

Processing Facilities, Buildings, and Structures – Breakout Group

In order to develop some very specific tools and perspectives to assist in risk-balancing considerations (associated with future risk assessments and remedial/closure decisions), the following questions can be posed to solicit stakeholder input and values. Specifically for **Contaminated Facility End States**:

What end-state do the stakeholders envision for the various classes of facilities (such as canyons, plutonium processing facilities, ancillary facilities, waste storage/treatment facilities, etc.) on the Central Plateau?

How do you feel about leaving facilities in place (i.e., fully standing) versus demolishing them?

Under what situations would you think it appropriate to retrieve, treat and dispose of some or all of the waste within and/or under the facility or is consolidation and isolation of waste within the facility a viable option?

If a canyon facility is left in place or is partially demolished, can additional waste be placed in it?

How would the potentially high dose rates and hazards to workers encountered during cleanup activities affect these decisions?

If data collection activities are purposely focused on defining the highest levels of contamination, how important is additional detailed characterization information in making these decisions? How does this change for different end states or hazards?