# NEPA REVIEW SCREENING FORM

## I. Project Title:
Washington River Protection Solutions LLC - Proposed Actions For CY 2012 Scheduled To Take Place Under CX Bl.28, "Placing a Facility in an Environmentally Safe Condition"

## II. Project Description and Location (including Time Period over which proposed action will occur and Project Dimensions - e.g., acres displaced/disturbed, excavation length/depth, etc.):
Washington River Protection Solutions LLC (WRPS) will place facilities in a cold, dark, and environmentally safe condition on & near the Hanford Site during Calendar Year 2012. WRPS will perform all activities in accordance with the categorical exclusion (CX) limitations set forth in 10 CFR 1021, Appendices A & B to Subpart D, & CX Bl.28. WRPS' facilities include all those identified in the Tank Operations Contract Sections J.13 and J.14. Activities would include, but are not limited to:

- Minor activities required to place a facility in a cold, dark, and environmentally safe condition when there is no proposed use for the facility. Which includes reducing surface contamination, removing materials, equipment or waste.
- Also includes work required to disconnect utility services such as water, communications, heating, cooling, fire protection, and power that are no longer needed and continuation of service is not needed for safety. As part of the utility disconnect, utility systems will be isolated as needed, drained and/or de-energized, and utilities, piping, network/communications systems will be disconnected.
- If needed, will engage in small-scale, localized repairs to buildings and structures as needed to seal cracks, cover or seal grates and openings to the facility exterior that results from removing utility system equipment and system components from the structure.
- Actions required to implement the these actions will also take place, such as moving and the disposal of equipment and materials as needed.

All locations are culturally exempt (see PNL-7264 & Battelle 9405630) /or covered under NHPA Section 106 review, HCRC# 2003-200-044. The majority of the WRPS facilities are classified as historical non-contributing/exempt properties under DOE/RL-97-56, Revision 1. To ensure there will be no ecological/biological or cultural impacts, prior to work initiation, 1) any non-exempt facilities or work will have the appropriate cultural reviews obtained as needed, 2) ecological reviews will be obtained if needed, 3) all work activities (including associated staging /or laydown areas) will be performed within or contiguous to an already developed area (where active site utilities / roads are readily accessible & no habitat/vegetation will be disturbed), & 4) if any cultural or ecological issues are identified, the identified issue(s) will be appropriately dealt with as required by relevant company or Hanford Site procedures & regulations.

## III. Reviews (If applicable):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Biological Review Report #:</th>
<th>N/A</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cultural Review Report #:</td>
<td>PNL-7264, Battelle Letter 9405630, HCRC# 2003-200-044, &amp; DOE/RL-97-56 R1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Additional Attachments:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## IV. Existing NEPA Documentation (see Steps 3 and 4 of Contractor Screening Process)

Is the proposed action evaluated in a previous EA, EIS, or under CERCLA?

- [ ] YES
- [x] NO

If "NO," proceed to Section V. If "YES," List EA, EIS, or CERCLA Document(s) Title and Number:

And then complete Section VII and provide electronic copy of Initiator/ECO signed NRSF to DOE NCO for information (see Step 6 of Contractor Screening Process).

## V. Sitewide Categorical Exclusion (see Step 5 of Contractor Screening Process)

Does the proposed action fit within the scope of actions identified in a DOE Hanford NCO-approved sitewide categorical exclusion?

- [ ] YES
- [x] NO

If "NO," proceed to Section VI.
If "YES," list Sitewide Categorical Exclusion to be applied and complete Sitewide Categorical Exclusion Criteria:
NEPA REVIEW SCREENING FORM (continued)

Sitewide Categorical Exclusion Criteria

Does the action fail to meet the eligibility requirements for Appendix B categorical exclusion ("integral elements") of 10 CFR 1021, Subpart D, Appendix B, B(1) through B(4)?

YES NO

Is the action connected to other actions with potentially significant impacts (see 40 CFR 1508.25(a)(1)) or result in cumulatively significant impacts (see 40 CFR 1508.25(a)(2))?  

YES NO

Are there extraordinary circumstances related to the proposal that may affect the significance of the environmental effects of the proposal?

YES NO

Does the action involve or disturb the Hanford Reach National Monument, Rattlesnake Mountain, Gable Mountain, Gable Butte or other Traditional Cultural Properties or properties of historic, archaeological or architectural significance, or occur within one-fourth mile of the Columbia River?

YES NO

Does the proposed action impact sensitive species or their habitats?

YES NO

If "NO" to all Sitewide Categorical Exclusion Criteria questions above, complete Section VII and provide electronic copy of Initiator/ECO signed NRSF to DOE NCO for information (see Step 6 of Contractor Screening Process).

If "YES" to any of the Sitewide Categorical Exclusion Criteria questions above, attach appropriate explanatory information and provide NRSF to DOE NCO; DOE initiates DOE NEPA Review Screening Process - Step 1 by completing Section VI and VIII, as appropriate.

VI. Categorical Exclusion

Does the proposed action fall within a class of actions that is listed in Appendixes A or B to Subpart D of 10 CFR Part 1021?

YES NO

List CX to be applied and complete Categorical Exclusion Criteria (based on Eligibility Criteria of the NEPA Determination Procedure):

CX B1.28, "Placing a Facility in an Environmentally Safe Condition"

Categorical Exclusion Criteria

Does the proposed action threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regulatory, or permit requirements for environmental, safety, or health, including DOE and/or Executive Orders?

YES NO

Does the proposed action require siting, construction, or major expansion of waste storage, disposal, recovery, or treatment facilities?

YES NO

Does the proposed action disturb hazardous substances, pollutants, contaminants, or CERCLA-excluded petroleum and natural gas products that pre-exist in the environment such that there would be uncontrolled or unpermitted releases?

YES NO

Does the proposed action adversely affect environmentally sensitive resources?

YES NO

Are there extraordinary circumstances related to the proposal that may affect the significance of the environmental effects of the proposal?

YES NO

Is the proposed action connected to other actions with potentially significant impacts or result in cumulatively significant impacts (not precluded by 40 CFR 1506.1 or 10 CFR 1021.211)?

YES NO

If "NO" to all Categorical Exclusion Criteria questions above, DOE NCO completes Section VIII, provides electronic copy of signed NRSF to contractor, and otherwise complies with Step 4 of the DOE NEPA Review Screening Process - Step 1.

If "YES" to any of the Categorical Exclusion Criteria questions above, DOE NCO complies with Step 5 of the DOE NEPA Review Screening Process - Step 1, and initiates DOE NEPA Review Screening Process - Step 2.

VII. Approvals/Determination

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name (Printed)</th>
<th>Signature</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Initiator</td>
<td>Holly Bowers</td>
<td>11/5/12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cognizant Environmental Compliance Officer</td>
<td>Steven Kelley</td>
<td>1/13/12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VIII. Approval/Determination

DOE NEPA Compliance Officer: Woody Russell

Based on my review of information conveyed to me and in my possession (or attached) concerning the proposed action, as NEPA Compliance Officer (as authorized under DOE Order 451.1B), I have determined that the proposed action fits within the specified class of action:

NCO Determination - [X] CX [ ] EA [ ] EIS

Signature: Woody Russell

Date: 01/13/12