



# Section B:1

## *Waste Management*

### **PROJECT MANAGERS**

G.H. Sanders, RL  
(509) 376-6888

E.S. Aromi Jr., WMH  
(509) 372-1033

## **SUMMARY**

Waste Management consists of the Solid Waste Storage and Disposal, Project Baseline Summary (PBS) WM03, Work Breakdown Structure (WBS) 1.2.1; Solid Waste Treatment, PBS WM04, WBS 1.2.2; Liquid Effluents - 200 Area, PBS WM05, WBS 1.2.3.1; and the Waste Encapsulation and Storage Facility, PBS TP02, WBS 1.4.2.

PBS WM05 is divided between WBS 1.2.3.1, Liquid Effluents (200 LEF) and WBS 1.2.3.2, 310 TEDF/340 Facility (300 LEF). The 310 TEDF/340 Facility work scope is now included in the River Corridor Project, whereas the Liquid Effluents (200 LEF) work scope has remained in Waste Management. For the purpose of performance analysis, PBS WM05 is reported in its entirety in the Waste Management Project (WMP), which has the majority of the work scope and funding.

NOTE: Unless otherwise noted, the Safety, Conduct of Operations, Milestone Achievement, and Cost/Schedule data contained herein is as of August 31, 2000. Other data is updated as noted.

Fiscal-year-to-date milestone performance (EA, DOE-HQ and RL) shows that two milestones (100 percent) were completed ahead of schedule. Overall Project performance continues to be excellent. Cost and schedule goals are on track to be met.

## **ACCOMPLISHMENTS**

- The Project has completed or is forecasted to complete all FY 2000 milestones on or ahead of schedule. In addition, all Performance Incentive commitments, including stretch goals, are all forecasted to be complete by September 30, 2000.
- The second shipment of Transuranic (TRU) waste to the Waste Isolation Project Plant (WIPP) was completed on August 24, 2000 (40 drums). A third shipment of 42 drums was sent on September 20, 2000. Carlsbad Area Office (CAO) requested the waste shipment's arrival at WIPP correspond to DOE Secretary Richardson's visit on September 22, 2000.
- Retrieval and designation of 425 suspect TRU drums was achieved with the completion of field assaying on August 3, 2000. The validation of the assay data is in progress (targeted late September 2000) which will complete the Performance Incentive (PI) expectation.
- The following activities were completed at the Waste Receiving and Processing (WRAP) facility through September 11, 2000 (FYTD):
  - Nondestructive examination of 48 drums (872 FYTD)
  - Radiography on 19 boxes (47 FYTD)
  - Nondestructive assays of 61 drums (944 FYTD)
  - Processing of 11 drums through the Low Level Waste (LLW) repackaging/compaction glovebox (40 FYTD)
  - Repackaged 14 WIPP TRU drum in the TRU glovebox




- Treatment at Allied Technology Group, Inc. is on schedule to complete the FY 2000 stretch commitment of 1,160 cubic meters (m<sup>3</sup>) in September 2000.
- The Effluent Treatment Facility (ETF) RCRA Campaign 00-01 started on August 19, 2000, and was completed on September 11, 2000. FYTD through August 31, 2000, 16.5 million gallons of UP-1 wastewater (0.5 million gallons for the month) and 1.1 million gallons of RCRA waste from Liquid Effluent Retention Facility (LERF) Basin 42 were processed through the 200 ETF. FYTD through September 11, 2000, 2.3 million gallons of RCRA waste from LERF Basin 42 were processed through the 200 ETF.
- The Criticality Safety Evaluation Report (CSER) 00-022, "Storage and Handling of Plutonium Residue Containers in Pipe Overpack Containers at the Waste Receiving and Processing (WRAP), Central Waste Complex (CWC), and T Plant Facilities," has been completed. This CSER addresses the storage and handling of plutonium-bearing waste in the pipe overpack containers at these facilities to support the stabilization program at the Plutonium Finishing Plant (PFP).

## **SAFETY**

WMP has achieved one million safe hours. Rates have been stable for over two years. WMP has no OSHA recordable cases in August. If September data ends in at least one standard deviation below average, this project will show a significant decrease.

During the month of August, the WMP experienced 7 first aid cases and 2 "not job related" cases. OSHA recordable injuries are down from previous levels.

