Contents

1.0 Introduction 1.0-1
1.1 Purpose and Scope 1.0-3
1.2 Groundwater Monitoring 1.0-4
1.3 Compliance Summary for CERCLA Operable Units 1.0-6
1.3.1 100-BC-5 Operable Unit 1.0-6
1.3.2 100-KR-4 Operable Unit 1.0-6
1.3.3 100-NR-2 Operable Unit 1.0-7
1.3.4 100-HR-3 Operable Unit 1.0-7
1.3.5 100-FR-3 Operable Unit 1.0-8
1.3.6 200-BP-5 Operable Unit 1.0-8
1.3.7 200-PO-1 Operable Unit 1.0-9
1.3.8 200-UP-1 Operable Unit 1.0-9
1.3.9 200-ZP-1 Operable Unit 1.0-10
1.3.10 300-FF-5 Operable Unit 1.0-11
1.3.11 1100-EM-1 Operable Unit 1.0-11
1.4 Compliance Summary for Sites Regulated Under the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act 1.0-12
1.4.1 1301-N (116-N-1) Liquid Waste Disposal Facility 1.0-13
1.4.2 1324-NA (120-N-1) Percolation Pond and 1324-N (120-N-2) Surface Impoundment 1.0-13
1.4.3 1325-N (116-N-3) Liquid Waste Disposal Facility 1.0-14
1.4.4 183-H (116-H-6) Solar Evaporation Basins 1.0-14
1.4.5 Low-Level Waste Management Area 1 ..... 1.0-15
1.4.6 Low-Level Waste Management Area 2 ..... 1.0-15
1.4.7 Waste Management Area B-BX-BY 1.0-15
1.4.8 Waste Management Area C 1.0-15
1.4.9 Liquid Effluent Retention Facility 1.0-16
1.4.10 216-B-63 Trench 1.0-16
1.4.11 Integrated Disposal Facility 1.0-16
1.4.12 RCRA PUREX Cribs 1.0-16
1.4.13 Waste Management Area A-AX 1.0-17
1.4.14 216-A-29 Ditch 1.0-17
1.4.15 216-B-3 Pond 1.0-17
1.4.16 Nonradioactive Dangerous Waste Landfill 1.0-18
1.4.17 Waste Management Area S-SX 1.0-18
1.4.18 Waste Management Area U 1.0-18
1.4.19 216-S-10 Pond and Ditch 1.0-18
1.4.20 Low-Level Waste Management Area 3 ..... 1.0-19
1.4.21 Low-Level Waste Management Area 4 ..... 1.0-19
1.4.22 Waste Management Area T 1.0-19
1.4.23 Waste Management Area TX-TY 1.0-20
1.4.24 Former 300 Area Process Trenches (316-5 Waste Site) 1.0-20
1.5 Other Regulated Facilities 1.0-20
1.5.1 Solid Waste Landfill 1.0-21
1.5.2 Treated Effluent Disposal Facility 1.0-21
1.5.3 State-Approved Land Disposal Site 1.0-21
1.6 Shoreline Monitoring 1.0-22
1.7 CERCLA Five-Year Review 1.0-23
1.8 Quality Control Summary 1.0-23
1.9 Other Information Sources 1.0-24
1.10 Conventions Used in This Report 1.0-25
1.11 Sources of Additional Information 1.0-27

2.0 Overview of Hanford Hydrogeology and Geochemistry 2.0-1
2.1 Stratigraphic Setting 2.0-1
2.1.1 Columbia River Basalt Group 2.0-1
2.1.2 Ringold Formation 2.0-2
2.1.3 Cold Creek Unit 2.0-5
2.1.4 Hanford Formation 2.0-5
2.1.5 Clastic Dikes 2.0-7
2.1.6 Holocene Surficial Deposits 2.0-7
2.2 Tectonic Setting 2.0-7
2.3 Hydrologic Setting 2.0-8
2.3.1 Confined Aquifer 2.0-8
2.3.2 Unconfined Aquifer 2.0-9
2.3.3 Vadose Zone 2.0-10
2.3.4 Groundwater/River Interactions 2.0-10
2.4 Physical and Hydraulic Properties 2.0-12
2.4.1 Vadose Zone Properties 2.0-12
2.4.2 Saturated Zone (Unconfined Aquifer) Properties 2.0-13
2.5 Geochemical Overview of Selected Hanford Site Groundwater Contaminants 2.0-14
2.5.1 Uranium 2.0-15
2.5.2 Chromium 2.0-15
2.5.3 Nitrate 2.0-17
2.5.4 Carbon-14 ..... 2.0-18
2.5.5 Technetium-99 ..... 2.0-18
2.5.6 Tritium 2.0-19
2.5.7 Strontium-90 ..... 2.0-19
2.5.8 Iodine-129s 2.0-20
2.5.9 Carbon Tetrachloride 2.0-20
2.6 Selected Bibliography 2.0-20

3.0 Overview of Groundwater Flow 3.0-1
3.1 March 2010 Water Table and Changes from 2009 ..... 3.0-1
3.2 200 East Area Hydraulic Gradient Evaluation 3.0-5
3.2.1 Low-Level Waste Management Area 1 ..... 3.0-7
3.2.2 Integrated Disposal Facility/PUREX Cribs 3.0-8
3.2.3 Low-Level Waste Management Area 2 and 216-B-63 Trench 3.0-9

4.0 100-BC-5 Operable Unit 4.0-1
4.1 Groundwater Contaminants 4.0-2
4.1.1 Strontium-90 ..... 4.0-2
4.1.2 Tritium 4.0-3
4.1.3 Chromium 4.0-4
4.1.4 Nitrate 4.0-5
4.1.5 Additional Contaminants of Potential Concern 4.0-5
4.2 CERCLA Groundwater Activities 4.0-6
4.2.1 Remedial Investigation Activities 4.0-6
4.2.2 CERCLA Groundwater Monitoring 4.0-7
4.3 Conclusions and Recommendations 4.0-7

5.0 100-KR-4 Operable Unit 5.0-1
5.1 Contaminant Monitoring 5.0-5
5.1.1 Hexavalent Chromium Monitoring Results 5.0-6
5.1.2 Tritium Monitoring Results 5.0-10
5.1.3 Strontium-90 Monitoring Results 5.0-12

5.1.4 Carbon-14 Monitoring Results 5.0-14
5.1.5 Nitrate Monitoring Results 5.0-15
5.1.6 Volatile Organic Compounds Monitoring Results 5.0-16
5.1.7 Other Contaminants of Interest 5.0-17
5.2 CERCLA Groundwater Activities 5.0-18
5.2.1 Remedial Investigation/Feasibility Study Activities 5.0-20
5.2.2 Remedial Process Optimization Activities 5.0-21
5.2.3 100-KR-4 OU Pump-and-Treat Systems (KR4, KX, and KW) 5.0-22
5.2.4 Calcium Polysulfide Treatability Test 5.0-30
5.3 100-K Basins 5.0-31
5.3.1 KE Basin 5.0-32
5.3.2 KW Basin 5.0-33
5.4 Conclusions 5.0-33
5.5 Recommendations 5.0-36

6.0 100-NR-2 Operable Unit 6.0-1
6.1 Groundwater Contaminants 6.0-2
6.1.1 Strontium-90 ..... 6.0-3
6.1.2 Tritium 6.0-6
6.1.3 Nitrate 6.0-6
6.1.4 Petroleum Hydrocarbons 6.0-7
6.1.5 Sulfate 6.0-9
6.1.6 Manganese and Iron 6.0-10
6.1.7 Chromium 6.0-11
6.2 CERCLA Activities 6.0-13
6.2.1 CERCLA Decision Documents 6.0-13
6.2.2 Status of the Five-Year Review Action Items 6.0-13
6.2.3 Interim Action Monitoring 6.0-14
6.2.4 Permeable Reactive Barrier 6.0-15
6.2.5 Other Strontium-90 Treatment Technologies 6.0-20
6.2.6 Characterization of Petroleum Contamination 6.0-22
6.2.7 River Sediment Porewater Sampling 6.0-23
6.2.8 Remedial Investigation/Feasibility Study Aquifer Tube Sampling 6.0-25
6.3 RCRA Facility Monitoring 6.0-26
6.3.1 116-N-1 (1301-N) Liquid Waste Disposal Facility 6.0-26
6.3.2 120-N-1 (1324-NA) Percolation Pond and 120-N-2 (1324-N) Surface Impoundment 6.0-27
6.3.3 116-N-3 (1325-N) Liquid Waste Disposal Facility 6.0-27
6.4 Conclusions and Recommendations 6.0-28

