.0-12
16.3.2	100-HR-3 Pump-and-Treat System .....	16.0-14
16.3.2.1	Changes in 2009 .....	16.0-14
16.3.2.2	Treatment System Performance .....	16.0-15
16.3.2.3	Capture Zone Analysis .....	16.0-15
16.3.2.4	Compliance Monitoring .....	16.0-16
16.3.3	DR-5 Pump-and-Treat System .....	16.0-17
16.3.3.1	Changes in 2009 .....	16.0-17
16.3.3.2	Treatment System Performance .....	16.0-18
16.3.3.3	Capture Zone Analysis .....	16.0-18
16.3.3.4	Compliance Monitoring .....	16.0-19
16.3.4	In Situ Redox Manipulation System .....	16.0-20
16.3.4.1	Hydraulic Monitoring.....	16.0-20
16.3.4.2	Compliance Monitoring .....	16.0-20
16.3.5	100-D Area Chromium Source Investigation .....	16.0-21
16.3.6	Characterization of Chromium Below Initial Aquitard .....	16.0-22
16.3.7	Zero-Valent Iron Injection .....	16.0-23
16.3.8	In Situ Biostimulation Test .....	16.0-24
16.4	RCRA Groundwater Monitoring of 183-H Solar Evaporation Basins .....	16.0-24
16.4.1	Constituent List and Sampling Frequency.....	16.0-25
16.4.2	Network Evaluation .....	16.0-25
16.4.3	Groundwater Contaminants .....	16.0-25
16.4.4	Compliance Status .....	16.0-26
16.5	Conclusions and Recommendations.....	16.0-26
16.5.1	Conclusions.....	16.0-26
16.5.2	Recommendations .....	16.0-28
17.0	100-FR-3 Operable Unit.....	17.0-1
17.1	Conceptual Model.....	17.0-1
17.2	Groundwater Contaminants .....	17.0-2
17.2.1	Nitrate.....	17.0-3
17.2.2	Strontium-90.....	17.0-3
17.2.3	Tritium.....	17.0-4
17.2.4	Trichloroethene.....	17.0-4
17.2.5	Uranium and Gross Alpha .....	17.0-4
17.2.6	Chromium.....	17.0-4
17.2.7	Additional Contaminants of Potential Concern .....	17.0-5
17.3	CERCLA Activities .....	17.0-5
17.4	Conclusions and Recommendations.....	17.0-5
18.0	300-FF-5 Operable Unit... .....	18.0-1
18.1	Conceptual Model.....	18.0-2
18.2	Groundwater Contaminants .....	18.0-3
18.2.1	Uranium.....	18.0-4
18.2.1.1	300 Area .....	18.0-5
18.2.1.2	618-10 Burial Ground and 316-4 Cribs.....	18.0-8
18.2.2	Volatile Organic Compounds.....	18.0-8
18.2.2.1	300 Area .....	18.0-9
18.2.2.2	Outlying 300-FF-5 Operable Unit Subregions .....	18.0-10
18.2.3	Tritium.....	18.0-10
18.2.4	Nitrate.....	18.0-11

18.2.4.1	300 Area .....	18.0-11
18.2.4.2	618-11 Burial Ground Subregion.....	18.0-11
18.2.4.3	618-10 Burial Ground Subregion.....	18.0-12
18.2.5	Other Constituents.....	18.0-12
18.2.5.1	300 Area .....	18.0-12
18.2.5.2	618-11 and 618-10 Burial Grounds Subregions .....	18.0-12
18.3	CERCLA Activities.....	18.0-13
18.3.1	CERCLA Decision Documents .....	18.0-13
18.3.2	Interim Remedial Action Monitoring.....	18.0-14
18.3.3	Remedial Investigation/Feasibility Study.....	18.0-15
18.3.4	Treatability Tests for Uranium Contamination in the Subsurface .....	18.0-15
18.3.5	Research Activities .....	18.0-16
18.4	Facility Monitoring: Former 300 Area Process Trenches (316-5 Waste Site).....	18.0-17
18.4.1	Network Evaluation .....	18.0-17
18.4.2	Groundwater Contaminants .....	18.0-17
18.4.3	Compliance Status.....	18.0-18
18.5	Conclusions and Recommendations.....	18.0-18
18.5.1	Conclusions.....	18.0-18
18.5.2	Recommendations .....	18.0-19
19.0	1100-EM-1 Groundwater Interest Area.....	19.0-1
19.1	Conceptual Model... .....	19.0-1
19.2	Groundwater Contaminants .....	19.0-3
19.2.1	Trichloroethene.....	19.0-3
19.2.2	Nitrate.....	19.0-4
19.2.3	Tritium.....	19.0-4
19.2.4	Gross Alpha and Uranium .....	19.0-5
19.3	Groundwater Interest Area Activities.....	19.0-5
19.4	Conclusions and Recommendations.....	19.0-6
20.0	Well Installation and Maintenance in the River Corridor .....	20.0-1
20.1	Well Installation.....	20.0-1
20.2	Characterization Boreholes and Direct-Push Technology Installation .....	20.0-2
20.3	Well Maintenance.....	20.0-2
21.0	Columbia River.....	21.0-1
21.1	Seep and River Monitoring .....	21.0-1
21.2	River Corridor Baseline Risk Assessment.....	21.0-2
21.3	Hanford Site Releases to the Columbia River .....	21.0-3
21.4	Research Activities Involving Discharge of Groundwater to the Columbia River .....	21.0-4
22.0	References.....	22.0-1

## Appendices

A Supporting Information for CERCLA Groundwater Operable Units.....	A-i
B Cost Information for Groundwater Remediation Systems.....	B-i
C Supporting Information for Monitored Facilities .....	C-i
D Supporting Information for Aquifer Sampling Tubes.....	D-i
E Quality Assurance and Quality Control .....	E-i

