

Strategic Planning: Quarters by month

- Q1: Oct. – Dec.
- Q2: Jan – March
- Q3: April – June
- Q4: July – Sept.

Page 1

---

Strategic Planning: 2014 Topics (Interactive activity)

\* = priority topic for discussion at meeting; □□ = priority for future discussion | Quarter prioritized for discussion (Q1, Q2, Q3, Q4)

- 100-F Proposed Plan | \*\*\* Q2
- 100 D-H Proposed Plan
- Draft Land Conveyance EA | □□ Q2
- Natural Gas Pipeline EIS | \* □ Q2
- 2014 Budget Meetings (2015 & 2016 Budgets) | \*\* □□Q3
- Site-wide permit \* □□□□ Q4
- NEPA ROD for TC&WM EIS | \*\*\*\*\* Q4

Page 2

---

Strategic Planning: 2014 Topics (cont.)

- WTP Permit mods
- 242-A Evaporator
- Air operating permit | □□Q1
- ETF Permit
- 2014 SOS | Q3/Q4 □□□
- ERDF ROD Amendment

Page 3

---

Strategic Planning: NEPA ROD – TC&WM EIS

Areas of interest for public involvement

1. What the ROD will cover
  - Topics, DOE priorities, etc.
2. Opportunity to issue “draft ROD” for public review and comment prior to issuance
3. How NEPA ROD fits into decision process for future cleanup decisions

Page 4

---

Strategic Planning: NEPA ROD – TC&WM EIS (cont.)

4. Impact on tank cleanup/ closure decisions & achievability of TPA milestones
5. Environmental protectiveness
  - How is it achieved through ROD? Permits?

Page 5

---

Strategic Planning: NEPA ROD – TC&WM EIS Goals

1. Educational opportunity
  - Post ROD
  - Pre ROD
2. Public review & comment on draft ROD (though not required)
3. Open & transparent decision making

Page 6

---

Strategic Planning: NEPA ROD – TC&WM EIS Goals (cont.)

4. Adequate resources for/ commitment to fund public involvement around this issue

Page 7

---

Strategic Planning: 100-F Prop Plan - PI Goals

Goal 1: Public education about cleanup options/alternatives and potential impacts (short and long term effects)

- And “why should I care?”

Goal 2: Receive public input on cleanup alternatives

Goal 3: Ensure public values incorporated in decision-making process

Goal 4: Prepare public to participate in future river corridor decisions

- How this piece fits into Hanford puzzle

Page 8

---

Strategic Planning: 100-F: Innovative “broadening” tools

1. Public meetings, public comment, fact sheet
  - Innovation: target audiences
  - Goals 1, 4
2. Task cleanup contractors/interest groups with coming up with creative material, activities. Ability for contractors or interest groups to be more creative (may be more prescriptive for “decision” process)
  - Goal 1

Page 9

---

Strategic Planning: 100-F: Innovative “broadening” tools (cont.)

3. Using “Generalized” engagement opportunities to reference 100-F
4. Pre-HAB workshop
  - HAB & public – evening meeting
  - Goal 1
5. Sounding board on Prop Plan

- Goal 2, 3
- 6. Bring information to people – existing venues/opportunities
  - Goal 1, 2
- 7. Electronic billboards

Page 10

---

Next steps – Strategic planning

1. Continue fleshing out 100-F
2. Continue discussion about innovations
  - Specific examples
  - Specific application
3. MeetingSphere brainstorm for 100-F
4. Feedback on outreach/design

Page 11

---

Next steps – Strategic planning (cont.)

5. Take a more detailed look at tools –
  - How implemented
  - What it costs
  - Etc.
  - Templates
  - Structure

Page 12

---

300 Area Prop Plan – What worked well? Why?

- Size of audience – Good for discussion
- Lots of new faces (R.L.)
- Larry – Mike – Good job, not scripted (R.L.)
- Rest of meetings went fine
- Corrections made midstream to Mike’s PowerPoint (shortened after Seattle)
- PowerPoint available on web after R.L. meeting
- Input at HR meeting r.e. Columbia Generator Station
- Wasn’t filled with disgruntled workers – focused on topic
- Entered HAB advice as comments received – assured will also be responded to directly
- Webinar option in R.L. appreciated
- Seattle – good room setup

