

River and Plateau Committee
FY 2012 Issues Table (Updated December 2011)

Area	RAP Committee Area of Interest	Issue Manager(s) (*denotes lead)	Other interested committee members	Focus/Product For FY2012	Framing Questions/Issues (Articulated by Issue Managers)	Cross-cutting
River Corridor	100 & 300 Areas <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 100 B/C Area • 100 K Area • 100 N Area • 100 D & H Areas • 100 F Area • 300 Area 	Shelley Cimon Dale Engstrom* Liz Mattson Jean Vanni Gerry Pollet	Bob Suyama Wade Riggsbee	6 RODs RI/FS and Proposed Plans to be issued between now & 2012 Risk Assessments	Are there issues preventing regulatory agreement on contaminants of potential concern, the conceptual site model, assumptions and uncertainties? How is the integration working between soil and groundwater remediation? What are the potential technology needs?	PIC, HSEP (RAs)
	300 Area D&D 618-10 & 11 324 Building B-Cell	Pam Larsen	Shelley Cimon Dale Engstrom Bob Suyama Maynard Plahuta	Review of ongoing work, and determination of need for future actions; remediation technologies for 618-10 & 11, 324 Building B-Cell	How clean is clean? What can be left and what is left? What are the lessons learned from 618-10 that can be applied to 618-11? What are the remediation technologies available for 618-10 & 11, and 324 Building B-Cell?	PIC
	K-Basin Sludge Disposition	Harold Heacock Dick Smith Bob Suyama*	Dale Engstrom	Review of ongoing work, and determination of need for future actions	What is the ultimate disposition? What is the path forward? What are the results of the Sludge alternatives analysis? What are the priorities for K-Area cleanup?	
Central Plateau	Central Plateau Cleanup Strategy – Shrink active cleanup footprint (includes prior issues on PW-1,3,6; CW-5; UW-1,)	Pam Larsen* Dale Engstrom Steve Hudson (PIC)	Shelley Cimon Bob Suyama, Maynard Plahuta Wade Riggsbee Liz Mattson	Review of ongoing strategy development, and determination of need for future actions	What are the proposed timelines for the proposed plan and when is it appropriate for committee input? What are the characterization efforts? What are the removal actions and does the HAB support these? What are the HAB values? What are the potential technology needs?	PIC

River and Plateau Committee
FY 2012 Issues Table *(Updated December 2011)*

Area	RAP Committee Area of Interest	Issue Manager(s) (*denotes lead)	Other interested committee members	Focus/Product For FY2012	Framing Questions (Articulated by Issue Managers)	Cross-cutting
Central Plateau	Transuranic Waste (TRU) and M-91 that is going to WIPP	Harold Heacock Dale Engstrom*	Jerry Peltier	Review of ongoing work, and determination of need for future actions	How much treatment will be funded? Will there be a backlog? What are the characterization and treatment efforts and appropriate remedy? What is the status of remote and contact handled TRU waste scheduled for disposition at WIPP under M-91? What is the potential to lose our ability for disposition at WIPP?	TWC PIC
	Pre-1970 Transuranic Waste (TRU)	Harold Heacock Dale Engstrom Vince Panesko* Liz Mattson	Maynard Plahuta Jerry Peltier Gerry Pollet Shelley Cimon	Review of ongoing work, and determination of need for future actions SWBG/public mtgs	What is the CERCLA process for TRU waste? What are the volume estimates? What are the risk factors for leaving it in place? What are the adequate characterization efforts and appropriate remedies? How do we ensure that DOE is characterizing all potential sites?	PIC
	Plutonium Finishing Plant	Pam Larsen Vince Panesko*	Liz Mattson	Review of ongoing work, and determination of need for future actions	[To be determined]	
	BC Cribs	Dale Engstrom	Wade Riggsbee Jerry Peltier	Review of ongoing work, and determination of need for future actions	[To be determined]	
	UP-1 and ZP-1	Dale Engstrom* Maynard Plahuta		Central Plateau pump and treat	[To be determined]	

River and Plateau Committee
FY 2012 Issues Table (Updated December 2011)

Area	RAP Committee Area of Interest	Issue Manager(s) (*denotes lead)	Other interested committee members	Focus/Product For FY2012	Framing Questions (Articulated by Issue Managers)	Cross-cutting
Cross-Cutting	Site-wide Permit	Pam Larsen Gerry Pollet (PIC) Steve Hudson (PIC) Liz Mattson (PIC)* Jean Vanni	Vince Panesko	Spring '12	[To be determined]	TWC PIC
	DOE-HQ Science & Technology Roadmap initiatives	Shelley Cimon* Pam Larsen	Bob Suyama Maynard Plahuta Steve Hudson	Identify potential for Board advice on priority initiatives for Hanford Site. Science & technology Sharing Forum	What are the initiatives? Couple identified needs with research dollars.	TWC
	Institutional Controls (IC) / Long-Term Stewardship (LTS) / Legacy Management (LM) / End States	Bob Suyama* Doug Mercer Jean Vanni	Vince Panesko Liz Mattson Jerry Peltier		In light of previous HAB Advice on Institutional Controls and Long Term Stewardship (#63, #132 & #141), Is additional advice needed to reflect the Board's position on on-going closure decisions? Are Cultural Resources, and Fish and Wildlife IC related requirements covered in our existing advice? What actions have been taken at other Closure Sites? Have they been effective? What can be applied to Hanford? What are the estimated costs?	TWC PIC
	Groundwater, Deep Vadose Zone, Soil	Wade Riggsbee Dale Engstrom* Shelley Cimon	Maynard Plahuta Vince Panesko	Maintain awareness of: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • C-tank • Project teams 	TBD	TWC