7.0 100-HR-3 Operable Unit 7.0-1
7.1 Groundwater Contaminants 7.0-4
7.1.1 Hexavalent Chromium 7.0-4
7.1.2 Strontium-90 ..... 7.0-8
7.1.3 Technetium-99 and Uranium 7.0-8
7.1.4. Tritium 7.0-9
7.1.5 Nitrate and Nitrite 7.0-10
7.1.6 Sulfate 7.0-10
7.1.7 Gross Beta 7.0-11
7.2 CERCLA Groundwater Activities 7.0-11
7.2.1 Remedial Investigation Activities 7.0-13
7.2.2 Pump-and-Treat Systems 7.0-16
7.2.3 In Situ Redox Manipulation System 7.0-20
7.2.4 Zero-Valent Iron Injection 7.0-21

7.2.5 Characterization of Hexavalent Chromium Within the Ringold Upper Mud Unit 7.0-21
7.2.6 Horizontal Direction Drilling—Technology Demonstration 7.0-22
7.3 RCRA Groundwater Monitoring of 183-H Solar Evaporation Basins 7.0-23
7.4 Conclusions and Recommendations 7.0-24
7.4.1 Conclusions 7.0-24
7.4.2 Recommendations 7.0-26

8.0 100-FR-3 Operable Unit 8.0-1
8.1 Groundwater Contaminants 8.0-2
8.1.1 Nitrate 8.0-2
8.1.2 Strontium-90 ..... 8.0-3
8.1.3 Chromium 8.0-3
8.1.4 Trichloroethene 8.0-4
8.1.5 Tritium 8.0-4
8.1.6 Uranium and Gross Alpha 8.0-4
8.1.7 Additional Contaminants of Potential Concern 8.0-5
8.2 CERCLA Groundwater Activities 8.0-5
8.2.1 Remedial Investigation Activities 8.0-5
8.3 Conclusions and Recommendations 8.0-7

9.0 200-BP-5 Operable Unit 9.0-1
9.1 Groundwater Contaminants 9.0-5
9.1.1 Nitrate 9.0-6
9.1.2 Iodine-129 ..... 9.0-15
9.1.3 Technetium-99 ..... 9.0-17
9.1.4 Uranium 9.0-21
9.1.5 Strontium-90 ..... 9.0-23
9.1.6 Cyanide 9.0-24
9.1.7 Cesium-137 ..... 9.0-25
9.1.8 Plutonium-239/240 ..... 9.0-26
9.1.9 Tritium 9.0-26
9.1.10 Sulfate 9.0-27
9.2 CERCLA Groundwater Activities 9.0-28
9.2.1 Routine Groundwater Monitoring 9.0-28
9.2.2 Remedial Investigation Activities 9.0-29
9.2.3 Treatability Test Plan 9.0-31
9.3 RCRA Treatment, Storage, and Disposal Unit Monitoring 9.0-31
9.3.1 Low-Level Waste Management Area 1 ..... 9.0-32
9.3.2 Low-Level Waste Management Area 2 ..... 9.0-36
9.3.3 Waste Management Area B-BX-BY 9.0-40
9.3.4 Waste Management Area C 9.0-45
9.3.5 Liquid Effluent Retention Facility 9.0-49
9.3.6 216-B-63 Trench 9.0-51
9.4 Conclusions and Recommendations 9.0-53
9.4.1 Conclusions 9.0-53
9.4.2 Recommendations 9.0-55

10.0 200-PO-1 Operable Unit 10.0-1
10.1 Groundwater Contaminants 10.0-3
10.1.1 Tritium 10.0-4
10.1.2 Iodine-129 ..... 10.0-5
10.1.3 Nitrate 10.0-6
10.1.4 Strontium-90 ..... 10.0-7

10.1.5 Technetium-99 ..... 10.0-7
10.1.6 Uranium 10.0-7
10.1.7 Other Constituents 10.0-8
10.2 CERCLA Groundwater Activities 10.0-9
10.2.1 Remedial Investigation Report 10.0-10
10.2.2 CERCLA Groundwater Monitoring 10.0-11
10.2.3 Geophysical Investigations 10.0-13
10.3 RCRA Groundwater Monitoring 10.0-13
10.3.1 Integrated Disposal Facility (Immobilized Low-Activity Waste) 10.0-14
10.3.2 216-A-10, 216-A-36B, and 216-A-37-1 (RCRA PUREX) Cribs 10.0-15
10.3.3 Waste Management Area A-AX 10.0-17
10.3.4 216-A-29 Ditch 10.0-20
10.3.5 216-B-3 Pond 10.0-22
10.3.6 Nonradioactive Dangerous Waste Landfill 10.0-25
10.4 Other Regulated Facilities 10.0-26
10.4.1 Solid Waste Landfill 10.0-26
10.4.2 200 Area Treated Effluent Disposal Facility 10.0-30
10.4.3 400 Area Water Supply Wells 10.0-31
10.5 Conclusions and Recommendations 10.0-32
10.5.1 Conclusions 10.0-32
10.5.2 Recommendations 10.0-33

11.0 200-UP-1 Operable Unit 11.0-1
11.1 Groundwater Contaminants 11.0-4
11.1.1 Technetium-99 ..... 11.0-5
11.1.2 Uranium 11.0-6
11.1.3 Tritium 11.0-7
11.1.4 Iodine-129 ..... 11.0-8
11.1.5 Nitrate 11.0-9
11.1.6 Chromium 11.0-10
11.1.7 Chlorinated Hydrocarbons 11.0-11
11.1.8 Strontium-90 ..... 11.0-12
11.1.9 Other Constituents 11.0-12
11.2 CERCLA Groundwater Activities 11.0-13
11.2.1 Remedial Investigation/Feasibility Study 11.0-14
11.2.2 U Plant Pump-and-Treat System 11.0-14
11.2.3 Extended Purging of Well 299-W23-19 ..... 11.0-18
11.3 Facility Monitoring 11.0-18
11.3.1 Waste Management Area S-SX 11.0-18
11.3.2 Waste Management Area U 11.0-22
11.3.3 216-S-10 Pond and Ditch 11.0-25
11.3.4 Environmental Restoration Disposal Facility 11.0-29
11.4 Conclusions and Recommendations 11.0-31
11.4.1 Conclusions 11.0-31
11.4.2 Recommendations 11.0-32

12.0 200-ZP-1 Operable Unit 12.0-1
12.1 Conceptual Model 12.0-1
12.2 Groundwater Contaminants 12.0-3
12.2.1 Carbon Tetrachloride 12.0-3
12.2.2 Trichloroethylene 12.0-5
12.2.3 Nitrate 12.0-5
12.2.4 Chromium 12.0-5

12.2.5 Tritium 12.0-6
12.2.6 Iodine-129 ..... 12.0-6
12.2.7 Technetium-99 ..... 12.0-6
12.2.8 Other Constituents 12.0-7
12.3 CERCLA Groundwater Activities 12.0-8
12.3.1 CERCLA Decision Documents 12.0-9
12.3.2 Pump-and-Treat System for Carbon Tetrachloride 12.0-10
12.3.3 Pump-and-Treat System for Technetium-99 ..... 12.0-15
12.3.4 Vertical Distribution of Technetium-99 Throughout the Unconfined Aquifer Sediments 12.0-17
12.4 Facility Monitoring 12.0-17
12.4.1 Low-Level Waste Management Area 3 ..... 12.0-18
12.4.2 Low-Level Waste Management Area 4 ..... 12.0-20
12.4.3 Waste Management Area T 12.0-23
12.4.4 Waste Management Area TX-TY 12.0-26
12.4.5 State-Approved Land Disposal Site 12.0-30
12.5 Conclusions and Recommendations 12.0-32
12.5.1 Conclusions 12.0-32
12.5.2 Recommendations 12.0-34