## Volume 1 Tables

Table 1-1.	Reporting Requirements for Groundwater Monitoring.....	1.0-27
Table 1-2.	Number of Groundwater Analyses for Selected Constituents During Calendar Year 2009. ....	1.0-27
Table 1-3.	Maximum Concentrations of Selected Groundwater Contaminants in Groundwater Interest Areas, October 2008 Through December 2009.....	1.0-28
Table 1-4.	RCRA Monitoring Status for the Reporting Period.....	1.0-31
Table 1-5.	Summary of Changes to Tri-Party Agreement (as approved in Milestone M-16-08-07).....	1.0-32
Table 1-6.	Selected Drinking Water Standards and Groundwater Cleanup Levels.....	1.0-33
Table 1-7.	Derived Concentration Guides, 4-mrem Effective Dose Equivalent Concentrations, Drinking Water Standards, and Risk Based Concentrations for Hanford Site Radionuclides in Groundwater.....	1.0-34
Table 2-1.	Hydraulic Gradient Determinations for Low-Level Waste Management Area 1. ....	2.0-8
Table 2-2.	Hydraulic Gradient Determinations for Integrated Disposal Facility/PUREX Cribs. ....	2.0-9
Table 5-1.	Summary of Detected Results from Wells Monitoring the Middle to Lower Portions of the Unconfined Aquifer (Above the Ringold Lower Mud Unit). ....	5.0-41
Table 5-2.	Constituents Analyzed and Methods Used for Phase 1 Site Characterization and Decommissioning Candidate Wells Sampled in Fiscal Years 2008 and 2009. ....	5.0-42
Table 5-3.	Detected Groundwater Constituents in the 43 Wells Selected for Site Characterization, Calendar Year 2008. ....	5.0-43
Table 5-4.	Detected Groundwater Constituents in the 25 Wells Selected for Decommissioning, Calendar Year 2009..	5.0-45
Table 6-1.	200-UP-1 Treatment System Summary.....	6.0-34
Table 6-2.	200-UP-1 Pump-and-Treat System Volume of Groundwater Treated and Mass of Contaminants Removed During Calendar Year 2009 and Since Startup of Operation. ....	6.0-34
Table 6-3.	Quantity of Treated Groundwater and Technetium-99 Mass Removed from the Aquifer During Extended Purgings at Well 299-W23-19. ....	6.0-35
Table 7-1.	Volume Extracted and Processed Since Startup at the 200-ZP-1 Interim Pump-and-Treat System. ....	7.0-36
Table 7-2.	Treatment System Availability at the 200-ZP-1 Interim Pump-and-Treat System.....	7.0-36
Table 8-1.	Potential Contaminants of Interest in the Ringold Confined Aquifer, Fiscal Year 2007 Through Calendar Year 2009. ....	8.0-10
Table 8-2.	Potential Contaminants of interest in the Upper Basalt-Confined Aquifer, Fiscal Year 2007 through Calendar Year 2009. ....	8.0-11
Table 8-3.	Upper Basalt-Confined Aquifer Field Parameters for Calendar Year 2009. ....	8.0-14
Table 9-1.	Well Installations for the Reporting Period. ....	9.0-5
Table 9-2.	Characterization Boreholes and Direct Push Technology Installation, CY 2009. ....	9.0-6
Table 9-3.	Well Maintenance Summary, Calendar Year 2009.....	9.0-7
Table 10-1.	Carbon Tetrachloride Inventory Removed by Vapor Extraction from Primary Disposal Sites. ....	10.0-11
Table 10-2.	Direct-Push Holes Investigations Summary Table. ....	10.0-11
Table 10-3.	Numerical Simulation Cases with Varying Anisotropy. ....	10.0-11
Table 10-4.	Computed Mean Error (%) for the Observed Versus Simulated Plume for Different Simulation Cases....	10.0-11

## Volume 2 Tables

Table 13-1.	Contaminants of Potential Concern for 100-B/C Area Groundwater.....	13.0-9
Table 14-1.	Changes in Hexavalent Chromium and Total Chromium from Baseline Through 2009 for Wells and Aquifer Tubes Monitoring the 116-K-2 Trench and North to the 100 N Area. ....	14.0-40
Table 14-2.	Changes in Hexavalent Chromium and Total Chromium from Baseline Through 2009 for Wells and Aquifer Tubes Monitoring the KW Reactor Area. ....	14.0-43
Table 14-3.	Changes in Hexavalent Chromium and Total Chromium from Baseline Through 2009 for Wells and Aquifer Tubes Monitoring the KE Reactor Area. ....	14.0-45
Table 14-4.	KR4 System Operational Parameters and System Performance for Calendar Year 2009. ....	14.0-46
Table 14-5.	KR4 Pump-and-Treat System Availability for Calendar Year 2009. ....	14.0-67
Table 14-6.	KR4 Pump-and-Treat Well Pumping Rates and Total Run-Time for Calendar Year 2009. ....	14.0-47
Table 14-7.	KX System Operational Parameters from Startup Through December 2009. ....	14.0-48
Table 14-8.	KX Pump-and-Treat System Availability for Calendar Year 2009. ....	14.0-73
Table 14-9.	KX System Pumping Flow Rates and Total Run-Time for Calendar Year 2009. ....	14.0-49
Table 14-10.	KW System Operational Parameters from Startup Through December 2009. ....	14.0-50
Table 14-11.	KW4 Pump-and-Treat System Availability for Calendar Year 2009. ....	14.0-7
Table 14-12.	KW System Pumping Flow Rates and Total Run-Time for Calendar Year 2009. ....	14.0-51
Table 15-1.	Hydrocarbon Product Removed from Well 199-N-18 (2003 to Present). ....	15.0-23
Table 15-2.	100-NR-2 Apatite Permeable Reactive Barrier Timeline. ....	15.0-24
Table 15-3.	100-NR-2 Operable Unit Apatite Permeable Reactive Barrier Performance Monitoring Wells. ....	15.0-25
Table 15-4.	100-NR-2 Operable Unit Apatite Permeable Reactive Barrier Performance Monitoring Constituents. ....	15.0-26
Table 16-1.	Hexavalent and Total Chromium Concentrations in Baseline and 2009 for Wells and Aquifer Tubes Monitoring the 100-HR-3 (H) Pump-and-Treat System. ....	16.0-30
Table 16-2.	Change in Co-Contaminants from Baseline Through 2009 for Wells and Aquifer Monitoring the 100-HR-3 (H) Pump-and-Treat System. ....	16.0-33
Table 16-3.	Hexavalent and Total Chromium Concentrations in Baseline and 2009 for Wells and Aquifer Tubes Monitoring the 100-HR-3 (D) and DR-5 Pump-and-Treat Systems. ....	16.0-36
Table 16-4.	Change in Co-Contaminants from Baseline Through 2009 for Wells and Aquifer Tubes Monitoring the 100-HR-3 (D) and DR-5 Pump-and-Treat Systems. ....	16.0-39
Table 16-5.	Well Sets and Pumping Rates for 100-H Area Aquifer Tests. ....	16.0-42
Table 16-6.	Concentration Limits for 183-H Solar Evaporation Basins. ....	16.0-42
Table 17-1.	Contaminants of Potential Concern for 100-F Area Groundwater. ....	17.0-7
Table 18-1.	Contaminants of Potential Concern in 300-FF-5 Operable Unit Groundwater. ....	18.0-20
Table 20-1.	Well Installations, Calendar Year 2009.....	20.0-3
Table 20-2.	Characterization Boreholes and Direct-Push Technology Installation, Calendar Year 2009. ....	20.0-5
Table 20-3.	Well Maintenance Summary, Calendar Year 2009.....	20.0-5
Table 21-1.	Hanford Site Contaminants in Columbia River Seeps (Springs), Calendar Year 2008. ....	21.0-5
Table 21-2.	Maximum Concentrations of Tritium, Strontium-90, and Uranium in Groundwater Wells, Aquifer Tubes, Riverbank Springs, and Near-Shore River Water. ....	21.0-6

