

PIC Transcribed Flip Chart Notes

Wednesday, October 31, 2012

Options – Response to SOS Advice

1. Have some smaller topical workshops that don't require agency decision-makers. Still reaching the "new" general audiences
2. Need guidelines/checklist
 - a. How meetings set up, how process worked, etc. → measuring consistently (advice point 3)

Page 1

Options – Response to SOS Advice (continued)

3. (Advice point 3 response) trying to say that for meetings being planned, consider these factors in the planning process, responding to evaluation
4. If cost is a concern, scale back agency/contractor staffing
5. Hold them in the Autumn, if Spring won't work
6. Use evaluations to identify "organic" improvements made at each meeting

Page 2

Options – Response to SOS Advice (continued)

7. Maybe use Sept. agency reports as a form of "SOS" meeting (just a suggestion)
8. Expand Q&A at all public meetings (help capture general Q&A)

Page 3

300 Area Public Involvement Planning

- Be sure someone is "recording" key points of discussion
 - Provide forms for comment at single-staffed tables
- Open activities with webinar
 - Ecology leverage educational contacts
 - Include information on upcoming activities – kick off
- Conversational meeting format would be helpful and would provide opportunity to set larger context (e.g., River Corridor and 2015 Vision meetings)

Page 4

300 Area Public Involvement Planning (continued)

- Have comments provided in writing – "better" than hearing
 - Agencies must provide at least on opportunity for verbal and written input (not at every meeting)
 - "Workshop" versus "meeting"
 - Could provide someone to take verbal comments

Page 5

PIC Transcribed Flip Chart Notes

Wednesday, October 31, 2012

300 Area Public Involvement Planning (continued)

Locations & Dates

Seattle – February

Portland – March

Tri-Cities – evening in conjunction with February HAB or Committee meetings

Groups to Help

Hanford Challenge. (HOANW)

Hanford Watch, (CRK), (ODOE)

(Rod & Gun Club), (Benton County), (Hanford Communities)

- Avoid midwinter break and be sensitive to weather/calendar issues

Page 6

300 Area Public Involvement Planning (continued)

Next Steps

- Follow up conversation, once dates and locations picked. More discussion on format, content, etc. on PIC call or stakeholder call in mid-November & mid-December
- Send out day before reminders

Page 7

Understanding Cleanup Levels

1. Biological/health risk may be of greatest public concern
2. Need to be clearer that “cleanup” doesn’t mean it’s all gone
3. To answer these questions, it might be at a level too high for most people. E.g., don’t identify specific levels, but that standards exist.

Page 8

Understanding Cleanup Levels (continued)

4. When the site is “cleaned up” there will still be contamination left in place
 - a. And what will be done with this
5. Questions mirror CERCLA requirements for proposed plans
6. Exposure scenarios important → effects on future generations/future land use

Page 9

Understanding Cleanup Levels (continued)

7. Question #13 – very useful
 - a. When is public useful
 - b. When is it too late for public influence
 - c. Other documents (e.g., work plans, etc.)
8. High level → what is actually going to occur to ensure actions are proactive (e.g. monitoring)

PIC Transcribed Flip Chart Notes

Wednesday, October 31, 2012

9. Ability to “predict use” as a means to establish cleanup levels → use of “cautionary tales” (e.g., love canal)

Page 10

Understanding Cleanup Levels (continued)

10. Be sure tribes are included in affected parties (#2)
11. Communicate the tribal perspective about cleanup levels
12. Where and how scenarios were developed
13. How clean is the river – who is responsible for cleaning up contaminants from Hanford? When they get to the river

Page 11

Understanding Cleanup Levels – Fact Sheet

1. Take some of questions in outline and create fact sheet
2. Elements that go into decisions
3. Comparison of this site to background levels

Page 12

Understanding Cleanup Levels – Possible Outcomes

1. Develop into question “checklist” of questions to consider when developing information for public meetings and materials
2. Fact sheets (high level, less technical)
 - a. Videos
3. Flow diagram – where decisions and public opportunities (#13)
4. HAB resource

Page 13

Understanding Cleanup Level – Next Steps

1. Refine from PIC input
2. Nov. 6 ask RAP to help improve questions [and answers] → some HAB members might want to do this
 - a. Agencies need to be involved in answering
 - i. Iterative with HAB
3. Identify people interested in different questions to work together with agencies

Page 14

EM-SSAB

- Logistics:

PIC Transcribed Flip Chart Notes

Wednesday, October 31, 2012

- Week of April 22
 - About 50 people
 - 1. Provide casual, informal social opportunity
 - 2. Welcome packet at hotel – basic info, restaurants, places of interest, etc.
 - a. Souvenirs <\$20 total
 - 3. Visitor Convention Bureau (“Welcome to the Tri-Cities”)
 - 4. Dinner cruise or wine tour – Wednesday
 - 5. Eric Roberts facilitates meeting
 - 6. Website for meeting
 - 7. Check on HAB members ability to attend tour (Tuesday) social (Wednesday) – if space is available
 - 8. Regulator welcome at beginning (TPA relationship)
 - 9. Note: don’t do budget workshop the same week of SSAB meeting
- Susan Leckband and Becky work with Sharon Braswell

Page 15

Round Robin

Hanford Challenge

1. Reformatted “Inheriting Hanford” blog
2. Fall newsletter
 - a. New public comment periods information with hyperlinks
3. Monthly happy hours and discussion groups

ODOE

1. Public involvement intern
 - a. Table at markets
2. Evening webinar series (5 webinars)
 - a. High school and college (about 30 people per meeting)
3. Tulane University in December
4. Science pub series

Page 16

Round Robin (continued)

Hanford Watch

1. Trying to tie in with ODOE’s webinars and Portland Community College

Page 17

PIC Transcribed Flip Chart Notes

Wednesday, October 31, 2012

Follow Up

1. Revisit SOS meeting opportunity – Fall 2013 (discuss at February meeting?)
2. Emy Laija will send Susan Hayman the link to Public Involvement Plan response document – Susan Hayman will send to the PIC Committee.
3. February meeting → review advice reasons to #251 (letter and “cliff notes” will be formally provided)
4. Steve Hudson to send Susan Hayman link to EM-SSAB to distribute to the Board
5. Liz Mattson to talk to RAP about cleanup level questions