13.0 300-FF-5 Operable Unit 13.0-1
13.1 Groundwater Contaminants 13.0-4
13.1.1 Uranium 13.0-5
13.1.2 Volatile Organic Compounds 13.0-10
13.1.3 Tritium 13.0-11
13.1.4 Nitrate 13.0-12
13.1.5 Other Constituents 13.0-13
13.2 CERCLA Groundwater Activities 13.0-14
13.2.1 Remedial Investigation/Feasibility Study 13.0-15
13.2.2 Interim Remedial Action Monitoring 13.0-17
13.2.3 Treatability Tests for Uranium Contamination in the Subsurface 13.0-17
13.2.4 Research Activities 13.0-18
13.3 Facility Monitoring: 300 Area Process Trenches 13.0-18
13.3.1 Network Evaluation and Compliance Status 13.0-19
13.3.2 Groundwater Contaminants 13.0-19
13.4 Conclusions and Recommendations 13.0-20
13.4.1 Conclusions 13.0-20
13.4.2 Recommendations 13.0-21

14.0 1100-EM-1 Groundwater Interest Area 14.0-1
14.1 Groundwater Contaminants 14.0-3
14.1.1 Trichloroethene 14.0-3
14.1.2 Nitrate 14.0-4
14.1.3 Tritium 14.0-4
14.1.4 Gross Alpha and Uranium 14.0-5
14.2 CERCLA Activities 14.0-5
14.3 Conclusions and Recommendations 14.0-5

15.0 Confined Aquifers 15.0-1
15.1 Ringold Formation Confined Aquifer 15.0-2
15.1.1 Groundwater Flow in the Ringold Confined Aquifer 15.0-2
15.1.2 Groundwater Quality in the Ringold Confined Aquifer 15.0-3
15.2 Upper Basalt-Confined Aquifer System 15.0-4
15.2.1 Groundwater Flow in the Upper Basalt-Confined Aquifer System 15.0-5

15.2.2 Groundwater Quality in the Upper Basalt-Confined Aquifer System 15.0-6
15.3 Conclusions and Recommendations 15.0-9

16.0 Well Installation, Maintenance, and Decommissioning 16.0-1
16.1 Well Installation 16.0-1
16.2 Characterization Boreholes and Direct-Push Technology Installation 16.0-2
16.3 Well Maintenance 16.0-3
16.4 Well Decommissioning 16.0-4

17.0 Columbia River 17.0-1
17.1 Seep and River Monitoring 17.0-1
17.2 River Corridor Baseline Risk Assessment 17.0-2
17.3 Hanford Site Releases to the Columbia River 17.0-3
17.4 Columbia River Porewater Sampling in the 100-BC and 100-N Areas 17.0-3

18.0 Vadose Zone Remediation, Monitoring, and Characterization 18.0-1
18.1 Carbon Tetrachloride Soil Vapor Monitoring and Remediation 18.0-1
18.1.1 Soil Vapor Extraction 18.0-2
18.1.2 Monitoring of Offline Wells and Probes 18.0-3
18.1.3 Passive Soil Vapor Extraction 18.0-3
18.2 Tank Farm Vadose Zone Activities 18.0-4
18.2.1 Direct-Push Boreholes and Sampling 18.0-4
18.2.2 Surface Geophysical Exploration 18.0-5
18.2.3 Geophysical Logging 18.0-5
18.2.4 Interim Barriers 18.0-6
18.3 Comparison of Three Methods for Characterizing Heterogeneous Hanford Sediments 18.0-7
18.3.1 Field Injection Experiment 18.0-7
18.3.2 Comparison of Observed and Simulated Moisture Plume 18.0-9

19.0 References 19.0-1
Public Laws 19.0-1
Code of Federal Regulations 19.0-1
Washington Administrative Code 19.0-1
Revised Code of Washington 19.0-2
Other References 19.0-2

Appendices

A Supporting Information for CERCLA Groundwater Operable Units ..... A-i
B Supporting Information for Monitored Facilities ..... B-i
C Supporting Information for Aquifer Sampling Tubes ..... C-i
D Quality Assurance and Quality Control ..... D-i

Tables

Table 1-1. Reporting Requirements for Groundwater Monitoring 1.0-29
Table 1-2. Number of Groundwater Analyses for Selected Constituents, CY 2010 ..... 1.0-29
Table 1-3. Maximum Concentrations of Selected Groundwater Contaminants in Groundwater Interest Areas, January Through December 2010 ..... 1.0-31

Table 1-4. RCRA Monitoring Status for the Reporting Period 1.0-35
Table 1-5. Selected Drinking Water Standards and Groundwater Cleanup Levels 1.0-36
Table 1-6. Derived Concentration Guides, 4-mrem Effective Dose Equivalent Concentrations, Drinking Water Standards, and Risk-Based Concentrations for Hanford Site Radionuclides in Groundwater 1.0-37

Table 2-1. Hydrostratigraphic Units for Which Physical, Hydrologic, and Geochemical Data Can Be Defined 2.0-23
Table 2-2. Best Estimate for Selected Site-Wide Vadose Zone Properties 2.0-25
Table 2-3. Ranges of Horizontal Hydraulic Conductivity Values for Each Hydrostratigraphic Unit 2.0-27
Table 2-4. Best Estimate for Selected Site-Wide Saturated Zone Properties 2.0-29

Table 3-1. Hydraulic Gradient Determinations for Low-Level Waste Management Area 1 ..... 3.0-11
Table 3-2. Hydraulic Gradient Determinations for Integrated Disposal Facility/PUREX Cribs 3.0-12

Table 4-1. Contaminants of Potential Concern for 100-B/C Area 4.0-8
Table 4-2. 100-B/C Area Remedial Investigation Groundwater Activities in 2010 ..... 4.0-10

Table 5-1. Hexavalent Chromium Concentrations at the 116-K-2 Plume Wells and Aquifer Tubes, CY 2008 to CY 2010 ..... 5.0-38
Table 5-2. Hexavalent Chromium Concentrations at the KW Reactor Wells and Aquifer Tubes, CY 2008 to CY 2010 ..... 5.0-41
Table 5-3. Hexavalent Chromium Concentrations at the KE Wells and Aquifer Tubes, CY 2008 to CY 2010 ..... 5.0-42
Table 5-4. Tritium Activities at the 116-K-2 Wells and Aquifer Tubes, CY 2008 to CY 2010 ..... 5.0-43
Table 5-5. Tritium Activities at the KW Reactor Wells and Aquifer Tubes, CY 2008 to CY 2010 ..... 5.0-45
Table 5-6. Tritium Activities at the KE Reactor Wells and Aquifer Tubes, CY 2008 to CY 2010 ..... 5.0-46
Table 5-7. Strontium-90 Activities at the 116-K-2 Wells and Aquifer Tubes, CY 2008 to CY 2010 ..... 5.0-47
Table 5-8. Strontium-90 Activities at the KW Reactor Wells and Aquifer Tubes, CY 2008 to CY 2010 ..... 5.0-49
Table 5-9. Strontium-90 Activities at the KE Reactor Wells and Aquifer Tubes 5.0-50
Table 5-10. Carbon-14 Activities at the KW Reactor Wells and Aquifer Tubes, CY 2008 to CY 2010 ..... 5.0-51
Table 5-11. Carbon-14 Activities at the KE Reactor Wells and Aquifer Tubes, CY 2008 to CY 2010 ..... 5.0-52
Table 5-12. Nitrate Concentrations at the 116-K-2 Wells and Aquifer Tubes, CY 2008 to CY 2010 ..... 5.0-53
Table 5-13. Nitrate Concentrations at the KW Reactor Wells and Aquifer Tubes, CY 2008 to CY 2010 ..... 5.0-55
Table 5-14. Nitrate Concentrations at the KE Reactor Wells and Aquifer Tubes, CY 2008 to CY 2010 ..... 5.0-56
Table 5-15. KR4 Pump-and-Treat System Operation Parameters, CY 2010 ..... 5.0-57
Table 5-16. KX Pump-and-Treat System Operational Parameters, CY 2009 and CY 2010 ..... 5.0-58
Table 5-17. KW Pump-and-Treat System Operation Parameters, CY 2009 and CY 2010 ..... 5.0-59

