



DOE/EIS-0391

Draft Tank Closure and Waste Management Environmental Impact Statement for the Hanford Site, Richland, Washington

Volume 1
Chapters 1–12

U.S. Department of Energy

October 2009

Cover Sheet

Responsible Agency: U.S. Department of Energy (DOE)

Cooperating Agency: Washington State Department of Ecology (Ecology)

Title: *Draft Tank Closure and Waste Management Environmental Impact Statement for the Hanford Site, Richland, Washington (TC & WM EIS)* (DOE/EIS-0391)

Location: Benton County, Washington

Contacts: For copies of this draft environmental impact statement (EIS), call toll-free 888-829-6347, or contact Mary Beth Burandt at the address below.

For additional information on this
Draft TC & WM EIS, contact:

Mary Beth Burandt, Document Manager
Office of River Protection
U.S. Department of Energy
Post Office Box 1178
Richland, WA 99352
Attention: *TC & WM EIS*
Email: TC&WMEIS@saic.com
Fax: 1-888-785-2865
Telephone and voicemail: 888-829-6347

For general information on the DOE National
Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) process, contact:

Carol M. Borgstrom, Director
Office of NEPA Policy and Compliance (GC-20)
U.S. Department of Energy
1000 Independence Avenue, SW
Washington, DC 20585
Telephone: 202-586-4600, or leave a message
at 800-472-2756

Abstract: The Hanford Site (Hanford), located in southeastern Washington State and situated along the Columbia River, is approximately 1,518 square kilometers (586 square miles) in size. Hanford's mission from the early 1940s to approximately 1989 included defense-related nuclear research, development, and weapons production activities. These activities created a wide variety of chemical and radioactive wastes. Hanford's mission now is focused on the cleanup of those wastes and ultimate closure of Hanford. To this end, several types of radioactive waste are being managed at Hanford: (1) high-level radioactive waste (HLW) as defined in DOE Manual 435.1-1; (2) transuranic (TRU) waste, which is waste containing alpha-particle-emitting radionuclides with atomic numbers greater than uranium (92) and half-lives greater than 20 years in concentrations greater than 100 nanocuries per gram of waste; (3) low-level radioactive waste (LLW), which is radioactive waste that is neither HLW nor TRU waste; and (4) mixed low-level radioactive waste (MLLW), which is LLW containing hazardous constituents as defined under the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act of 1976 (42 U.S.C 6901 et seq.). Thus, this *TC & WM EIS* analyzes the following three key areas:

- 1. Retrieval, treatment, and disposal of waste from 149 single-shell tanks (SSTs) and 28 double-shell tanks (DSTs) and closure of the SST system.** In this *TC & WM EIS*, DOE proposes to retrieve and treat waste from 177 underground tanks and ancillary equipment and dispose of this waste in compliance with applicable regulatory requirements. At present, DOE is constructing a Waste Treatment Plant (WTP) in the 200-East Area of Hanford. The WTP would separate waste stored in Hanford's underground tanks into HLW and low-activity waste (LAW) fractions. HLW would be treated in the WTP and stored at Hanford until disposition decisions are made and implemented. (The analyses in this EIS are not affected by recent DOE plans to study alternatives for the disposition of the Nation's spent nuclear fuel and HLW because the EIS analysis shows that vitrified HLW can be stored safely at Hanford for many years.) LAW would

be treated in the WTP and disposed of at Hanford as decided in DOE's Record of Decision (ROD) issued in 1997 (62 FR 8693), pursuant to the *Tank Waste Remediation System, Hanford Site, Richland, Washington, Final Environmental Impact Statement* (DOE/EIS-0189, August 1996). DOE proposes to provide additional treatment capacity for the tank LAW that can supplement the planned WTP capacity in fulfillment of DOE's obligations under the Hanford Federal Facility Agreement and Consent Order (Tri-Party Agreement) as soon as possible. DOE would dispose of immobilized LAW and Hanford's (and other DOE sites') LLW and MLLW in lined trenches on site. These trenches would be closed in accordance with applicable regulatory requirements.