**PHMC Environmental Management Performance Report – October 2000**  
**Section B: 1 – Waste Management**




## CONDUCT OF OPERATIONS / ISMS STATUS

### Conduct of Operations Index


*Events per 200,000 Operations Hours*

FY 98 = 12.4  
 FY 99 = 11.4  
 FY 00 to date = 7.2

**Green**



### Number of Reports Past 12 Months



## ISMS STATUS

**Green**

### Completed Activities:

All issues identified as "Opportunities for Improvement," "Concerns," or "Orphans" within the Phase II Report (both Vol. 1, and Vol. 2) have been reviewed by the Deficiency Evaluation Group, and appropriate Corrective Action Plans have been established. Many actions have already been completed, and closed. Others have a Corrective Action Plan being worked. Most actions should be closed by December 31, 2000.

The WMP / Analytical Services System Descriptions were re-written to include actions from Phase I and II Verifications and the many changes to procedures that have occurred since verification.

**Planned Action:**

Configuration Control of the WMP portion of the MP-003 document will be detailed and distributed as part of the Project level “sustain and maintain” efforts. The project will implement the Sustain and Maintain Plan for ISMS when approved.

## **BREAKTHROUGHS / OPPORTUNITIES FOR IMPROVEMENT**

### **Breakthroughs**

None to report.

### **Opportunities for Improvement**

Green

**Battelle Columbus TRU Waste** — A meeting with Ohio Field Office and Battelle Columbus Laboratory (BCL) representatives regarding TRU waste shipments to Hanford was held here on September 6, 2000. Up to 25 cubic meters of RH TRU waste could potentially be shipped here from BCL for temporary storage prior to disposition at the WIPP. This approach is being considered because the current, and quite uncertain, WIPP schedule does not support the BCL closure schedule. The shipments would be made in the CNS/GTS Duratek 10-160B cask; GTS representatives gave a brief presentation on the cask particulars. The DOE representatives came to agreement on a Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) for the transfer; the two DOE Site Managers would approve the MOU. It was stated that DOE HQ approves pursuing this path. Details of the requirements and risks associated with this potential activity are being developed. The earliest that waste from BCL could be ready for shipment is March 2001.

**Waste and Materials Disposition (except Plutonium) Team** — RL is assessing the framework under which it can maximize its cleanup while working to incorporate a “realistic” funding profile over the next 10 to 15 years. Consistent with the RL outcomes, the priority is to achieve the River Corridor Outcome by 2010, or shortly thereafter. Guidance for re-sequencing the current baseline activities in the 200 Areas will result. The Waste Management Project is leading the Waste and Materials Disposition (except plutonium) Team to identify opportunities for improvement. A combination of delayed TRU waste retrieval and M-91 facility delay were the only options evaluated. A consolidated report of the five separate teams that prepared studies is expected to be available from the RL-lead task force. (*Currently awaiting RL action; no updated status provided since last report.*)

**TRU Waste Shipment to WIPP** — The DOE-Carlsbad Area Office (CAO) Manager and staff members met with Hanford TRU Project staff on September 11, 2000 to discuss TRU waste processing and shipping at Hanford. The meeting provided a good opportunity for CAO to understand program challenges at Hanford, as well as identifying areas where CAO and Hanford can work cooperatively to improve processing efficiency (e.g., permit modifications, technology needs, less stringent application or interpretation of existing requirements). Additional funding (\$900K) was requested from CAO to supplement the existing Hanford FY01 budget. No commitment was made at the meeting from CAO regarding the request for funding.

## **UPCOMING ACTIVITIES**

**WIPP Certification and Waste Shipments** — Ramp-up shipment of Hanford TRU waste to Waste Isolation Pilot Plant (WIPP).

**Remote-Handled TRU Project Management Plan (PMP)** — Support RL meetings with Ecology to address Ecology's August 14, 2000, letter disapproving the PMP.

### **Accelerate Readiness to Receive Spent Nuclear Fuel K Basin Sludge**

- T Plant deck clean-off underway. Clear three sections of the T Plant Canyon deck in FY 2000 and complete entire deck clearing by FY 2001.
- Complete safety basis documentation and long lead procurements in FY 2001. Install handling, drying and loading equipment in FY 2001.
- Complete procedures, training, and Operations Readiness Review (ORR) by June 2001.
- Complete Shippingport (PA) fuel movement out of T Plant in FY 2002.