Table 6-1. Nitrate Concentrations in Wells in the 100-N Area Exceeding the Drinking Water Standard (45 mg/L) ... 6.0-31
Table 6-2. Hydrocarbon Product Removal from Well 199-N-18 (2003 to Present) 6.0-31
Table 6-3. 100-NR-2 Operable Unit Apatite Permeable Reactive Barrier Timeline 6.0-32
Table 6-4. 100-NR-2 Operable Unit Apatite Permeable Reactive Barrier Monitoring Locations (Includes Barrier Wells, Monitoring Wells, and Aquifer Tubes) 6.0-33
Table 6-5. 100-NR-2 Operable Unit Apatite Permeable Reactive Barrier Performance Monitoring Constituents 6.0-34
Table 6-6. Porewater Sampling Results for Strontium-90, Tritium, and Hexavalent Chromium 6.0-35
Table 6-7. Remedial Investigation/Feasibility Study Aquifer Tube Sampling Results for Strontium-90, Tritium, and Hexavalent Chromium 6.0-36

Table 7-1. Concentration Limits for 183-H Solar Evaporation Basins 7.0-28

Table 8-1. Contaminants of Potential Concern for 100-F Area Groundwater 8.0-8
Table 8-2. Maximum Concentrations of Gross Alpha and Uranium in 100-F Area Groundwater, CY 2010 ..... 8.0-10
Table 8-3. 100-F Area Remedial Investigation Groundwater Activities in 2010 ..... 8.0-11

Table 9-1. Monthly Corrected Groundwater Elevations in Northwest Corner of 200 East Area Used to Derive Groundwater Flow Direction and Rate 9.0-57

Table 10-1. Summary of Groundwater Conditions in 200-PO-1 Operable Unit Exceeding Standards and Basis for Feasibility Study 10.0-35
Table 10-2. Well Screen Characteristics of the Three 400 Area Water Supply Wells 10.0-36

Table 11-1. 200-UP-1 Operable Unit Treatment System Summary 11.0-34
Table 11-2. 200-UP-1 Operable Unit Pump-and-Treat System Mass/Activity of Contaminants Removed from Aquifer 11.0-34
Table 11-3. Quantity of Treated Groundwater and Technetium-99 Removed from Aquifer During Extended Purging at Well 299-W23-19 ..... 11.0-34

Table 12-1. 200-ZP-1 Operable Unit Pump-and-Treat Performance Summary, CY 2010 ..... 12.0-36
Table 12-2. 200-ZP-1 Operable Unit Treatment System Availability, CY 2010 ..... 12.0-36
Table 12-3. Waste Management Area T Treatment System Availability, CY 2010 ..... 12.0-36
Table 12-4. Waste Management Area T Pump-and-Treat Performance Summary, CY 2010 ..... 12.0-37

Table 13-1. Maximum Observed Concentrations for 300 Area Process Trenches Waste Indicators in Groundwater, 2008 Through 2010 ..... 13.0-23

Table 15-1. Potential Contaminants of Interest in Ringold Confined Aquifer, 2008 Through 2010 ..... 15.0-10
Table 15-2. Potential Contaminants of Interest in the Upper Basalt-Confined Aquifer, Calendar Year 2008 Through Calendar Year 2010 ..... 15.0-12
Table 15-3. Upper Basalt-Confined Aquifer Field Parameters for Calendar Year 2010 ..... 15.0-15

Table 16-1. Well Installations for the Reporting Period 16.0-5
Table 16-2. Characterization Boreholes and Direct-Push Technology Installation, Calendar Year 2010 ..... 16.0-12
Table 16-3. Well Maintenance Summary for the Reporting Period 16.0-13
Table 16-4. Decommissioned Wells for the Reporting Period 16.0-24

Table 17-1. Hanford Site Contaminants in Columbia River Seeps (Springs), Calendar Year 2010 ..... 17.0-5

Table 18-1. Carbon Tetrachloride Inventory Removed by Vapor Extraction from Primary Disposal Sites 18.0-12

Figures

Figure 1-1. Location of the Hanford Site 1.0-38
Figure 1-2. Groundwater Operable Units and Groundwater Interest Areas on the Hanford Site 1.0-39
Figure 1-3. Average CY 2010 Tritium Concentrations on the Hanford Site, Upper Portion of Unconfined Aquifer 1.0-40
Figure 1-4. Average CY 2010 Iodine-129 Concentrations on the Hanford Site, Upper Portion of Unconfined Aquifer 1.0-41

Figure 2-1. Location of the Pasco Basin and Hanford Site 2.0-31
Figure 2-2. Stratigraphic Column for the Hanford Site Showing Nomenclature 2.0-32
Figure 2-3. General Geologic Structures of the Pasco Basin and Hanford Site 2.0-33
Figure 2-4. Facies of the Ringold Formation Within the Central Pasco Basin 2.0-34
Figure 2-5. Hydrogeologic Cross-Section 3 (C-C') 2.0-35
Figure 2-6. Lower Ringold Formation Beneath the 200 East Area 2.0-37
Figure 2-7. Facies Distribution for the Cold Creek Unit Within the Central Pasco Basin 2.0-38
Figure 2-8. Ice Age Flood Geomorphic Features of the Pasco Basin 2.0-39

Figure 2-9. Cross-Section Location Map 2.0-40
Figure 2-10. Hydrogeologic Cross-Section 1 (A-A') 2.0-41
Figure 2-11. Hydrogeologic Cross-Section 2 (B-B') 2.0-43
Figure 2-12. Distribution of Hydrogeologic Units at Maximum Water Table (after PNNL-14753) 2.0-44
Figure 2-13. Hydraulic Conductivity Distribution at Maximum Water Table (after PNNL-14753) 2.0-45
Figure 2-14. Hydraulic Conductivity Distribution Along Cross-Section (a) A-A' and (b) B-B' at the Maximum Water Table (after PNNL-14753) 2.0-46

Figure 3-1. Groundwater Monitoring Wells on the Hanford Site 3.0-13
Figure 3-2. Hanford Site Water Table Map, March 2010 ..... 3.0-15
Figure 3-3. 200 East Area Water Table Elevations and Monthly Disposal Volumes at the Treated Effluent Disposal Facility, Calendar Years 2009 and 2010 ..... 3.0-17
Figure 3-4. Low-Level Waste Management Area 1 Water-Level Monitoring Well Network 3.0-18
Figure 3-5. Integrated Disposal Facility/PUREX Cribs Water-Level Monitoring Well Network 3.0-19
Figure 3-6. Low-Level Waste Management Area 2/216-B-63 Trench Water-Level Monitoring Well Network 3.0-20