## Volume 1 Figures

Figure 1-1.	Location of the Hanford Site .....	1.0-35
Figure 1-2.	Groundwater Operable Units and Groundwater Interest Areas on the Hanford Site .....	1.0-36
Figure 1-3.	Average Calendar Year 2009 Tritium Concentrations on the Hanford Site, Upper Portion of Unconfined Aquifer.....	1.0-37
Figure 1-4.	Average Calendar Year 2009 Nitrate Concentrations on the Hanford Site, Upper Portion of Unconfined Aquifer.....	1.0-38
Figure 1-5.	Average Calendar Year 2009 Iodine129 Concentrations on the Hanford Site, Upper Portion of Unconfined Aquifer.....	1.0-39
Figure 2-1.	Groundwater Monitoring Wells on the Hanford Site.....	2.0-11
Figure 2-2.	Hanford Site Water Table Map, March 2009 .....	2.0-13
Figure 2-3.	200 East Area Water Table Elevations, Calendar Years 2008 and 2009.....	2.0-15
Figure 2-4.	Low-Level Waste Management Area 1 Water-Level Monitoring Well Network.....	2.0-16
Figure 2-5.	Integrated Disposal Facility/PUREX Cribs Water-Level Monitoring Well Network.....	2.0-17
Figure 3-1.	Location of the Pasco Basin and Hanford Site.....	3.0-11
Figure 3-2.	Stratigraphic Column for the Hanford Site Showing Nomenclature. ....	3.0-12
Figure 3-3.	General Geologic Structures of the Pasco Basin and Hanford Site.....	3.0-13
Figure 3-4.	Facies of the Ringold Formation Within the Central Pasco Basin.....	3.0-14
Figure 3-5.	Lower Ringold Formation Beneath the 200 East Area.....	3.0-15
Figure 3-6.	Facies Distribution for the Cold Creek Unit within the Central Pasco Basin.....	3.0-16
Figure 3-7.	Ice-Age Flood Geomorphic Features of the Pasco Basin .....	3.0-17
Figure 3-8.	Difference in Water Table Elevations for the Hanford Site Unconfined Aquifer, 1989 to 2008. ....	3.0-18
Figure 4-1.	Facilities and Groundwater Monitoring Wells Located in the 200 East Area .....	4.0-41
Figure 4-2.	Facilities and Groundwater Monitoring Wells Located in the 600 Area Associated with the 200-BP-5 Operable Unit. ....	4.0-43
Figure 4-3.	200 East Area Water Table Map, July 2009 .....	4.0-45
Figure 4-4.	Average Groundwater Nitrate Concentrations in the 200 East Area and the 600 Area Within the 200-BP-5 Operable Unit, Fiscal Year 2009. ....	4.0-47
Figure 4-5.	Average Groundwater Iodine-129 Concentrations in the 200 East Area and the 600 Area Within the 200-BP-5 Operable Unit, Fiscal Year 2009. ....	4.0-49
Figure 4-6.	Average Groundwater Technetium-99 Concentrations in the 200 East Area and the 600 Area Within the 200-BP-5 Operable Unit, Fiscal Year 2009.....	4.0-51
Figure 4-7.	Average Groundwater Uranium Concentrations in the 200 East Area and the 600 Area Within the 200-BP-5 Operable Unit, Fiscal Year 2009. ....	4.0-53
Figure 4-8.	Average Groundwater Uranium Concentrations Near Waste Management Area B-BX-BY, Fiscal Year 2009. ....	4.0-54
Figure 4-9.	Average Groundwater Strontium-90 Concentrations in the 200 East Area and the 600 Area Within the 200-BP-5 Operable Unit, Fiscal Year 2009. ....	4.0-55
Figure 4-10.	Average Groundwater Cyanide Concentrations in the 200 East Area and the 600 Area Within the 200-BP-5 Operable Unit, Fiscal Year 2009. ....	4.0-57
Figure 4-11.	Average Groundwater Tritium Concentrations in the 200 East Area and the 600 Area Within the 200-BP-5 Operable Unit, Fiscal Year 2009. ....	4.0-59
Figure 4-12.	Low-Level Waste Management Area 2 Historical Groundwater Trend Plots for Sulfate.....	4.0-61
Figure 4-13.	Low-Level Waste Management Area 2 New Groundwater Wells Planned for Fiscal Years 2010 and 2011. ....	4.0-62
Figure 4-14.	Low-Level Waste Management Area 2 Historical Groundwater Trend Plots of Specific Conductivity at Wells 299E27-10 and 299-E34-7. ....	4.0-63
Figure 4-15.	Groundwater Sulfate Concentrations and Associated Contour Map in the Northeastern Portion of the 200 East Area.....	4.0-64

