



Section B

300 Area Clean Up

PROJECT MANAGERS

B. Bilson, RL
(509) 376-6628

N.C. Boyter, FH
(509) 373-3725

INTRODUCTION

The 300 Area Clean Up consists of the Infrastructure Zone and the 340 Facility Deactivation, Project Baseline Summary (PBS) RL-RC02, Work Breakdown Structure (WBS) 3.1.2.12 and 3.1.2.15.

NOTE: Unless otherwise noted, all information contained herein is as of the end of June 2002.

Fiscal-year-to-date milestone performance (EA, DOE-HQ, and RL) shows that no milestones are due.

NOTABLE ACCOMPLISHMENTS

340 Facility Deactivation — Continued to conduct routine surveillance and maintenance activities.

Infrastructure Zone — Nothing to report.

SAFETY

All River Corridor (RC) Safety and Conduct of Operations information is reported in section E.

BREAKTHROUGHS / OPPORTUNITIES FOR IMPROVEMENT

Breakthroughs

None to report.

Opportunities for Improvement

None to report.

UPCOMING ACTIVITIES

340 Facility Deactivation — Continue to conduct routine surveillance and maintenance activities.

MILESTONE ACHIEVEMENT FH Contract Milestones

None to report.

PERFORMANCE OBJECTIVES

None to report.

FY 2002 SCHEDULE / COST PERFORMANCE – ALL FUND TYPES FY TO DATE STATUS – (\$000)

Sub-Project	FISCAL YEAR TO DATE							BAC
	BCWS	BCWP	ACWP	SV	%	CV	%	
PBS RC02 WBS 3.1.2.4 Zone D	0	0	1	0	0%	(1)	0%	0
PBS RC02 WBS 3.1.2.12 Infrastructure Zone	0	0	0	0	0%	0	0%	77
PBS RC02 WBS 3.1.2.15 340 Facility Deactivation	836	868	704	32	4%	164	19%	1,156
Total 300 Area Cleanup	836	868	705	32	4%	163	19%	1,233

FY TO DATE SCHEDULE / COST PERFORMANCE

The favorable schedule variance of \$0.03M (4 percent) is within established thresholds. The favorable cost variance of \$0.2M (19 percent) is primarily due to lower than planned costs for waste generator and maintenance services and material procurements.

For all active sub-PBSs and TTPs associated with the Operations/Field Office, Fiscal Year to Date (FYTD) Cost and Schedule variances exceeding + / - 10 percent or one million dollars require submission of narratives by explaining the variance.

Schedule Variance Analysis: (+\$0.03M)

All sub-projects are within established threshold.

Cost Variance Analysis: (+\$0.2M)

340 Facility Deactivation – 3.1.2.15/RC02

Description and Cause: The favorable cost variance is primarily due to lower than planned costs for waste generator and maintenance services and material procurements.

Impact: No Impact.

Corrective Action: No corrective action required.

All other sub-projects are within established threshold.

ISSUES

TECHNICAL, Regulatory, External, and DOE Issues and DOE Requests

None to report.

BASELINE CHANGE REQUESTS CURRENTLY IN PROCESS

None to report.