2. **Final decontamination and decommissioning of the Fast Flux Test Facility, a nuclear test reactor.** DOE proposes to determine the final end state for the aboveground, belowground, and ancillary support structures.
3. **Disposal of Hanford's waste and other DOE sites' LLW and MLLW.** DOE needs to decide where to locate onsite disposal facilities for Hanford's waste and other DOE sites' LLW and MLLW. DOE committed in the ROD (69 FR 39449) for the *Final Hanford Site Solid (Radioactive and Hazardous) Waste Program Environmental Impact Statement, Richland, Washington* (DOE/EIS-0286F, January 2004) that henceforth LLW would be disposed of in lined trenches. Specifically, DOE proposes to dispose of the waste in either the existing 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility (IDF) or the proposed 200-West Area IDF.

DOE has identified Preferred Alternatives for two of the three program areas and a range for the three key activities, as presented in this *TC & WM EIS*.

Public Comments: Comments on this draft EIS may be submitted during the 140-day comment period, which will begin when the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency publishes a Notice of Availability in the *Federal Register*. Public meetings on this EIS will be held during the comment period. The dates, times, and locations of these meetings will be published in a DOE *Federal Register* notice, and will also be announced by other means.

***Draft Tank Closure and Waste Management
Environmental Impact Statement
for the Hanford Site, Richland, Washington
(Draft TC & WM EIS)***

**Washington State Department of Ecology (Ecology)
Foreword**

Note: Ecology, as a cooperating agency, reviewed, provided comments on, and participated in the comment resolution process for the “preliminary draft” of this *Draft TC & WM EIS*. However, this foreword should be considered draft and subject to revision until Ecology has reviewed this *Draft TC & WM EIS* and, if necessary, supporting information.

Summary

Ecology believes that the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) and its contractors have prepared a *Draft TC & WM EIS* that presents many important issues for discussion. Ecology’s involvement to date shows that this document has benefitted from quality reviews and quality assurance procedures. The information in this document will help shed light on many key decisions that remain to be made about the Hanford Site (Hanford) cleanup.

Ecology expects DOE to consider our input through this foreword, as well as through any further comments made during the public comment process. We expect DOE to provide written responses to the major issues and comments prior to completion of the *Final TC & WM EIS*. Ecology will continue to work with DOE with the intent of helping to produce a final environmental impact statement (EIS) that fully informs future decisionmaking.

I. Introduction

Ecology has been a cooperating agency with DOE in the production of this *Draft TC & WM EIS*. DOE prepared this EIS to meet the requirements of the National Environmental Policy Act. In addition, Ecology will review this EIS to determine if it can be adopted in whole or in part to satisfy the requirements of the State Environmental Policy Act (SEPA). The information in this EIS will help inform Ecology and others about critical future cleanup decisions impacting Hanford’s closure.

Ecology provides the following comments regarding this *Draft TC & WM EIS* to document areas of agreement or concern with this EIS and to assist the public in their review. Public and regulator input on this *Draft TC & WM EIS* are critical for the completion of an acceptable *Final TC & WM EIS*. Ecology encourages tribal nations, stakeholder groups, and the public to participate in the public comment process for this draft document.

When the *Final TC & WM EIS* is issued, Ecology will include a revised foreword to comment on the EIS conclusions. The foreword will also include the disposition of the comments we provided during the *Draft TC & WM EIS* review process.

II. Ecology’s Role as a Cooperating Agency

Ecology is a cooperating agency in the preparation of this EIS. A state agency may be a cooperating agency on a Federal EIS when the agency has jurisdiction by law over, or specialized expertise concerning, a major Federal action under evaluation in the EIS.

As a cooperating agency, Ecology does not coauthor or direct the production of this EIS. Ecology does have access to certain data and information as this document is being prepared by DOE and its contractors. Our roles and responsibilities in this process are defined in a Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) between Ecology and DOE.

DOE retains responsibility for making final decisions in the preparation of the *Final TC & WM EIS*, as well as for determining the preferred alternative(s) presented in the EIS. However, Ecology's participation as a cooperating agency enables us to help formulate the alternatives presented in this *TC & WM EIS*.

Ecology's involvement as a cooperating agency—and the current scope of the *Draft TC & WM EIS*—is grounded in a series of events.

In February 2002, DOE initiated the “Environmental Impact Statement for Retrieval, Treatment, and Disposal of Tank Waste and Closure of Single-Shell Tanks at the Hanford Site, Richland, Washington,” known as the “Tank Closure EIS.” On March 25, 2003, Ecology became a cooperating agency for the “Tank Closure EIS.” DOE and Ecology developed an MOU outlining respective agency roles and responsibilities.