**Land Disposal Restriction (LDR) Report** — The 45-day primary document comment period on the Interim LDR report ended on September 14, 2000. A letter was received from Ecology requesting a two-week extension (to September 28, 2000) to the comment period. Once comments are received, DOE responses are due within 30 days. Based on current disagreements over substantial issues, it can be anticipated that not all of Ecology's comments will be able to be resolved.

## **COST PERFORMANCE (\$M):**

	<b>BCWP</b>	<b>ACWP</b>	<b>VARIANCE</b>
<b>Waste Management</b>	\$100.7	\$94.1	\$6.6

The \$6.6 million (7 percent) favorable cost variance is within the established threshold. Further information at the PBS level can be found in the following Cost Variance Analysis.

**SCHEDULE PERFORMANCE (\$M):**

	<b>BCWP</b>	<b>BCWS</b>	<b>VARIANCE</b>
<b>Waste Management</b>	\$100.7	\$102.3	- \$1.6

The \$1.6 million (2 percent) unfavorable schedule variance is within established threshold. Further information at the PBS level can be found in the following Schedule Variance Analysis.

**FY 2000 COST/SCHEDULE PERFORMANCE – ALL FUND TYPES**  
**CUMULATIVE TO DATE STATUS – (\$000)**

**Green**


By PBS		FYTD								PEM	EAC
		BCWS	BCWP	ACWP	SV	%	CV	%			
PBS WM03 WBS 1.2.1	Solid Waste Storage & Disposal	\$ 33,923	\$ 33,702	\$ 30,534	\$ (221)	-1%	\$ 3,168	9%	\$ 38,504	\$ 33,953	
PBS WM04 WBS 1.2.2	Solid Waste Treatment	\$ 32,348	\$ 31,490	\$ 30,115	\$ (858)	-3%	\$ 1,375	4%	\$ 36,270	\$ 38,105	
PBS WM05* WBS 1.2.3	Liquid Effluents - 200/300 Area	\$ 24,616	\$ 24,292	\$ 22,250	\$ (324)	-1%	\$ 2,042	8%	\$ 27,392	\$ 25,232	
PBS TP02 WBS 1.4.2	WESF	\$ 11,369	\$ 11,211	\$ 11,206	\$ (158)	-1%	\$ 5	0%	\$ 12,800	\$ 12,480	
<b>Total</b>		\$ 102,255	\$ 100,695	\$ 94,105	\$ (1,560)	-2%	\$ 6,590	7%	\$ 114,967	\$ 109,770	

PBS WM05 includes the 300 Area Liquid Effluent, which is part of the River Corridor Project.

RL-Directed costs (steam and laundry) are included in the Project Execution Module (PEM) BCWS.

## COST/SCHEDULE PERFORMANCE INDICES (MONTHLY AND FYTD)

Green



FY 2000	OCT	NOV	DEC	JAN	FEB	MAR	APR	MAY	JUN	JUL	AUG	SEP
MONTHLY SPI	0.93	0.86	1.03	0.88	0.90	1.07	0.96	1.11	1.04	0.99	1.03	1.03
MONTHLY CPI	1.66	0.87	0.98	0.94	0.86	1.07	0.99	0.94	1.10	1.31	1.41	
FYTD SPI	0.93	0.89	0.93	0.92	0.91	0.95	0.97	0.98	0.98	0.98	0.98	0.98
FYTD CPI	1.66	1.09	1.05	1.02	0.98	1.00	1.00	0.99	1.00	1.03	1.03	1.07
MONTHLY BCWS	\$ 6,641	\$ 9,616	\$ 7,269	\$ 8,331	\$ 8,862	\$ 10,686	\$ 8,906	\$ 9,121	\$ 9,646	\$ 10,040	\$ 13,138	\$ 12,712
MONTHLY BCWP	\$ 6,163	\$ 8,277	\$ 7,499	\$ 7,291	\$ 7,973	\$ 11,406	\$ 8,514	\$ 10,136	\$ 10,012	\$ 9,913	\$ 13,511	
MONTHLY ACWP	\$ 3,703	\$ 9,520	\$ 7,619	\$ 7,789	\$ 9,270	\$ 10,685	\$ 8,562	\$ 10,729	\$ 9,108	\$ 7,557	\$ 9,563	
FYTD BCWS	\$ 6,641	\$ 16,257	\$ 23,526	\$ 31,857	\$ 40,719	\$ 51,404	\$ 60,310	\$ 69,431	\$ 79,076	\$ 89,117	\$ 102,255	\$ 114,967
FYTD BCWP	\$ 6,163	\$ 14,440	\$ 21,939	\$ 29,230	\$ 37,203	\$ 48,609	\$ 57,123	\$ 67,259	\$ 77,270	\$ 87,183	\$ 100,695	
FYTD ACWP	\$ 3,703	\$ 13,223	\$ 20,842	\$ 28,631	\$ 37,901	\$ 48,586	\$ 57,148	\$ 67,877	\$ 76,985	\$ 84,542	\$ 94,105	