---

Figure 4-16. Waste Management Area C New Groundwater Wells Planned for Fiscal Year 2010. ....	4.0-64
Figure 4-17. Historical Groundwater Cyanide Trend Plots for Waste Management Area C Wells 299-E27-7, 299-E27-14, and 299-E27-23. ....	4.0-65
Figure 4-18. Sulfate Trend Plot Comparison for Wells 299-E27-7, 299-E27-10, and 299 E27 14. ....	4.0-65
Figure 4-19. Historical Groundwater pH Trend Plots at the 216-B-63 Trench. ....	4.0-66
Figure 5-1. Facilities and Wells in 200 East Area (Near-Field).....	5.0-47
Figure 5-2. Map of 200-PO-1 Operable Unit Boundaries, Far-Field Wells, and Transects.....	5.0-49
Figure 5-3. Water Table Map for the 200-PO-1 Operable Unit, March 2009. ....	5.0-50
Figure 5-4. Tritium Plume Map – 200-PO-1 Operable Unit Far-Field Wells. ....	5.0-51
Figure 5-5. Tritium Plume Map – Near-Field. ....	5.0-53
Figure 5-6. Tritium Concentrations in RCRA PUREX Cribs Wells. ....	5.0-55
Figure 5-7. Iodine-129 Plume Map for the 200-PO-1 Operable Unit. ....	5.0-57
Figure 5-8. Iodine-129 Trend Plot at Well 299-E17-14 (10 Year Duration). ....	5.0-59
Figure 5-9. Nitrate Plume Map – 200-PO-1 Operable Unit (Far-Field). ....	5.0-60
Figure 5-10. Nitrate Plume Map – 200 East Area (Near-Field). ....	5.0-61
Figure 5-11. Nitrate Concentration in Well 299-E24-16 at the 216-A-10 Crib. ....	5.0-62
Figure 5-12. Technetium-99 Concentrations at WMA A-AX. ....	5.0-62
Figure 5-13. Local Plume Map for Uranium Near the PUREX Cribs. ....	5.0-63
Figure 5-14. Uranium Concentrations Near the PUREX Cribs. ....	5.0-64
Figure 5-15. Characterization Wells Location Map. ....	5.0-65
Figure 5-16. Decommission Wells Location Map. ....	5.0-66
Figure 5-17. Aquifer Tube Location Map. ....	5.0-67
Figure 5-18. Nitrate Concentration in Wells at 216-A-36B Crib. ....	5.0-68
Figure 5-19. Nitrate Concentration in Wells at 216-A-10 Crib. ....	5.0-68
Figure 5-20. Nitrate Concentration in Wells at 216-A-37-1 Crib. ....	5.0-69
Figure 5-21. Nitrate Concentration in Wells at Waste Management Area A-AX. ....	5.0-69
Figure 5-22. Technetium-99 Plume Map of Waste Management Area A-AX and Waste Management Area C. ....	5.0-70
Figure 5-23. Tritium Concentrations in the Three 400 Area Water Supply Wells. ....	5.0-71
Figure 6-1. Facilities and Groundwater Monitoring Wells in the 200-UP-1 Groundwater Interest Area. ....	6.0-37
Figure 6-2. Water Table Map for the 200-UP-1 Groundwater Interest Area, March 2009. ....	6.0-39
Figure 6-3. Average Technetium-99 Concentrations in the 200-UP-1 Groundwater Interest Area, Upper Portion of Unconfined Aquifer. ....	6.0-41
Figure 6-4. Chromium and Technetium-99 Concentrations in Well 299-W23-19, Southern Portion of Waste Management Area S-SX. ....	6.0-43
Figure 6-5. Nitrate, Chromium, and Technetium-99 Concentrations Downgradient from S Tank Farm. ....	6.0-43
Figure 6-6. Average Uranium Concentrations in the 200-UP-1 Groundwater Interest Area, Upper Portion of Unconfined Aquifer. ....	6.0-44
Figure 6-7. Average Tritium Concentrations in the 200-UP-1 Groundwater Interest Area, Upper Portion of Unconfined Aquifer. ....	6.0-45
Figure 6-8. Average Iodine-129 Concentrations in the 200-UP-1 Groundwater Interest Area, Upper Portion of Unconfined Aquifer. ....	6.0-47
Figure 6-9. Average Nitrate Concentrations in the 200-UP-1 Groundwater Interest Area, Upper Portion of Unconfined Aquifer. ....	6.0-49
Figure 6-10. Nitrate Concentrations in the 200-UP-1 Pump-and-Treat System Extraction Wells. ....	6.0-51
Figure 6-11. 200-UP-1 Pump-and-Treat System Location Map and Monitoring Wells. ....	6.0-52
Figure 6-12. 200-UP-1 Extraction Well On-Line Operational Percentages and Hours of Operation. ....	6.0-53
Figure 6-13. Pumping Rates and Periods for the 200-ZP-1, 200-UP-1, and WMA T Tank Farm Pump-and-Treat Systems. ....	6.0-54
Figure 6-14. Example Water Table Elevation Contours Throughout the 200 West Area (a) March 19, 2009 and (b) August 6, 2009. ....	6.0-55

Figure 6-16. 200-UP-1 Pump-and-Treat System Capture Frequency, Calendar Year 2009, with Technetium 99 Plume Contours.....	6.0-56
Figure 6-15. 200-UP-1 Pump-and-Treat System Capture Frequency, Calendar Year 2009.....	6.0-56
Figure 6-17. Uranium Concentrations in the 200-UP-1 Pump-and-Treat System Wells.....	6.0-57
Figure 6-18. Technetium-99 Concentrations in the 200-UP-1 Pump-and-Treat System Wells.....	6.0-57
Figure 6-19. Carbon Tetrachloride Concentrations in the 200-UP-1 Pump-and-Treat System Wells.....	6.0-58
Figure 6-20. Nitrate Concentrations in the 200-UP-1 Pump-and-Treat System Wells.....	6.0-58
Figure 6-21. Average Uranium Concentrations in the 200-UP-1 Pump-and-Treat System Area, Upper Portion of Unconfined Aquifer.....	6.0-59
Figure 6-22. Average Nitrate Concentrations in Waste Management Area S-SX, Upper Portion of Unconfined Aquifer.....	6.0-60
Figure 6-23. Average Chromium Concentrations in Waste Management Area S-SX, Upper Portion of Unconfined Aquifer.....	6.0-61
Figure 6-24. Average Technetium-99 Concentrations in Waste Management Area S-SX, Upper Portion of Unconfined Aquifer.....	6.0-62
Figure 6-25. Average Nitrate and Technetium-99 Concentrations in Waste Management Area U, Upper Portion of Unconfined Aquifer.....	6.0-63
Figure 7-1. Facilities and Groundwater Monitoring Wells in the 200-ZP-1 Operable Unit.....	7.0-37
Figure 7-2. 200 West Area Water Table Map, Calendar Year 2009.....	7.0-39
Figure 7-3. Average Carbon Tetrachloride Concentration in the 200 West Area, Upper Portion of Unconfined Aquifer.....	7.0-41
Figure 7-4. Carbon Tetrachloride Concentration in Well 699-48-71, Northeast of the 200 West Area.....	7.0-43
Figure 7-5. Average Carbon Tetrachloride Concentration in 200 West Area Within All of the Suprabasalt Sediments and New Treatment System Extraction and Injection Wells.....	7.0-44
Figure 7-6. 200-ZP-1 Carbon Tetrachloride Transect Lines for Vertical Profile Slices L1-L1' and L2 L2'.....	7.0-45
Figure 7-7. 200-ZP-1 Groundwater Operable Unit Carbon Tetrachloride Plume Cross Section L1 L1'.....	7.0-47
Figure 7-8. 200-ZP-1 Groundwater Operable Unit Carbon Tetrachloride Plume Cross Section L2 L2'.....	7.0-49
Figure 7-9. Carbon Tetrachloride Concentrations with Depth in Northern 200 West Area, Upper Portion of Unconfined Aquifer.....	7.0-51
Figure 7-10. Average Trichloroethene Concentration in Central and Northern 200 West Area, Upper Portion of Unconfined Aquifer.....	7.0-52
Figure 7-11. Average Nitrate Concentration in Central and Northern 200 West Area, Upper Portion of the Unconfined Aquifer.....	7.0-53
Figure 7-12. Depth Discrete Nitrate Concentration at Near-Source Well 299-W14-20 (EW 2) and Downgradient Well 299-W12-3 (EW-18).....	7.0-54
Figure 7-13. Average Filtered Chromium Concentration Near Waste Management Areas T and TX-TY, Upper Portion of Aquifer.....	7.0-55
Figure 7-14. Average Tritium Concentration in Central and Northern 200 West Area, Upper Portion of Unconfined Aquifer.....	7.0-56
Figure 7-15. Average Iodine Concentration in Central and Northern 200 West Area, Upper Portion of Unconfined Aquifer.....	7.0-57
Figure 7-16. Average Technetium-99 Concentration in Central and Northern 200 West Area, Upper Portion of Unconfined Aquifer.....	7.0-58
Figure 7-17. Pumping Rates and Periods for 200-ZP-1 Remedy.....	7.0-59
Figure 7-18. Example Groundwater Elevation Contours in the 200-ZP-1/200-UP-1 Area, (a) March 19, 2009, and (b) August 6, 2009.....	7.0-60
Figure 7-20. 200-ZP-1 Approximate Extent of Capture During ZP-1 Periods 1, 2 and 3 Combined, Overlaid with the Contoured Extent of Carbon Tetrachloride in 2009.....	7.0-61
Figure 7-19. 200-ZP-1 Approximate Extent of Capture During ZP-1 Periods 1, 2 and 3 Combined.....	7.0-61
Figure 7-21. 200-ZP-1 Operable Unit Pump-and-Treat System Availability for the Reporting Period.....	7.0-62

