

PIC Transcribed Flip Chart Notes

Wednesday, February 6, 2013

SOS Meetings

1. Fall 2013 (October?)
 - a. Dates
 - b. Goal this year
2. Goal of meeting
 - a. Participant/public driven
 - b. Big picture conversations about Hanford cleanup: not specific topic focused
 - i. Allow broad, diverse group to give input

Page 1

SOS Meetings (continued)

- c. Educational opportunity (not too short, not too long)
 - i. Identify avenues for further learning
 - ii. Follow educational opportunities with Q&A
- d. Upfront give an honest status update – accomplishments, ½ status, ½ future challenges (how to define challenges)
- e. Attract a new audience to Hanford (opportunity)
- f. “Campaign” for funding

Page 2

SOS Meetings (continued)

3. Location:
 - a. Portland (Hanford Watch, ODOE, PSR, CRK)
 - b. Seattle (Hanford Challenge)
 - c. Hood River (CRK)
 - d. Tri-Cities (LOWV)

Page 3

SOS Meetings (continued)

4. Other ideas
 - a. Richland venues – RHS, RPL, CVC, CIC, churches
 - i. Susan Leckband offered to facilitate
 - b. Online participation opportunities
 - i. GoToMeeting, etc.
 - ii. Webcasting
 - iii. Try not to make it a distraction to the people there

PIC Transcribed Flip Chart Notes

Wednesday, February 6, 2013

- iv. Focused online only
- c. Possible use of video (accomplishments/challenges)

Page 4

SOS Meetings (continued)

April Discussion

- 1. Cost saving measures
- 2. Bring ideas of potential venues (specifics)
 - a. Agencies and stakeholder groups
- 3. Bring media/online opportunities
- 4. Allocation of time (presentations, Q&A, discussions)
- 5. Format/process ideas (e.g., small groups)
- 6. Goal refinement

Page 5

300 Area Public Involvement

- 1. Locations
 - a. Seattle (Hanford Challenge assist if small meetings), Tri-Cities with webinar
 - b. Oregon not an interest (maybe CRK)
 - c. June timeframe (timing for potential Board advice)
- 2. Format – smaller discussion – also provide input/context for upcoming plans
 - a. Smaller size staff, room, etc.
 - b. Stakeholder/local perspective

Page 6

300 Area Public Involvement (continued)

- 3. Topics?
 - a. In situ treatment of groundwater
 - b. 324 building
 - c. 618-10/11
 - d. Context of other river corridor cleanup
 - e. Intro video (include in listserv notice)
- 4. Webinar
 - a. Provide opportunity for broader reach
 - b. Webinar: problem for those not familiar with issues

Page 7

PIC Transcribed Flip Chart Notes

Wednesday, February 6, 2013

300 Area Public Involvement (continued)

PIC April Discussion/RAP Joint Topic

1. Confirmed dates
2. Confirmed locations
3. More specific format discussion

March call – check in on dates

Invite input from stakeholder groups on dates

Page 8

TC&WM FEIS Follow Up

1. Still unclear → will there be a public involvement opportunity when DOE proposes secondary LAW treatment?
2. Diagram opportunities for public involvement for decisions coming out of the FEIS (maybe Board-specific) RCRA/CERCLA

Page 9

TC&WM FEIS Follow Up (continued)

3. Watch for decisions that are on their way and work with PIC-Joint technical committees on public involvement opportunities

Page 10

Site Wide Permit Revision

1. Suggest Ecology consider a webinar to share why revision occurring, the nature of the changes, etc.
 - a. Other public involvement to keep topic “fresh” during revision period
2. Suggestion to release sections as they are revised – or at least provide public involvement for individual units (at least “draft” to draft of draft permit to HAB)

Page 11

Follow-Up

1. Issue Manager for SOS Meetings – Norma Jean
2. CRK – follow up on interest in having Hood River meeting for 300 area proposed plan – Theresa
3. Issue Manager for 300 area – John Howison
4. Suggestion: add how comment analyses are done as part of introduction to response document
5. Ask people who want FEIS summary to sign up Thursday at the Board meeting/provide on Friday
6. Send out Board Issue Manager FEIS comment/response document to HAB members

Page 12

Follow-Up (continued)

PIC Transcribed Flip Chart Notes

Wednesday, February 6, 2013

7. DOE to characterize the nature of their comments on the permit (Tiffany)
8. Ken to send Sharon, Mary Beth draft FEIS Issue Manager presentation
9. Reminder to solicit feedback on public involvement survey (Susan Hayman to send Emy's document to PIC) by February 15.