Page 13

300 Area Prop Plan – What worked well? Why? (cont.)

- Lots of time before meeting started
- Good use of real life examples
- Good engagement in pre meeting present
- Cake in Seattle! PI survey required
- Sign up / in order for public comment (then opened floor)
- Presentation shorter in HR
- Flexible times – stayed an extra 30 min.
- HC/HoANW split perspective in Seattle
- HoANW/CRK split perspective in HR

Page 14

---

300 Area Prop Plan – What didn't work well? Why?

- Received feedback on notifications – how to “do better next time”
- Balance between context-setting and specific topic
- Didn't seem like lots of interest in open house
  - Maybe R.L. audience too technical?
  - Maybe more emphasis on posters/value
- Seattle presentation too long
- Couldn't hear webinar in R.L.
- Have more active interactions with people that came (e.g. helping less knowledgeable people)
- Awkward transition between pre-meeting presentation and presentation / Q&A discussion (start & stop)
- Seattle presentation – jargon-heavy, long
- Some people left before comments
- EPA didn't speak up when disagreed with DOE (e.g. Comp. Land Use Plan)

Page 15

---

300 Area Prop Plan – Future Application?

- Earlier start was good for Tri-Cities
- Have webinars in all locations to give people a sense of all meetings
- Provide more time for alternate viewpoint (maybe integrate into meeting)
- Agencies with divergent views should air them in presentations
- More Q&A time – valuable
- Be mindful of presentation time allotment
- Passing microphone around (not having to go up to front) – Seattle
- Signing up for comments tricky, needs to be explained
- Prime the pump – provide information before the meetings

Page 16

---

FAQ Doc Comments

- Needs to talk about why waste in tanks is called “high level waste” (High/low clarification)
- Make document more Hanford-specific
  - Hanford examples
  - Hanford EM
- Add intro to waste types, then give examples of where they are at Hanford

Page 17

---

Waste Classification FAQ Next Steps

1. Need new IM (replace Becky)
  - Work on review with TWC with John H.
2. Need more interaction with TWC
3. Draft document ready to share with PIC/TWC
  - Resist temptation to make it more complicated, detailed
4. Technical/Peer review by agencies (not an endorsement)
5. Propose joint committee “white paper”

Page 18

---

PI Survey Options

- Shared survey (agencies and interest groups)
- Shared questions
  - How did you find out about this event?
- December PIC meeting
- TPA, HoANW, HC, CRK
  - Step 1: Compare surveys
  - Step 2: Determine which valuable to whom (events specific/ annual evaluation)
  - Step 3: Develop proposal for PIC
    - Gerry, Liz, Emy, Dieter, Kim, Theresa (CRK)

Page 19

---

PI Activities (Round robin)

- ODOE - Hanford tour for ORCU Board and some others (elected, EFSC, new members, others)
- HW - Systems analysis problem
- Mecal – for Final paper (HAB functions)
- Steve - PCC offering nuclear component to chemistry class – Steve working with them on Hanford materials
  - History class
  - Cascade – public meetings/ speaking (Steve suggesting SOS meetings)

Page 20

---

PI Activities (Round robin) (cont.)

- John – Asked often to review statements regarding prob. Rad effects; Review for accuracy
- Laura – working with bargaining unit contract – labor work force
- Gerry – Toxic Communities presentation (upcoming)
  - College/University classes/internships
  - Workshops for people unaware of rad problem in Magnusson Park – tie in Hanford issues

---

Page 21

PI Activities (Round robin) (cont.)

- HC – Ice cream social (75 people)
  - Story telling at Richland Library (upcoming) Decade by decade...
  - Hanford pub crawl
  - 2 happy hours
  - Fish fry dinner party and educational opportunity
  - Meaningful involvement “in my lifetime”
  - “Hiroshima to Hope”
  - Work with students
- NJ – Individual interactions / answering questions
- DOE: ~1300 tours participants; 1500 participants in Speakers Bureau

---

Page 22

Follow Up

- Let DOE know if group is interested in a presentation
- B-Reactor tour seats available

---

Page 23

Work planning - October

- Intro to air operable permit and how does it fit with site-wide permit? (tentative)
  - Webinar for Board during PIC call
- Last week of Sept?
- Gerry & Dieter to talk about webinar option, let Susan H. know about need for Doodle Poll

---

Page 24

Work planning – November & December

November

- Meeting topic table for Dec.
- SOS debrief (MS “seeded” discussion)

December

- HAB self assessment
- Strategic planning
  - Tools (6 in-depth) – Ken/Liz template tool
  - 100 F detailed discussion