Figure 7-22.	200-ZP-1 Operable Unit Carbon Tetrachloride Concentration at Influent Tank T 01 and Effluent Tank T 02 for the Reporting Period.....	7.0-62
Figure 7-23.	Monitoring Wells, Carbon Tetrachloride Trend Plots.....	7.0-63
Figure 7-24.	Monitoring Wells, Chloroform Trend Plots .....	7.0-64
Figure 7-25.	Monitoring Wells, Trichloroethene Trend Plots.....	7.0-65
Figure 7-26.	Pumping Rates and Periods for the Waste Management Area 241-T Remedy. ....	7.0-66
Figure 7-27.	Example Groundwater Elevation Contours Throughout the 200-ZP-1 Area, (a) March 19, 2009, and (b) August 6, 2009. ....	7.0-67
Figure 7-29.	Waste Management Area T Approximate Extent of Capture During 241-T Period 1, Overlaid with Contoured Extent of Technetium-99 in 2009.....	7.0-68
Figure 7-28.	Waste Management Area T Approximate Extent of Capture During 241-T Period 1.....	7.0-68
Figure 7-30.	241-T Extraction Well Online Operational Percentages and Hours of Operation. ....	7.0-69
Figure 7-31.	Technetium-99 Concentration in Selected Wells Downgradient of Waste Management Area T, Screened at Depth in the Aquifer.....	7.0-69
Figure 7-32.	Technetium -99 Concentration in Select Water Table Wells Downgradient of Waste Management Area T. ....	7.0-70
Figure 7-33.	Concentration of Selected Contaminants in Well 299-W14-13 and 299-W14-5, Waste Management Area TX-TY. ....	7.0-71
Figure 7-34.	Tritium Concentrations in Wells Monitoring the State-Approved Land Disposal Site. ....	7.0-72
Figure 8-1.	Extent of Partial or Complete Erosion of the Elephant Mountain Basalt in the 200 East Area/Gable Mountain Region (from DOE/RL-2008-01). ....	8.0-15
Figure 8-2.	Potentiometric Surface Map of Ringold Formation Confined Aquifer (Unit 9), Central Hanford Site, March 2009. ....	8.0-16
Figure 8-3.	Groundwater Monitoring Wells Sampled in Ringold Formation Confined and Upper Basalt-Confined Aquifers, Fiscal Years 2007 Through Calendar Year 2009. ....	8.0-17
Figure 8-4.	Potentiometric Surface Map of Upper Basalt-Confined Aquifer System, March 2009. ....	8.0-18
Figure 8-5.	Comparison of Observed Heads for Upper Basalt-Confined Aquifer and Overlying Unconfined Aquifer. ....	8.0-19
Figure 8-6.	Trend Plot of Chloride, Nitrate, Technetium-99, and Tritium with Time in Well 299-E33-340. ....	8.0-20
Figure 9-1.	Categorization of Unique Well Identification Numbers. ....	9.0-9
Figure 9-2.	Hanford Site and Surrounding Area Depicting Various Geographic Regions. ....	9.0-10
Figure 9-3.	Well, Characterization Borehole, and Direct-Push Technology Installations 'for the Reporting Period. ....	9.0-11
Figure 10-1.	Locations of Carbon Tetrachloride Soil Vapor Extraction Wells at 216-Z-1A/216-Z-12/216-Z-18 and 216-Z-9 Well Fields.....	10.0-12
Figure 10-2.	Results Showing Views for Selected Resistivity Levels, Waste Management Area S-SX. ....	10.0-13
Figure 10-3.	Isometric View of UPR-200-E-81, Fully Three-Dimensional Surface Geophysical Exploration.....	10.0-14
Figure 10-4.	(a) Plan View of the Layout of the 200 Injection Well (Empty Circle near the Center, the Sampling Boreholes (Empty Squares), and the Observation Wells (Filled Circles) at the Sisson and Lu Site and (b) the A-A' Cross-Section Showing the Lithostratigraphy (Modified After PNNL-13631, Sampling of Boreholes WL-3A through -12 in Support of the Vadose Zone Transport Field Study). Plot (b) Also Shows the Sample Locations and the Percentage of Fine Particles (After Zhang and Khaleel 2010). Measured Moisture Content (MC) Differences Showing the Moisture Plume for D.....	10.0-15
Figure 10-5.	Measured Moisture Content (MC) Differences Showing the Moisture Plume for Different Days. Five Weekly Injections Took Place Between June 1 (DOY 153) and June 28 (DOY 180) 2000. The Letters B through F Denote the Five Stratigraphic Units (After Zhang and Khaleel 2010). ....	10.0-16
Figure 10-6.	Comparison of Injected Water Volume and Water Volume Retained in the Region of Observation (After Zhang and Khaleel 2010). ....	10.0-17
Figure 10-7.	The Observed and Simulated Center of Mass in the z (Vertical) Direction (After Zhang and Khaleel 2010). ....	10.0-17
Figure 10-8.	The Spreading of the Moisture Plume in the a) x (Easting), b) y (Northing), and c) z (Vertical) Directions Based on the Diagonal Components of the Second Moments (After Zhang and Khaleel 2010). ....	10.0-18