Figure 4-1. Facilities, Groundwater Monitoring Wells, and Shoreline Monitoring Sites (Aquifer Tubes) in the 100-B/C Area 4.0-11
Figure 4-2. Stratigraphic and Hydrogeologic Units of 100-B/C Area 4.0-12
Figure 4-3. 100-B/C Area Water Table Map, March 2010 ..... 4.0-13
Figure 4-4. Strontium-90 Concentrations in the 100-B/C Area Unconfined Aquifer, Fall 2010 ..... 4.0-14
Figure 4-5. Strontium-90 Concentrations in Central 100-B/C Area Wells 4.0-15
Figure 4-6. Strontium-90 Concentrations in Northern 100-B/C Area Wells 4.0-15
Figure 4-7. Tritium Concentrations in the 100-B/C Area Unconfined Aquifer, Fall 2010 ..... 4.0-16
Figure 4-8. Tritium Concentrations in Northern 100-B/C Area Wells 4.0-17
Figure 4-9. Tritium Concentrations in Southern 100-B/C Area Wells 4.0-17
Figure 4-10. Tritium Concentration Profile in New Wells 4.0-18
Figure 4-11. Chromium Concentrations in the 100-B/C Area Unconfined Aquifer, Fall 2010 ..... 4.0-19
Figure 4-12. Chromium Concentrations in Well 199-B3-47, Northeastern 100-B/C Area 4.0-20
Figure 4-13. Nitrate Concentrations in Northern 100-B/C Area Wells 4.0-20

Figure 5-1. 100-K Area Location Map 5.0-60
Figure 5-2. Overview of 100-KR-4 Operable Unit Wells and Facilities 5.0-61
Figure 5-3. Water-Level Contours at the 100-KR-4 Operable Unit 5.0-63
Figure 5-4. Spring and Fall 2010 Hexavalent Chromium Plume Map 5.0-65
Figure 5-5. Spring and Fall 2010 Tritium Plume Map 5.0-67
Figure 5-6. Spring and Fall 2010 Strontium-90 Plume Map 5.0-69
Figure 5-7. Spring and Fall 2010 Carbon-14 Plume Map 5.0-71
Figure 5-8. Spring and Fall 2010 Nitrate Plume Map 5.0-73
Figure 5-9. Spring and Fall 2010 Trichloroethene Plume Map 5.0-75
Figure 5-10. Fall 2010 Hexavalent Chromium Plume Map for KR4 Pump-and-Treat System 5.0-77
Figure 5-11. Fall 2010 Hexavalent Chromium Plume Map for KX Pump-and-Treat System 5.0-79
Figure 5-12. Fall 2010 Hexavalent Chromium Plume Map for KW Pump-and-Treat System 5.0-81
Figure 5-13. Composite Capture Zone Efficiency/Effectiveness Maps for 100-KR-4 Operable Unit Pump-and-Treat Systems 5.0-83
Figure 5-14. Individual Capture Zone Efficiency/Effectiveness Maps for the 100-KR-4 Operable Unit Pump-and-Treat Systems 5.0-84

Figure 6-1. Facilities and Groundwater Monitoring Wells in the 100-N Area 6.0-39
Figure 6-2. Aquifer Tubes, Monitoring Wells, and Apatite Permeable Reactive Barrier Monitoring Locations on the 100-N Area Shoreline 6.0-40
Figure 6-3. 100-N Area Water Table Map, March 2010 ..... 6.0-41
Figure 6-4. Average Strontium-90 Concentrations in the 100-N Area, Upper Portion of Unconfined Aquifer 6.0-42

Figure 6-5. Strontium-90 in Groundwater at the Apatite Permeable Reactive Barrier Study Area, Fall 2010, Upper Portion of Unconfined Aquifer 6.0-43
Figure 6-6. Strontium-90 Concentrations and Water Levels near 116-N-1 Liquid Waste Disposal Facility 6.0-44
Figure 6-7. Strontium-90 Concentrations and Water Levels near 116-N-3 Liquid Waste Disposal Facility 6.0-44
Figure 6-8. Cross Section of Wells and Aquifer Tubes and Strontium-90 Concentrations with Depth Along the 100-N Area Shoreline 6.0-45
Figure 6-9. Cross Section of Wells and Aquifer Tubes and Strontium-90 Concentrations with Depth Along the Apatite Permeable Reactive Barrier, 100-N Area Shoreline 6.0-46
Figure 6-10. Strontium-90 Vertical Profile in the NVP2-Series Aquifer Tubes 6.0-47
Figure 6-11. Strontium-90 Concentration in Aquifer Tubes in 100-N Area 6.0-47
Figure 6-12. Strontium-90 and Gross Beta Concentrations in Aquifer Tube NVP2-116.0m 6.0-48
Figure 6-13. Average Tritium Concentrations in the 100-N Area, Upper Unconfined Aquifer 6.0-49
Figure 6-14. Tritium Concentrations near 116-N-1 and 116-N-3 Liquid Waste Disposal Facilities 6.0-50
Figure 6-15. Average Nitrate Concentrations in the 100-N Area, Upper Unconfined Aquifer 6.0-51
Figure 6-16. Nitrate Concentrations for the 116-N-1 Liquid Waste Disposal Facility 6.0-52
Figure 6-17. Nitrate Concentrations for the 116-N-3 Liquid Waste Disposal Facility 6.0-53
Figure 6-18. Nitrate Concentrations for the 120-N-1 Percolation Pond 6.0-53
Figure 6-19. Total Petroleum Hydrocarbon-Diesel Range Concentrations in 100-N Area, April Through December 2010, Upper Unconfined Aquifer 6.0-54
Figure 6-20. Sulfate Concentrations in 100-N Area, Upper Unconfined Aquifer 6.0-55
Figure 6-21. Manganese and Iron Detections in 100-N Area, Upper Portion of Unconfined Aquifer 6.0-56
Figure 6-22. Filtered Total Chromium and Sulfate Trend Plot for Well 199-N-80 ..... 6.0-57
Figure 6-23. Chromium Detections in 100-N Area, Upper Portion of Unconfined Aquifer 6.0-58
Figure 6-24. Gross Beta Trend Plot for Middle Upper Section of Apatite Permeable Reactive Barrier 6.0-59
Figure 6-25. Gross Beta Trend Plot for Middle Lower Section of Apatite Permeable Reactive Barrier 6.0-59
Figure 6-26. Gross Beta Trend Plot for the Highest Strontium-90 Concentration Portion of Apatite Permeable Reactive Barrier 6.0-60
Figure 6-27. Location of Core Wells at the Pilot Test #2 Site, Apatite Permeable Reactive Barrier 6.0-61
Figure 6-28. Location of Jet Injection Test Plots and Core Wells Along 100-N Area Shoreline 6.0-62
Figure 6-29. 100-N Area Follow-Up River Porewater Sampling Locations, November/December 2010 ..... 6.0-63
Figure 6-30. Potential Contaminant Source Pathways for 100-N Outfall Structure Strontium-90 ..... 6.0-64
Figure 6-31. Remedial Investigation/Feasibility Study Aquifer Tube Sampling Locations 6.0-65
Figure 6-32. Specific Conductance in Well 199-N-73 ..... 6.0-66

Figure 7-1. Facilities, Wells, and Shoreline Monitoring Sites in the 100-D Area 7.0-29
Figure 7-2. Facilities, Wells, and Shoreline Monitoring Sites in the 100-H Area 7.0-30
Figure 7-3. Facilities, Wells, and Shoreline Monitoring Sites within the Horn Area of the 100-HR-3 Operable Unit 7.0-31
Figure 7-4. Conceptual Cross Section of the 100-D and 100-H Areas 7.0-32
Figure 7-5. 100-HR-3 Operable Unit Water Table Elevation Map, March 2010 ..... 7.0-33
Figure 7-6. 100-HR-3 Operable Unit Water Table Elevation Map, September 2010 ..... 7.0-35
Figure 7-7. 100-HR-3 Operable Unit Water Table Elevation Map, June 2010 ..... 7.0-37
Figure 7-8. 100-HR-3 Operable Unit Hexavalent Chromium Map, Spring 2010 ..... 7.0-39
Figure 7-9. 100-HR-3 Operable Unit Hexavalent Chromium Map, Fall 2010 ..... 7.0-41
Figure 7-10. 100-D Area Hexavalent Chromium Trend Plots (South Plume) 7.0-43
Figure 7-11. 100-D Area Aquifer Tubes 7.0-45
Figure 7-12. 100-D Area Hexavalent Chromium Trend Plots (North Plume) 7.0-47
Figure 7-13. 100-H Area Hexavalent Chromium Trend Plots 7.0-49
Figure 7-14. 100-D Area Nitrate Map, Spring 2010 ..... 7.0-51
Figure 7-15. Injection/Extraction Well Locations in the 100-D Area 7.0-52
Figure 7-16. Injection/Extraction Well Locations in the 100-H Area 7.0-53
Figure 7-17. HR-3 Pump-and-Treat System Capture Efficiency/Frequency Map, CY 2010 ..... 7.0-54
Figure 7-18. DR-5 Pump-and-Treat System Capture Efficiency/Frequency Map, CY 2010 ..... 7.0-55