### COST VARIANCE ANALYSIS: (\$6.6M)

**WBS/PBS**

**Title**

**1.2.1/WM03**

**Solid Waste Storage & Disposal**

**Description/Cause:** The favorable cost variance of \$3.2M (9 percent) is within the established threshold.

**Impact:** No impact.

**Corrective Action:** No action required.

**1.2.2/WM04**

**Solid Waste Treatment**

**Description/Cause:** The unfavorable cost variance of \$1.4M (4 percent) is within the established threshold.

**Impact:** No impact.

**Corrective Action:** No action required.

**1.2.3.1/WM05**

**Liquid Effluents**

**Description/Cause:** The favorable cost variance of \$2.0M (8 percent) is within the established threshold.

**Impact:** No impact.

**Corrective Action:** No corrective action required.

**1.4.2/TP02**

**WESF**

**Description/Cause:** There is no cost variance.

**Impact:** No impact.

**Corrective Action:** No corrective action required.

**SCHEDULE VARIANCE ANALYSIS: (-\$1.6M)**

**WBS/PBS**

**Title**

**1.2.1/ WM03**

**Solid Waste Storage & Disposal**

**Description /Cause:** The unfavorable schedule variance of \$0.2M (1 percent) is within the established threshold.

**Impact:** No Impact.

**Corrective Action:** No corrective action required.

**1.2.2/ WM04**

**Solid Waste Treatment**

**Description /Cause:** The unfavorable schedule variance of \$0.9M (3 percent) is within the established threshold.

**Impact:** No Impact.

**Corrective Action:** No corrective action required.

**1.2.3.1/ WM05**

**Liquid Effluents**

**Description /Cause:** The unfavorable schedule variance of \$0.3M (1 percent) is within the established threshold.

**Impact:** No Impact.

**Corrective Action:** No corrective action required.

**1.4.2/ TP02**

**WESF**

**Description /Cause:** The unfavorable schedule variance of \$0.1M (1 percent) is within the established threshold.

**Impact:** No Impact.

**Corrective Action:** No corrective action required.

**FUNDS MANAGEMENT**  
**FUNDS VS SPENDING FORECAST (\$000)**  
**FY TO DATE THROUGH AUGUST 2000**  
**(FLUOR HANFORD, INC. ONLY)**

	Project Completion *			Post 2006 *			Line Items *		
	Expected Funds	FYSF	Variance	Expected Funds	FYSF	Variance	Expected Funds	FYSF	Variance
The Plateau									
1.2 Waste Management									
TP02,WM03-05				\$ 103,800	\$ 98,316	\$ 5,484			
Line Item									
Total Waste Mgt Operating				\$ 103,800	\$ 98,316	\$ 5,484			
Total Waste Mgt Line Item									

\* Control Point

## **ISSUES**

### **Technical Issues**

**WESF received Cogema's report on the Type W overpack capsule welds on September 6, 2000 indicating four welds have linear indications in excess of inspection criteria.**

**Impact (s):** No immediate impact. This condition does not pose a problem with regard to the present containment integrity of these capsules. Impacts on long term storage will be evaluated as part of corrective action. RL has been briefed on the path forward.

**Corrective Action:** Issue Non-Conformance Report. Perform analysis of data relative to known failure mechanisms. The results of Cogema's report will be evaluated to determine if any issues exist with respect to long-term storage in the WESF pool cells.