## Volume 2 Figures

Figure 12-1. River Corridor Boundaries for Integrated Remedial Investigation/Feasibility Study Work Plan (DOE/RL-2008-46) .....	12.0-5
Figure 13-1. Facilities and Groundwater Monitoring Wells in the 100-B/C Area.....	13.0-10
Figure 13-2. 100-B/C Area Water Table Map, March 2009. ....	13.0-11
Figure 13-3. Average Strontium-90 Concentrations in the 100-B/C Area, Upper Portion of Unconfined Aquifer.....	13.0-12
Figure 13-4. Strontium-90 Concentrations in 100-B/C Area Monitoring Wells.....	13.0-13
Figure 13-5. Average Tritium Concentrations in the 100-B/C Area, Upper Portion of Unconfined Aquifer. ....	13.0-14
Figure 13-6. Tritium Concentrations in Northern 100-B/C Area Wells. ....	13.0-15
Figure 13-7. Tritium Concentrations in Southern 100-B/C Area Wells.....	13.0-15
Figure 13-8. Average Chromium Concentrations in the 100-B/C Area, Upper Portion of Unconfined Aquifer.....	13.0-16
Figure 13-9. Chromium Concentrations in Well 199-B3-47, Northeastern 100-B/C Area. ....	13.0-17
Figure 13-10. Hexavalent Chromium Concentration Profile in New Wells.....	13.0-18
Figure 13-11. Cross-Section of Chromium Concentrations and Screen Elevations in Wells and Aquifer Tubes in the 100-B/C Area.....	13.0-19
Figure 14-1. 100-KR-4 Operable Unit Wells and Aquifer Sampling Tubes. ....	14.0-52
Figure 14-2. 100-KR-4 Operable Unit Water Table Map. ....	14.0-53
Figure 14-3. 100-KR-4 Operable Unit Hexavalent Chromium Plume, Spring and Fall 2009. ....	14.0-55
Figure 14-4. 100-KR-4 Operable Unit, Hexavalent Chromium Concentrations in Wells and Aquifer Tubes Along the Columbia River. ....	14.0-57
Figure 14-5. 100-KR-4 Operable Unit, Tritium Groundwater Plumes. ....	14.0-59
Figure 14-6. 100-KR-4 Operable Unit, Strontium-90 Plumes. ....	14.0-60
Figure 14-7. 100-KR-4 Operable Unit, Carbon-14 Plumes. ....	14.0-61
Figure 14-8. 100-KR-4 Operable Unit, KR4 Hexavalent Chromium Plume, Wells, Aquifer Tubes and Representative Hexavalent Chromium Trends.....	14.0-63
Figure 14-9. 100-KR-4 Operable Unit, KR4 Pump-and-Treat System Schematic. ....	14.0-65
Figure 14-10. 100-KR-4 Operable Unit, KR4 Pump-and-Treat System Average Removal Efficiencies. ....	14.0-66
Figure 14-11. 100-KR-4 Operable Unit, KR4 Pump-and-Treat System Trends of Influent and Effluent, Hexavalent Chromium Concentrations, Calendar Year 2009.....	14.0-66
Figure 14-12. 100-KR-4 Operable Unit, KR4 Pump-and-Treat System Availability and Online Percentages for Calendar Year 2009. ....	14.0-67
Figure 14-13. 100-KR-4 Operable Unit, KX Pump-and-Treat System, Hexavalent Chromium Plume, Wells, Aquifer Tubes and Representative Hexavalent Chromium Trends. ....	14.0-69
Figure 14-14. 100-KR-4 Operable Unit, KX Pump-and-Treat System Schematic. ....	14.0-71
Figure 14-15. 100-KR-4 Operable Unit, KX Pump-and-Treat System, Average Removal Efficiencies. ....	14.0-72
Figure 14-16. 100-KR-4 Operable Unit, KX Pump-and-Treat System, Influent and Effluent Trends for Hexavalent Chromium Concentrations, Calendar Year 2009.....	14.0-72
Figure 14-17. 100-KR-4 Operable Unit, KX Pump-and-Treat System Availability and Online Percentages for Calendar Year 2009. ....	14.0-73
Figure 14-18. 100-KR-4 Operable Unit, KW Pump-and-Treat System Hexavalent Chromium Plume, Wells, Aquifer Tubes, and Representative Hexavalent Chromium Trends. ....	14.0-75
Figure 14-19. 100-KR-4 Operable Unit, KW Pump-and-Treat System Schematic. ....	14.0-77
Figure 14-20. 100-KR-4 Operable Unit, KW Pump-and-Treat System Average Removal Efficiencies. ....	14.0-78
Figure 14-21. 100-KR-4 Operable Unit, KW Pump-and-Treat System, Influent and Effluents Trends for Hexavalent Chromium Concentrations, Calendar Year 2009. ....	14.0-78
Figure 14-22. 100-KR-4 Operable Unit, KW Pump-and-Treat System Availability and Online Percentages for Calendar Year 2009. ....	14.0-79
Figure 14-23. Pumping Rates for the KR4 Treatment System. ....	14.0-80
Figure 14-24. Pumping Rates for the KX Treatment System. ....	14.0-81
Figure 14-25. Pumping Rates for the KW Treatment System. ....	14.0-82