Figure 7-19. In Situ Redox Manipulation Detail Map 7.0-56
Figure 7-20. In Situ Redox Manipulation Map 7.0-57
Figure 7-21. Chromium Trend Plots for Compliance Wells 7.0-58

Figure 8-1. Facilities and Groundwater Monitoring Wells in the 100-F Area 8.0-12
Figure 8-2. Stratigraphic and Hydrogeologic Units in 100-F Area 8.0-13
Figure 8-3. 100-F Area Water Table Map, March 2010 ..... 8.0-14
Figure 8-4. Nitrate Concentrations in 100-F Area and Vicinity, Unconfined Aquifer, Fall 2010 ..... 8.0-15
Figure 8-5. Nitrate Concentrations in Central (199-F5-4) and Southwestern (199-F7-3) 100-F Area 8.0-16
Figure 8-6. Strontium-90 Concentrations in 100-F Area, Unconfined Aquifer, Fall 2010 ..... 8.0-17
Figure 8-7. Strontium-90 Concentrations in Wells Monitoring the Eastern 100-F Area 8.0-18
Figure 8-8. Chromium Concentrations in 100-F Area, Unconfined Aquifer, Fall 2010 ..... 8.0-19
Figure 8-9. Chromium Concentrations in Wells Monitoring the Eastern 100-F Area 8.0-20
Figure 8-10. Trichloroethene Concentrations in 100-F Area, Unconfined Aquifer, Fall 2010 ..... 8.0-21
Figure 8-11. Trichloroethene Concentrations in Wells Monitoring Southwestern 100-F Area 8.0-22

Figure 9-1. Boundaries of 200-BP-5 Groundwater Operable Unit and Adjacent Operable Units 9.0-58
Figure 9-2. Facilities and Groundwater Monitoring Wells in 200-BP-5 Operable Unit Portion of 200 East Area 9.0-59
Figure 9-3. Facilities and Groundwater Monitoring Wells in 600 Area Associated with 200-BP-5 Operable Unit 9.0-61
Figure 9-4. 200 East Area Water Table Map, March 2010 ..... 9.0-63
Figure 9-5. Comparison of Treated Effluent Disposal Facility Discharges with 200 East Area Groundwater Elevations 9.0-65
Figure 9-6. Low-Level Waste Management Area 1 Historical Trend Surface Results and Associated Azimuth Directional Vectors 9.0-67
Figure 9-7. Average Groundwater Nitrate Concentrations in 200 East Area and 600 Area in 200-BP-5 Operable Unit, CY 2010 ..... 9.0-69
Figure 9-9. Cross Section B-B' of the B Complex Conceptual Model Density Plume for Nitrate 9.0-71
Figure 9-9. Cross Section L2-L2' of the Nitrate Plume and Top of Basalt Conceptualization from the B Complex to the Gable Gap 9.0-73
Figure 9-10. Comparison of Historical Groundwater Elevation Trends for Wells 299-E33-18 and 699-60-60 ..... 9.0-75
Figure 9-11. Nitrate Trend Plot of Wells 299-E28-18, 299-e28-26, 299-E28-27, 299-E28-28, and 299-E32-4 ..... 9.0-76
Figure 9-12. Cross Section C-C' for the Nitrate Distribution Conceptual Model for Wells 299-E28-18, 299-E28-26, and 299-E28-30 ..... 9.0-77
Figure 9-13. Nitrate Trend Results for Wells 299-E28-9 and 299-E28-16 ..... 9.0-79
Figure 9-14. 450 mg/L Nitrate Contour Near Well 299-E28-30 at Approximately 7 meters Below Groundwater Table 9.0-80
Figure 9-15. Cross Section A-A' of the Nitrate Contaminant Portrayal Through Wells 699-50-53A to 699-53-55A 9.0-81
Figure 9-16. Nitrate Trend Plot at Waste Management Area C Monitoring Well 299-E27-14 ..... 9.0-82
Figure 9-17. Average Groundwater Iodine-129 Concentrations in 200 East Area and 600 Area in 200-BP-5 Operable Unit, CY 2010 ..... 9.0-83
Figure 9-18. Average Groundwater Technetium-99 Concentrations in 200 East Area and 600 Area in 200-BP-5 Operable Unit, CY 2010 ..... 9.0-85
Figure 9-19. Groundwater Elevation Comparison Between the Confined and Unconfined Aquifers 9.0-87
Figure 9-20. Technetium-99 Trend Plot Between Wells 299-E33-41, 299-E33-339, and 299-E33-343 ..... 9.0-88
Figure 9-21. Trend Plot Comparison of Technetium-99 Between Wells 299-E33-26 and 299-E33-38 ..... 9.0-89
Figure 9-22. Map View of the Interpreted Technetium-99 Plume Associated with Depth-Discrete Sample Results at 3.05 and 9.14 meters Beneath Water Table Southwest of Waste Management Area C 9.0-90
Figure 9-23. Comparison of Ion Chemistry for Five Waste Management Area C Wells 9.0-91
Figure 9-24. Technetium-99 to Nitrate Ratio Contour Map at WMA C and Alternative Technetium-99 Contour Plume Map at WMA C 9.0-93
Figure 9-25. Average Groundwater Uranium Concentrations in 200 East Area and 600 Area in 200-BP-5 Operable Unit, CY 2010 ..... 9.0-94

Figure 9-26. Average Groundwater Strontium-90 Concentrations in 200 East Area and 600 Area in 200-BP-5 Operable Unit, CY 2010 ..... 9.0-95
Figure 9-27. Average Groundwater Cyanide Concentrations in 200 East Area and 600 Area in 200-BP-5 Operable Unit, CY 2010 ..... 9.0-97
Figure 9-28. Average Groundwater Tritium Concentrations in 200 East Area and 600 Area in 200-BP-5 Operable Unit, CY 2010 ..... 9.0-99
Figure 9-29. Average Groundwater Sulfate Concentrations in 200 East Area and 600 Area in 200-BP-5 Operable Unit, CY 2010 ..... 9.0-101
Figure 9-30. Trend Plot of Wells 299-E27-10 and 299-E34-7 ..... 9.0-102
Figure 9-31. 216-E-10 Burial Ground Site Map and LLWMA-1 Well Network 9.0-103
Figure 9-32. 216-E-12B Burial Ground Site Map and LLWMA-2 Well Network 9.0-104
Figure 9-33. Ion Chemistry for Groundwater Sample at Well 299-E34-13 ..... 9.0-105
Figure 9-34. Trends of Selected Anions and Metals for Well 299-E33-47 ..... 9.0-106
Figure 9-35. Interpreted Extent of Detectable Cyanide at Waste Management Area C 9.0-107

