

**Committee of the Whole Meeting
Draft Tank Closure and Waste Management Environmental Impact
Statement (TC&WM EIS)**

Dates: February 16 & 17, 2010
Location: Columbia Point Ballroom, Hampton Inn, 486 Bradley Blvd, Richland, WA 99354
Call-in: Local participants: Dial 376-5451, enter conference code 580601
Long distance participants: Dial 1-877-275-5451, enter conference code 580601

Tuesday, February 16

1:00 p.m. Opening – Susan Leckband, HAB Chair

- Welcome and introductions
- Meeting purpose, overview and goals

**1:15 p.m. Background and Framing - Department of Energy-Office of River Protection
Draft TC&WM EIS Overview**

Purpose: To receive a high-level overview by DOE-ORP on the draft TC&WM EIS. What does the draft TC&WM EIS cover? What does it not address?

- Overview – Mary Beth Burandt, DOE-ORP (invited – 15 min)
- Committee question and answer – 15 min

1:45 pm. Background and Framing – Regulator Perspectives on the Draft TC&WM EIS

Purpose: To receive a presentation from each regulator on their comments on the draft TC&WM EIS. What were each agency's major findings/conclusions?

- Washington State Department of Ecology (Ecology), ? (invited – 15 min)
- Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) - Larry Gadbois (invited – 15 min)
- Committee question and answer – 60 min

3:00 p.m. Break

3:15 p.m. Background and Framing – HAB Stakeholder Perspectives

Purpose: To hear from various HAB member organizations on their assessment of the draft TC&WM EIS. What are the main findings/conclusions? What are the key advice policy points to capture?

- Stakeholder Presentations:
 - Ken Niles, Dirk Dunning, State of Oregon (10 min)
 - Gerry Pollet, Heart of America Northwest (10 min)
 - David Bernhard, Nez Perce (10 min)
 - Keith Smith, Public at Large (5 min)
- Committee question and answer – 25 min

Hanford Advisory Board

4:30 p.m. DOE-ORP Response to Presentations

Purpose: To allow DOE-ORP the opportunity to respond to and to provide clarification to the previous presentations. How does DOE-ORP plan to take into consideration these regulator and stakeholder findings?

- DOE-ORP clarification and response – 20 min
- Committee question and answer – 10 min

5:00 p.m. Review next day's agenda – Susan Leckband, Cathy McCague

- *Homework: Begin thinking about and crafting major advice policy points based on today's presentations*

5:15 p.m. Adjourn

DRAFT

Hanford Advisory Board

Wednesday, February 17

8:30 a.m. Opening – Susan Leckband, HAB Chair

- Welcome and introductions
- Review meeting agenda and goals of the day

8:45 a.m. Technical Contractor Review: Introduction and Report

Purpose: To hear a presentation from the HAB contractor, KD Auclair & Associates, LLC, on the team's initial findings of the remediation alternatives analyzed in the draft TC&WM EIS.

- Contractor Introduction - Susan Leckband, 5 min
- Contractor presentation - Kim Auclair, KD Auclair & Associates, LLC (2 hours)
- Committee question and answer – 60 min

12:00 p.m. Lunch

1:00 p.m. Development of Advice

Purpose: To determine the policy-level issues that warrants Board advice. What are the key elements?

- Framing – Cathy McCague (5 min)
- Breakout groups
 - Tank Closure
 1. Closure
 2. Retrieval
 3. Treatment and storage
 - Waste Management
 1. On-site waste disposal
 2. Off-site waste disposal
 - Alternatives
 1. What is missing?
 2. Is there a Board preferred alternative?

2: 45 p.m. Break

3:00 p.m. Report Out on Development of Advice

Purpose: To report out on the breakout groups. What are the major policy areas? How should the committee format the draft advice?

- Committee discussion (60 min)

4:00 p.m. Next steps – Susan Leckband, Cathy McCague

- Determine issue manager(s) to write advice
- Review timeline of drafting advice

Hanford Advisory Board

- Review email protocol process for advice consensus

4:15 p.m. Adjourn

DRAFT