### **DOE/REGULATOR/EXTERNAL ISSUES**

**Interim Report for Hanford Land Disposal Restrictions (LDR) for Mixed Wastes —**

Substantial areas of disagreement still exist between RL and the Washington State Department of Ecology (Ecology) on the required scope and content of the Annual LDR Submittal as delineated in the Final Determination issued by the Director of Ecology on March 29, 2000. RL is appealing certain aspects of the Ecology requirements, with formalized hearings scheduled for early in calendar year 2001. As a result of RL's July 31, 2000 submittal of the LDR report, Ecology responded with an August 4, 2000 letter that said the report fails to meet requirements of the Final Determination. Because RL did not intend to meet all of the requirements (due to cost, legal requirements, and other factors), receipt of this letter was not a surprise. Resulting from a meeting of RL and contractor personnel on August 11, 2000, a letter was transmitted to Ecology indicating that RL is ready and willing to work with Ecology on the areas of disagreement. A letter from Ecology (dated September 13, 2000) was received requesting a two-week extension of the 45-day comment period until September 28, 2000.

**Hanford Facility (HF) RCRA Permit —** The RL Office of Site Services has informally proposed to Ecology that the agency incorporate lessons learned from the 222-S Part B permit negotiations into the Central Waste Complex (CWC) and Waste Receiving and Processing (WRAP) portions of the HF Resource Conservation and Recovery Act of 1976 (RCRA) Permit. This would further delay issuance of Modification E, but would be of great benefit to both facilities. A response is anticipated later this month. Modification E will incorporate the CWC and the 616 Non-radioactive Dangerous Waste Storage Facility (NRDWSF) Closure Plan into the RCRA Permit.

**Remote-Handled TRU Project Management Plan (PMP) —** Ecology disapproved the PMP (TPA milestone M-91-03) on August 14, 2000 because the submittal did not meet the requirements set forth in Section 11.5 of the Hanford Federal Facility Agreement and Consent Order (Tri-Party Agreement). Internal meetings with RL are ongoing and meetings with Ecology occurred in mid-September; a path-forward for resolution of Ecology's concerns with the PMP is being developed based on these discussions.

**Impacts of Waste Management PEIS and ROD** — The Waste Management Programmatic Environmental Impact Statement (PEIS) was issued on February 25, 2000. The Records of Decision (ROD) for low-level waste and mixed low-level waste will affect Hanford's disposal role for the Complex and may have a significant impact on disposal volumes and rates at Hanford. DOE-HQ and Ecology negotiations continue; impacts depend upon the results of these negotiations.

## BASELINE CHANGE REQUESTS CURRENTLY IN PROCESS (\$000)

PROJECT CHANGE NUMBER	DATE ORIGIN.	BCR TITLE	FY00 COST IMPACT \$000	SCH	TECH	DATE TO CCB	CCB APR'VD	RL APR'VD	CURRENT STATUS
WM-2000-003R1	7/13/00	T-Plant Canyon Deck Clean off and PWR Fuel Removal	\$ 3,534			07/25/00	08/02/00	09/28/00	At DOE-RL
WM-2000-006	3/21/00	TRU Project Rebaselining	\$ -			06/08/00	06/08/00	09/28/00	
WM-2000-015	7/26/00	WMP FY 2001 MYWP Revision	\$ -			08/31/00			
<b>ADVANCE WORK AUTHORIZATIONS</b>									
		None at this time							

## MILESTONE ACHIEVEMENT

Green

MILESTONE TYPE	FISCAL YEAR-TO-DATE				REMAINING SCHEDULED			TOTAL FY 2000
	Completed Early	Completed On Schedule	Completed Late	Overdue	Forecast Early	Forecast On Schedule	Forecast Late	
Enforceable Agreement	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
DOE-HQ	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
RL	0	0	0	0	0	8	0	8
<b>Total Project</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>10</b>

Only TPA/EA milestones and all FY2000 overdue and forecast late milestones are addressed in this report. Milestones overdue are deleted from the Milestone Exception Report once they are completed. The following chart summarizes the FY2000 TPA/EA milestone achievement and a Milestone Exception Report follows.