Figure 10-1. Facilities and Wells in 200 East Area (Near-Field) 10.0-37
Figure 10-2. Map of 200-PO-1 Operable Unit Boundaries, Far-Field Wells, Shoreline Monitoring Sites, and Transects 10.0-39
Figure 10-3. Water Table Map for the 200-PO-1 Operable Unit, March 2010 ..... 10.0-40
Figure 10-4. Tritium Plume Map for 200-PO-1 Operable Unit 10.0-41
Figure 10-5. Tritium Plume Map (Near-Field) 10.0-43
Figure 10-6. Tritium Concentrations in PUREX Cribs 10.0-45
Figure 10-7. Iodine-129 Plume Map for 200-PO-1 Operable Unit 10.0-47
Figure 10-8. Iodine-129 Concentration in Well 299-E17-19 at the 216-A-10 Crib 10.0-49
Figure 10-9. Nitrate Plume Map — 200-PO-1 Operable Unit (Far-Field) 10.0-50
Figure 10-10. Nitrate Plume Map — 200 East Area (Near-Field) 10.0-51
Figure 10-11. Nitrate Concentration in Well 299-E17-19 Near the 216-A-10 Crib 10.0-52
Figure 10-12. Technetium-99 Concentrations in Wells at Waste Management Area A-AX 10.0-52
Figure 10-13. Local Plume Map for Uranium Near the PUREX Cribs 10.0-53
Figure 10-14. Uranium Concentration Near the PUREX Cribs 10.0-54
Figure 10-15. Cross Section of the Airborne Electromagnetic Geophysical Survey North of the 300 Area 10.0-55
Figure 10-16. Nitrate Concentrations in Wells at 216-A-36B Crib 10.0-56
Figure 10-17. Nitrate Concentrations in Wells at 216-A-10 Crib 10.0-57
Figure 10-18. Nitrate Concentrations in Wells at 216-A-37-1 Crib 10.0-57
Figure 10-19. Total Organic Carbon Concentrations in Wells at Waste Management Area A-AX 10.0-58
Figure 10-20. Nitrate Concentrations in Wells at Waste Management Area A-AX 10.0-58
Figure 10-21. Technetium-99 Plume Map of Waste Management Area A-AX and Waste Management Area C 10.0-59
Figure 10-22. Major Anions and Specific Conductance in Well 299-E25-32P 10.0-60
Figure 10-23. Specific Conductance in 216-A-29 Ditch Wells 10.0-60
Figure 10-24. Tritium Concentrations in the Three 400 Area Water Supply Wells 10.0-61

Figure 11-1. Facilities and Groundwater Monitoring Wells in 200-UP-1 Operable Unit 11.0-35
Figure 11-2. Cross Section Locations for the 200-UP-1 Operable Unit 11.0-37
Figure 11-3. Water Table Map for 200-UP-1 Groundwater Interest Area, March 2010 ..... 11.0-39
Figure 11-4. Chromium, Technetium-99, and Uranium Plume Areas Above the Drinking Water Standard in the 200-UP-1 Groundwater Interest Area 11.0-41
Figure 11-5. Iodine-129, Nitrate, and Tritium Plume Areas Above the Drinking Water Standard in the 200-UP-1 Groundwater Interest Area 11.0-42
Figure 11-6. Average Technetium-99 Concentrations in 200-UP-1 Groundwater Interest Area, Upper Portion of Unconfined Aquifer 11.0-43
Figure 11-7. Cross Section of the Technetium-99 Plume from the 216-U-1/2 Cribs 11.0-45
Figure 11-8. Chromium, Nitrate, and Technetium-99 Concentrations in Well 299-W23-19, Southern Portion of Waste Management Area S-SX 11.0-47

Figure 11-9. Cross Section of the Southern Technetium-99 Plume from Waste Management Area S-SX 11.0-49
Figure 11-10. Nitrate, Chromium, and Technetium-99 Concentrations in Well 299-W22-44 Downgradient from S Tank Farm 11.0-51
Figure 11-11. Nitrate, Chromium, and Technetium-99 Concentrations in Well 299-W22-26 Downgradient from S Tank Farm 11.0-51
Figure 11-12. Average Uranium Concentrations in 200-UP-1 Groundwater Interest Area, Upper Portion of Unconfined Aquifer 11.0-52
Figure 11-13. Cross Section of the Uranium Plume from the 216-U-1/2 Cribs 11.0-53
Figure 11-14. Average Tritium Concentrations in 200-UP-1 Groundwater Interest Area, Upper Portion of Unconfined Aquifer 11.0-55
Figure 11-15. Average Iodine-129 Concentrations in 200-UP-1 Groundwater Interest Area, Upper Portion of Unconfined Aquifer 11.0-57
Figure 11-16. Cross Section of the Iodine-129 Plume from the 216-U-1/2 Cribs 11.0-59
Figure 11-17. Average Nitrate Concentrations in 200-UP-1 Groundwater Interest Area, Upper Portion of Unconfined Aquifer 11.0-61
Figure 11-18. Cross Section of the Nitrate Plume from the 216-U-1/2 Cribs 11.0-63
Figure 11-19. Average Chromium Concentrations in the 200-UP-1 Groundwater Interest Area, Upper Portion of Unconfined Aquifer 11.0-65
Figure 11-20. Cross Section of the Chromium Plume East-Southeast of the 200 West Area 11.0-67
Figure 11-21. Cross Section of the Carbon Tetrachloride Plume Within the 200-UP-1 Operable Unit 11.0-69
Figure 11-22. 200-UP-1 Operable Unit Pump-and-Treat System Capture Frequency, CY 2010 ..... 11.0-71
Figure 11-23. Uranium Concentrations in 200-UP-1 Operable Unit Pump-and-Treat System Wells 11.0-72
Figure 11-24. Technetium-99 Concentrations in 200-UP-1 Operable Unit Pump-and-Treat System Wells 11.0-72
Figure 11-25. Carbon Tetrachloride Concentrations in 200-UP-1 Operable Unit Pump-and-Treat System Wells 11.0-73
Figure 11-26. Nitrate Concentrations in 200-UP-1 Operable Unit Pump-and-Treat System Wells 11.0-73
Figure 11-27. Average Uranium Concentrations in 200-UP-1 Operable Unit Pump-and-Treat System Area, Upper Portion of Unconfined Aquifer 11.0-74
Figure 11-28. Average Technetium-99 Concentrations in 200-UP-1 Operable Unit Pump-and-Treat System Area, Upper Portion of Unconfined Aquifer 11.0-75
Figure 11-29. Average Nitrate Concentrations in Waste Management Area S-SX, Upper Portion of Unconfined Aquifer 11.0-76
Figure 11-30. Average Chromium Concentrations in Waste Management Area S-SX, Upper Portion of Unconfined Aquifer 11.0-77
Figure 11-31. Average Technetium-99 Concentrations in Waste Management Area S-SX, Upper Portion of Unconfined Aquifer 11.0-78
Figure 11-32. Average Nitrate and Technetium-99 Concentrations in Waste Management Area U, Upper Portion of Unconfined Aquifer 11.0-79
Figure 11-33. Specific Conductance in the 216-S-10 Pond and Ditch Monitoring Wells 11.0-80
Figure 11-34. Nitrate Concentrations in the 216-S-10 Pond and Ditch Monitoring Wells 11.0-80
Figure 11-35. Sulfate Concentrations in the 216-S-10 Pond and Ditch Monitoring Wells 11.0-81

Figure 12-1. Facilities and Groundwater Monitoring Wells in the 200-ZP-1 Operable Unit 12.0-39
Figure 12-2. 200 West Area Water Table Map, CY 2010 ..... 12.0-41
Figure 12-3. Hydrograph for Selected Wells in Northern Portion of 200 West Area 12.0-43
Figure 12-4. Average Carbon Tetrachloride Concentration in the 200 West Area, Upper Portion of Unconfined Aquifer 12.0-45
Figure 12-5. Average Carbon Tetrachloride Concentration in 200 West Area Throughout the Unconfined Aquifer and Locations of the Final Remedy Extraction and Injection Wells 12.0-47
Figure 12-6. Carbon Tetrachloride Concentration in Well 699-48-71, Northeast of 200 West Area 12.0-48
Figure 12-7. 200-ZP-1 Groundwater Operable Unit Carbon Tetrachloride Concentration Plan View at 94 m Elevation Above Mean Sea Level and Cross Section A A' 12.0-49
Figure 12-8. 200-ZP-1 Groundwater Operable Unit Carbon Tetrachloride Plume Cross-Section A-A' 12.0-50
Figure 12-9. Average Trichloroethylene Concentration in Central and Northern 200 West Area, Upper Portion of Unconfined Aquifer 12.0-51