Figure 14-26. Example Groundwater Elevation Contours Throughout the 100-K Area for (a) Period 1 and (b) Period 2.....	14.0-83
Figure 14-27. Approximate Extent of Capture for KR4 and KX Systems Calculated Using (a) Modeling Method; (b) Mapping Method, Period 1; and (c) Mapping Method, Period 2. ....	14.0-84
Figure 14-28. Approximate extent of Capture for KR4 and KX Systems Calculated Using (a) Modeling Method; (b) Mapping Method, Period 1; and (c) Mapping Method, Period 2 (Overlaid with Contoured Extent of Hexavalent Chromium in Spring 2009). .....	14.0-85
Figure 14-29. Approximate Extent of Capture for KW System Calculated Using (a) Modeling Method and (b) Mapping Method. ....	14.0-86
Figure 14-30. Approximate Extent of Capture for KW System Calculated Using (a) Modeling Method and (b) Mapping Method, Overlaid with the Contoured Extent of Hexavalent Chromium in Spring 2009. .	14.0-87
Figure 14-31. 100-KR-4 Operable Unit, Tritium Trends at KE Basin Monitoring Wells. ....	14.0-88
Figure 14-32. 100-KR-4 Operable Unit, Strontium-90 Trends at KE Basin Monitoring Wells.....	14.0-88
Figure 14-33. 100-KR-4 Operable Unit, Tritium Trends at KW Basin Monitoring Wells. ....	14.0-89
Figure 14-34. 100-KR-4 Operable Unit, Strontium-90 Trends at KW Basin Monitoring Wells. ....	14.0-89
 Figure 15-1. Facilities and Groundwater Monitoring Wells in the 100-N Area. ....	15.0-27
Figure 15-2. Aquifer Tubes, Monitoring Wells, and Apatite Permeable Reactive Barrier Monitoring Locations on the 100-N Area Shoreline. ....	15.0-28
Figure 15-3. 100-N Area Water Table Map, March 2009.....	15.0-29
Figure 15-4. Average Strontium-90 Concentrations in the 100-N Area, Upper Portion of Unconfined Aquifer. ....	15.0-30
Figure 15-5. Strontium-90 in Groundwater at the Apatite Permeable Reactive Barrier Study Area, November/December 2009, Upper Portion of Unconfined Aquifer. ....	15.0-31
Figure 15-6. Strontium-90 Concentrations and Water Levels Near the 116-N-1 Liquid Waste Disposal Facility.....	15.0-32
Figure 15-7. Strontium-90 Concentrations and Water Levels Near the 116-N-3 Liquid Waste Disposal Facility.....	15.0-32
Figure 15-8. Cross-Section of Wells and Aquifer Tubes and Strontium-90 Concentrations with Depth Along the 100-N Area Shoreline.....	15.0-33
Figure 15-9. Cross-Section of Wells and Aquifer Tubes and Strontium-90 Concentrations with Depth Along the Apatite Permeable Reactive Barrier, 100-N Area Shoreline.....	15.0-34
Figure 15-10. Strontium-90 Vertical Profile in 100-N Area NVP-Series Aquifer Tubes. ....	15.0-35
Figure 15-11. Strontium-90 Concentrations in Aquifer Tubes in the 100-N Area.....	15.0-35
Figure 15-12. Strontium-90 and Gross-Beta Concentrations in Aquifer Tube NVP2-116.0.....	15.0-36
Figure 15-13. Tritium Concentrations in the 100-N Area, Fall 2009, Upper Portion of Unconfined Aquifer. ....	15.0-37
Figure 15-14. Tritium Concentrations Near the 116-N-1 and 116-N-3 Liquid Waste Disposal Facilities. ....	15.0-38
Figure 15-15. Average Nitrate Concentrations in the 100-N Area, Upper Portion of Unconfined Aquifer. ....	15.0-39
Figure 15-16. Nitrate Concentrations Near the 116-N-1 Liquid Waste Disposal Facility. ....	15.0-40
Figure 15-17. Nitrate Concentrations Near the 116-N-3 Liquid Waste Disposal Facility. ....	15.0-40
Figure 15-18. Nitrate Concentrations Near the 120-N-1 Percolation Pond.....	15.0-41
Figure 15-19. Total Petroleum Hydrocarbon-Diesel Range Concentrations in 100-N Area, Upper Portion of the Unconfined Aquifer.....	15.0-42
Figure 15-20. Sulfate Concentrations in the 100-N Area, Upper Portion of Unconfined Aquifer.....	15.0-43
Figure 15-21. Manganese and Iron Detections in the 100-N Area, Upper Portion of Unconfined Aquifer.....	15.0-44
Figure 15-22. Chromium Detections in the 100-N Area, Upper Portion of the Unconfined Aquifer. ....	15.0-45
Figure 15-23. Gross-Beta Trend Plot for the Middle Upper Apatite Permeable Reactive Barrier. ....	15.0-46
Figure 15-24. Gross-Beta Trend Plot for the Middle Lower Apatite Permeable Reactive Barrier. ....	15.0-46
Figure 15-25. Gross-Beta Trend Plot for Highest Strontium-90 Concentration in Portion of the Apatite Permeable Reactive Barrier. ....	15.0-47
Figure 15-26. Location of Core Wells, Pilot Test #2 Site. ....	15.0-48
Figure 15-27. Location of Jet Injection Test Plots (Partial View of Upriver Permeable Reactive Barrier Extension).....	15.0-49
 Figure 16-1. Facilities in the 100-D Area with Wells. ....	16.0-43
Figure 16-2. Facilities in the 100-H Area with Wells.....	16.0-44
Figure 16-3. Monitoring Well Locations within the Horn Area of the 100-HR-3 Operable Unit.....	16.0-45