### Tri-Party Agreement / EA Milestones

Number	Milestone Title	Status
<b>M-91-03 (WMH-00-001)</b>	<b>Issue TRU/TRUM Waste PMP</b>	<b>due 06/30/00</b> — Completed 6/29/2000 (stretch)
<b>M-91-04 (A2J-00-001)</b>	<b>Complete Construction of CH TRU/TRUM Retrieval Facility</b>	<b>due 09/29/00</b> — DOE-RL issued a letter to Ecology on February 29, 2000 documenting closure of the TPA milestone as retrieval has been initiated and is planned to continue, even without construction of Project W-113 facilities.

### DNFSB Commitments

	Nothing to report.
--	--------------------

## MILESTONE EXCEPTION REPORT

<u>Number/WBS</u> <u>Date</u>	<u>Level</u>	<u>Baseline Milestone Title</u>	<u>Forecast Date</u>
----------------------------------	--------------	---------------------------------	----------------------

**OVERDUE – 0**

**FORECAST LATE – 0**

**FY 1999 OVERDUE – 1**


TRP-98-709	RL	Complete Hot Cell Deactivation	03/31/99	03/30/01
1.4.2		WESF Facility (A-E)		

**Cause:** This milestone is not complete due to not being supported at the current funding level.

**Impact:** No overall impact is expected.

**Corrective Action:** Return-on-Investment (ROI) funding has been identified for this work scope and a new forecasted completion date of March 30, 2001 established.


### PERFORMANCE OBJECTIVES MLLW TREATMENT



**Action Plans:** Minimum requirement of 560m<sup>3</sup> treated completed in June 2000. On track to treat a total of 1,160m<sup>3</sup> in FY 2000 (993 m<sup>3</sup> treated through August 2000).

## MLLW DISPOSAL


Green



**Action Plans:** On track. 585 m<sup>3</sup> disposed through August 2000. On track to meet stretch expectation in September 2000 (volumes adjusted for compaction ratio).

## TRU RETRIEVAL


Green



**Action Plans:** Retrieval and designation of 425 suspect TRU drums was achieved with the completion of field assaying on August 3, 2000. The validation of the assay data is in progress (targeted for in mid-September 2000) which will complete the PI expectation.

## TRU CONTAINER PROCESSING


Green



**Action Plans:** Baseline expectation complete with 527.7 “effective” containers processed through August 2000.

## TRU CERTIFICATION FOR SHIPPING


Green



**Action Plans:** Complete. 10.2 m<sup>3</sup> certified through August 2000 and 24.8 m<sup>3</sup> certified in early September 2000.

## LIQUID WASTE PROCESSING


Green



**Action Plans:** On track. The RCRA campaign was initiated on August 19, 2000. Processing is ahead of schedule and will be completed in September 2000. Processing through August 2000 is 1.13 million gallons versus the 1.5 million gallon requirement.

### T Plant Deck Clearing (RC-4-1-1)


Green



**Action Plans:** On track. Deck clearing is 99 percent complete. Completion planned in September 2000.

### T PLANT PEP AND CDD


Green



**Action Plans:** On track. The Project Execution Plan (PEP) and the Conceptual Design Document (CDD) are both 97 percent complete, and will be completed in September 2000. PEP completion delayed due to required CDD input.

### T PLANT TOWER REMOVAL (RC-4-1-2)

Green



**Action Plans:** Complete. Two towers removed and disposed of in the low level burial grounds (LLBG).

## **KEY INTEGRATION ACTIVITIES**

- Preparing T Plant to receive Spent Nuclear Fuel K Basin sludge.
- Issuance of Records of Decision for Low-Level Waste (LLW) and Mixed Low-Level Waste (MLLW) is expected to affect Hanford's role in disposing of waste from other sites. Working with DOE-RL, DOE-HQ and other Sites to develop and define Hanford's role as one of the identified LLW/MLLW disposal sites for the Complex.
- Support continued UP-1 Groundwater treatment.
- Support River Corridor Project in cleanup and removal of waste from 324 and 327 buildings.
- Support the ORP Waste Treatment Plant.
- Continue working with PNNL, EM 50 and Mixed Waste Focus Area (MWFA) to obtain funding in support of mixed waste processing (M-91 Facility Project).
- Continue to work with DOE- RL, -Oakland, and -Ohio to support resolution of TRU small quantity site disposition issues.
- Support visits from both the DOE-Idaho Program Office and the Office of the Inspector General in regards to opportunities for treatment/disposal of Idaho National Engineering Environment Laboratory (INEEL) wastes at Hanford.