



Appendix I-G

Comments and Responses on the Screening Assessment and Requirements for a Comprehensive Assessment

This appendix contains the comments and responses resulting from a review of an April 1997 draft of the *Screening Assessment and Requirements for a Comprehensive Assessment*. The document was distributed to a wide audience, including technical reviewers, the CRCIA Team and their organizations, and anyone who accessed it from the Internet. In May 1997, a series of public information meetings was held in Richland and Seattle, Washington, and in Hood River and Portland, Oregon. Comments resulting from that meeting also are included in this appendix. The comments were reviewed by the CRCIA Team members, who determined the appropriate responses. Where appropriate, this final version of the report has incorporated the resolutions to comments.

Because of the size and large number of comments and responses, two tables were created. Both tables are on a diskette (WordPerfect 5.1 for DOS) included with this report. The comments table is labeled "com-tab.WP5" and contains the following information: the comment number (assigned at random when comments were received), the commentor (the group or organization submitting the comment), the location in the document where the comment applies, and the comment resolution number(s). The resolution is represented by a number in the comments table because the table does not have enough room to include the full comments, the resolution, and the other information.

The resolutions table is labeled "resp-tab.WP5." The resolutions can be found by looking for the response number that was assigned to the comment in "com-tab.WP5." The resolution table contains the following information: the resolution number, the comment numbers(s) the resolution applies to, and the full text of the resolution. One resolution may apply to several comments.

In most cases, the resolution is provided from the CRCIA Team. In a few cases where the opinions of one or more members of the team were significantly different from the rest of the team, both "majority" and "minority" opinions (responses) are provided and indicated as such, with the minority organization identified.

Because multiple authors responded to comments, a series of response numbers was assigned to each author. None of the authors used all their assigned numbers. Therefore, there will be gaps in resolution numbers (that is, while resolution numbers may go as high as into the 900s, there are not that many resolutions to comments).

Comments #1-32 were made during the May 1997 public information meetings. Because those comments were recorded on tape and then transcribed, some comments were not completely captured. The rest of the comments were received in writing and are presented as received, without editing or corrections.