Figure 12-10. Average Nitrate Concentration in Central and Northern 200 West Area, Upper Portion of the Unconfined Aquifer 12.0-52
Figure 12-11. Average Filtered Chromium Concentration Northern 200 West Area, Upper Portion of Aquifer 12.0-53
Figure 12-12. Average Tritium Concentration in Central and Northern 200 West Area, Upper Portion of Unconfined Aquifer 12.0-54
Figure 12-13. Average Iodine-129 Concentration in Central and Northern 200 West Area, Upper Portion of Unconfined Aquifer 12.0-55
Figure 12-14. Average Technetium-99 Concentration in Central and Northern 200 West Area, Upper Portion of Unconfined Aquifer 12.0-56
Figure 12-15. 200-ZP-1 Operable Unit Approximate Extent of Capture Overlaid with Contoured Extent of Carbon Tetrachloride, CY 2010 ..... 12.0-57
Figure 12-16. Carbon Tetrachloride Trend Plots for Monitoring Wells 12.0-58
Figure 12-17. Chloroform Trend Plots for Monitoring Wells 12.0-59
Figure 12-18. Trichloroethylene Trend Plots for Monitoring Wells 12.0-60
Figure 12-19. Carbon Tetrachloride Trend Plots for Extraction Wells 12.0-61
Figure 12-20. Technetium-99 Trend Plots for Monitoring Wells 12.0-62
Figure 12-21. Technetium-99 Trend Plots for Extraction Wells 12.0-62
Figure 12-22. 200-ZP-1 Groundwater Operable Unit Technetium-99 Concentration Plan View at 127 m Elevation Above Mean Sea Level and Cross-Section A-A' 12.0-63
Figure 12-23. 200-ZP-1 Groundwater Operable Unit Technetium-99 Plume Cross-Section A-A' 12.0-64
Figure 12-24. Concentration of Selected Contaminants in Wells 299-W14-3 and 299-W14-5, Waste Management Area TX-TY 12.0-65
Figure 12-25. Tritium Concentrations in Wells Monitoring the State-Approved Land Disposal Site 12.0-66

Figure 13-1. Index Map Showing 300-FF-1, 300-FF-2, and 300-FF-5 Operable Units 13.0-24
Figure 13-2. 300 Area Monitoring Wells, Waste Sites, Buildings, and Shoreline Monitoring Sites 13.0-25
Figure 13-3. Monitoring Wells Near 618-11 Burial Ground 13.0-26
Figure 13-4. Monitoring Wells Near 618-10 Burial Ground 13.0-27
Figure 13-5. Water Table Elevation Map for Region Containing the 300-FF-5 Operable Unit 13.0-28
Figure 13-6. Index Map to the Hydrogeologic Cross Sections Illustrating Aquifer Beneath the 300 Area 13.0-29
Figure 13-7a and b. Hydrogeologic Cross Sections Illustrating Aquifer Beneath the 300 Area 13.0-30
Figure 13-8. Uranium Concentrations in Groundwater Near Former 300 Area Process Trenches 13.0-31
Figure 13-9. Uranium Plume in Groundwater Beneath 300 Area, June 2010 ..... 13.0-32
Figure 13-10. Uranium Concentration Trends and Water Levels at (A) Near-River Wells and (B) Inland Wells, 300 Area Groundwater 13.0-33
Figure 13-11. Uranium and Chromium Concentration Trends in Groundwater Downgradient from Former 618-7 Burial Ground 13.0-34
Figure 13-12. Uranium Distribution in Groundwater Beneath 300 Area Shoreline 13.0-35
Figure 13-13. Uranium Concentration Trends at Wells Adjacent to 618-10 Burial Ground 13.0-36
Figure 13-14. Trichloroethene Concentrations in Groundwater Beneath 300 Area Shoreline 13.0-37
Figure 13-15. Volatile Organic Compound Concentrations in Lower Portion of Unconfined Aquifer at Well 399-1-16B, 300 Area 13.0-38
Figure 13-16. Cross Section Showing Volatile Organic Compounds Encountered During Drilling, 300 Area 13.0-39
Figure 13-17. Tritium Plume in Groundwater Near 618-11 Burial Ground 13.0-41
Figure 13-18. Tritium Concentration Trends at Wells Near 618-11 Burial Ground 13.0-42
Figure 13-19. 300 Area Index Map Showing Remedial Investigation Drilling Locations, Treatability Test Site, and IFRC Site 13.0-43

Figure 14-1. Facilities and Groundwater Monitoring Wells in the 1100-EM-1 Operable Unit 14.0-7
Figure 14-2. 1100-EM-1 Operable Unit and Adjacent 300 Area Water Table Map, March 2010 ..... 14.0-8
Figure 14-3. Trichloroethene Concentrations in 1100-EM-1 Operable Unit and Adjacent Richland North Area, Upper Portion of Unconfined Aquifer 14.0-9

Figure 14-4. Average Nitrate Concentrations in the 1100-EM-1 Operable Unit and Adjacent 300 Area, Upper Portion of Unconfined Aquifer 14.0-10
Figure 14-5. Average Tritium Concentrations in the 1100-EM-1 Operable Unit and Adjacent 300 Area, Upper Portion of Unconfined Aquifer 14.0-11

Figure 15-1. Extent of Partial or Complete Erosion of the Elephant Mountain Basalt in the 200 East Area/Gable Mountain Region (from DOE/RL-2008-01) 15.0-16
Figure 15-2. Potentiometric Surface Map of Ringold Formation Confined Aquifer (Unit 9), Central Hanford Site, March 2010 ..... 15.0-17
Figure 15-3. Groundwater Monitoring Wells Sampled in Ringold Formation Confined and Upper Basalt-Confined Aquifers, Fiscal Year 2007 Through Calendar Year 2010 ..... 15.0-18
Figure 15-4. Potentiometric Surface Map of Upper Basalt-Confined Aquifer System, March 2010 ..... 15.0-19
Figure 15-5. Comparison of Observed Heads for Upper Basalt-Confined Aquifer and Overlying Unconfined Aquifer 15.0-20

Figure 16-1. Categorization of Unique Well Identification Numbers 16.0-27
Figure 16-2. Hanford Site and Surrounding Area Depicting Various Geographic Regions 16.0-28
Figure 16-3. Site-Wide Well Installations for the Reporting Period 16.0-29
Figure 16-4. 100-B/C, 100-K, and 100-N Area Well Installations for the Reporting Period 16.0-30
Figure 16-5. 100-D and 100-H Area Well Installations for the Reporting Period 16.0-31
Figure 16-6. 200 East Area and 200 West Area Well Installations for the Reporting Period 16.0-32

Figure 18-1. Locations of Carbon Tetrachloride Soil Vapor Extraction Wells at 216-Z-1A/216-Z-12/216-Z-18 and 216-Z-9 Well Fields 18.0-13
Figure 18-2. Results of Three-Dimensional Resistivity Survey of UPR-200-E-86 ..... 18.0-14
Figure 18-3. Results of Well-to-Well Resistivity Survey of Waste Management Area A-AX 18.0-15
Figure 18-4. Aerial Photograph of Interim Surface Barrier and Evapotranspiration Basin, TY Tank Farm 18.0-16
Figure 18-5. Plan View of the Sisson and Lu Injection Site and the Well Numbering Scheme 18.0-17
Figure 18-6. (a) Observed Moisture Plume at the Sisson and Lu Injection Site on July 31, 2000; (b) Upscaling Method Based Simulated Moisture Plume at the Sisson and Lu Field Injection Site on July 31, 2000; (c) Cokriging/Artificial Neural Network (ANN) Method Based Simulated Moisture Plume at the Sisson and Lu Field Injection Site on July 31, 2000; and (d) Transition Probability (TP)/Markov Chain (MC) Method Based Simulated Moisture Plume at the Sisson and Lu Field Injection Site on July 31, 2000 ..... 18.0-18