---

Figure 16-4.	100-HR-3 Operable Unit Water Table Elevation Map, March 2009.....	16.0-47
Figure 16-5.	Cross-Section of the 100-D and 100-H Areas.....	16.0-49
Figure 16-6.	100-HR-3 Operable Unit Chromium Map, Spring 2009. ....	16.0-51
Figure 16-7.	100-HR-3 Operable Unit Chromium Map, Fall 2009.....	16.0-53
Figure 16-8.	100-D Area Chromium Trend Plots (North and South Plumes). ....	16.0-55
Figure 16-9.	In Situ Redox Manipulation Detail Map. ....	16.0-57
Figure 16-10.	In Situ Redox Manipulation Operational Monitoring, Chromium. ....	16.0-58
Figure 16-11.	100-D Area Aquifer Tubes. ....	16.0-60
Figure 16-12.	100-D Area Southern Plume Aquifer Tubes (Cross-Section). ....	16.0-61
Figure 16-13.	100-D Area Northern Plume Aquifer Tubes (Cross-Section).....	16.0-62
Figure 16-14.	100-H Area Chromium Trend Plots. ....	16.0-63
Figure 16-15.	100-H Area Upstream Aquifer Tubes (Cross-Section). ....	16.0-65
Figure 16-16.	100-H Area Downstream Aquifer Tubes (Cross-Section). ....	16.0-66
Figure 16-17.	100-D Area Nitrate.....	16.0-67
Figure 16-18.	Influent/Effluent Concentrations and Removal Efficiencies for the 100-HR-3 Pump-and-Treat System. ....	16.0-68
Figure 16-19.	System Availability for the 100-HR-3 Pump-and-Treat System.....	16.0-69
Figure 16-20.	Pumping Rates for the 100-H Area.....	16.0-70
Figure 16-21.	Groundwater Elevation Contours for the 100-H Area. ....	16.0-71
Figure 16-22.	Approximate Extent of Capture for the 100-H Area for 2009 Using (a) Capture Efficiency and (b) Frequency Map. ....	16.0-72
Figure 16-23.	Approximate Extent of Capture for the 100-H Area Using (a) Capture Efficiency and (b) Frequency Map Overlaid with Hexavalent Chromium Contours for Spring 1009.....	16.0-73
Figure 16-24.	Influent/Effluent Concentrations and Removal Efficiencies for the DR-5 Pump-and-Treat System.....	16.0-74
Figure 16-25.	System Availability for the DR-5 Pump-and-Treat System. ....	16.0-75
Figure 16-26.	Pumping Rates for the D5 Wells.....	16.0-76
Figure 16-27.	Pumping Rates for the D8 Wells.....	16.0-77
Figure 16-28.	Groundwater Elevation Contours for 100-D Area. ....	16.0-78
Figure 16-29.	Approximate Extent of Capture for the 100-D Area for 2009 Using (a) Capture Efficiency and (b) Frequency Map. ....	16.0-79
Figure 16-30.	Approximate Extent of Capture for the 100-D Area Using (a) Capture Efficiency and (b) Frequency Map Overlaid with Hexavalent Chromium Contours for Spring 2009.....	16.0-80
Figure 16-31.	In Situ Redox Manipulation Map. ....	16.0-81
Figure 16-32.	Chromium Trend Plots for Compliance Wells. ....	16.0-82
Figure 16-33.	Dissolved Oxygen Map.....	16.0-83
Figure 16-34.	Biostimulation Configuration with Chromium Trends. ....	16.0-85
Figure 16-35.	Biostimulation Chromium Disappearance Graph. ....	16.0-85
Figure 17-1.	Facilities and Groundwater Monitoring Wells in the 100-F Area. ....	17.0-8
Figure 17-2.	100-F Area Water Table Map, March 2009.....	17.0-9
Figure 17-3.	Average Nitrate Concentrations in the 100-F Area Vicinity, Upper Portion of Unconfined Aquifer. ....	17.0-10
Figure 17-4.	Nitrate Concentrations in Central (199-F5-47) and Southwestern (199-F7-3) 100-F Area.....	17.0-11
Figure 17-5.	Average Strontium-90 Concentrations in the 100-F Area, Upper Portion of Unconfined Aquifer. ....	17.0-12
Figure 17-6.	Strontium-90 Concentrations in Wells Monitoring the Eastern 100-F Area.....	17.0-13
Figure 17-7.	Average Trichloroethene Concentrations in the 100-F Area, Upper Portion of Unconfined Aquifer. ....	17.0-14
Figure 17-8.	Trichloroethene Concentrations in Wells Monitoring the Southwestern 100-F Area. ....	17.0-15
Figure 17-9.	Average Chromium Concentrations in the 100-F Area, Upper Portion of Unconfined Aquifer. ....	17.0-16
Figure 17-10.	Chromium Concentrations in Wells Monitoring the Eastern 100-F Area. ....	17.0-17
Figure 18-1.	Operable Units Defined for the 300 Area National Priorities List Site. ....	18.0-21
Figure 18-2.	300 Area Monitoring Wells, Waste Sites, Buildings, and Shoreline Monitoring Sites. ....	18.0-22
Figure 18-3.	Monitoring Wells Near the 618-11 Burial Ground. ....	18.0-23
Figure 18-4.	Monitoring Wells Near the 618-10 Burial Ground. ....	18.0-24

---

Figure 18-5.	Water Table Map for the Region Containing the 300-FF-5 Operable Unit.....	18.0-25
Figure 18-6.	Hydrogeologic Cross-Sections Illustrating the Aquifer Beneath the 300 Area.....	18.0-26
Figure 18-7.	Uranium Concentrations in Groundwater Near the Former 300 Area Process Trenches.....	18.0-27
Figure 18-8.	Uranium Plume in Groundwater Beneath the 300 Area, December 2008.....	18.0-28
Figure 18-9.	Uranium Plume in Groundwater Beneath the 300 Area, June 2009.....	18.0-29
Figure 18-10.	Uranium Plume in Groundwater Beneath the 300 Area, December 2009.....	18.0-30
Figure 18-11.	Uranium Concentration Trends and Water Levels at (A) Near-River Wells and (B) Inland Wells, 300 Area Groundwater.....	18.0-31
Figure 18-12.	Uranium and Chromium Concentration Trends in Groundwater Downgradient from the Former 618-7 Burial Ground.....	18.0-32
Figure 18-13.	Uranium Distribution in Groundwater Beneath the 300 Area Shoreline.....	18.0-33
Figure 18-14.	Uranium Concentration Trends at Wells Adjacent to the 618-10 Burial Ground.....	18.0-34
Figure 18-15.	Trichloroethene Concentrations in Groundwater Beneath the 300 Area Shoreline.....	18.0-35
Figure 18-16.	Volatile Organic Compound Concentrations in Lower Portion of the Unconfined Aquifer at Well 399-1-16B, 300 Area.....	18.0-36
Figure 18-17.	Cross Section Showing Volatile Organic Compounds Encountered During Drilling, 300 Area.....	18.0-37
Figure 18-18.	Tritium Plume in Groundwater Near the 618-11 Burial Ground.....	18.0-38
Figure 18-19.	Tritium Concentration Trends at Wells Near the 618-11 Burial Ground.....	18.0-38
Figure 18-20.	Location Map for Polyphosphate Treatability Test Site and Integrated Field-Scale Research Challenge Test Site.....	18.0-39
Figure 18-21.	Uranium Concentrations in RCRA Monitoring Wells Downgradient from the Former 300 Area Process Trenches.....	18.0-40
Figure 19-1.	Facilities and Groundwater Monitoring Wells in the 1100-EM-1 Operable Unit.....	19.0-7
Figure 19-2.	1100-EM-1 Operable Unit and Adjacent 300 Area Water Table Map, March 2008.....	19.0-8
Figure 19-3.	Trichloroethene Concentrations in 1100-EM-1 Operable Unit.....	19.0-9
Figure 19-4.	Average Nitrate Concentrations in the 1100-EM-1 Operable Unit and Adjacent 300 Area, Upper Portion of Unconfined Aquifer.....	19.0-10
Figure 19-5.	Average Tritium Concentrations in the 1100-EM-1 Operable Unit and Adjacent 300 Area, Upper Portion of Unconfined Aquifer.....	19.0-11
Figure 20-1.	Well, Characterization Borehole, and Direct-Push Technology Installations, Calendar Year 2009.....	20.0-7
Figure 21-1.	Maximum Concentrations of Tritium, Strontium-90, and Uranium in Groundwater Wells, Aquifer Tubes, Riverbank Springs, and Near-Shore River Water.....	21.0-7

This page intentionally left blank.