While the “Tank Closure EIS” was being developed, another DOE EIS, the *Draft Hanford Site Solid (Radioactive and Hazardous) Waste Program Environmental Impact Statement, Richland, Washington (HSW EIS)*, was in the review stage. Among other matters, the HSW EIS examined the impacts of disposal at Hanford of certain volumes of radioactive waste and mixed radioactive and hazardous waste, including waste generated from beyond Hanford.

In March 2003, Ecology filed a lawsuit in the U.S. District Court seeking to prevent the importation and storage of certain offsite transuranic (TRU) and mixed TRU wastes that DOE had decided to send to Hanford prior to issuance of the *Final HSW EIS*. Ecology and intervening plaintiffs obtained a preliminary injunction against these shipments.

In January 2004, DOE issued the *Final HSW EIS*. Based on the *Final HSW EIS*, DOE amended a Record of Decision that directed offsite radioactive and hazardous wastes to Hanford (within certain volume limits) for disposal and/or storage. In response, Ecology amended its lawsuit to challenge the adequacy of the *HSW EIS* analysis.

In May 2005, the U.S. District Court expanded the existing preliminary injunction to enjoin a broader class of waste and to grant Ecology a discovery period to further explore issues with the *HSW EIS*.

In January 2006, DOE and Ecology signed a Settlement Agreement, ending litigation on the *HSW EIS* and addressing concerns found in the *HSW EIS* quality assurance review during the discovery period. The Settlement Agreement called for expanding the scope of the “Tank Closure EIS” to provide a single, integrated set of analyses of (1) tank closure impacts considered in the “Tank Closure EIS” and (2) the disposal of all waste types considered in the *Final HSW EIS*. The Settlement Agreement also called for an integrated cumulative impacts analysis.

Under the Settlement Agreement, the “Tank Closure EIS” was renamed the *TC & WM EIS*. Ecology's existing MOU with DOE was revised along with the Settlement Agreement so that Ecology remained a cooperating agency on the expanded *TC & WM EIS*.

The Settlement Agreement defined specific tasks to address concerns Ecology had with the *HSW EIS*. DOE has now revised information and implemented quality assurance measures used in this *TC & WM EIS* related to the solid waste portion of the analysis. Ecology has performed discrete quality

assurance reviews of that information to help confirm that the quality assurance processes of DOE's EIS contractor have been followed.

Based on Ecology's involvement to date, we believe that positive changes have been made to address data quality shortcomings in the *HSW EIS*. These specifically relate to the following:

- The data used in analyzing impacts on groundwater
- The integration of analyses of all waste types that DOE may dispose of at Hanford
- The adequacy of the cumulative impact analysis

Ecology will review this *Draft TC & WM EIS* to confirm that the terms of the Settlement Agreement have been addressed to our satisfaction.

III. Regulatory Relationships and SEPA

After this *TC & WM EIS* is finalized, Ecology will proceed with approving regulatory actions required to complete the Hanford cleanup. These include actions under the Hanford Federal Facility Agreement and Consent Order (HFFACO, or Tri-Party Agreement) and actions that require state permits or modifications to existing permits, such as the Hanford Sitewide Permit. This permit regulates hazardous waste treatment, storage, and disposal activity at Hanford, including actions such as tank closure and supplemental treatment for tank waste.

Ecology must comply with SEPA when undertaking permitting actions. It is Ecology's hope that the *Final TC & WM EIS* will be suitable for adoption in whole or in part to satisfy SEPA.

In addition, Ecology will have a substantial role in establishing standards and methods for the cleanup of contaminated soil and groundwater at Hanford. These include areas that are regulated under hazardous waste corrective action authority and/or under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act (CERCLA) through a CERCLA Record of Decision. Information developed in this EIS will thus be useful in other applications for the cleanup of Hanford.

IV. Ecology Insights and Alternatives Considered

This *Draft TC & WM EIS* considers 17 alternatives. DOE has not identified a specific preferred alternative. However, for the many decisions that are addressed in this EIS, DOE has selected a set of preferred alternatives. Ecology understands that the selection of a smaller number of preferred alternatives, or of a specific preferred alternative from that set, will be considered by DOE throughout public review of the *Draft TC & WM EIS*. When the final EIS is prepared, a preferred alternative will be identified by DOE.

The alternatives and tank closure options considered in this draft EIS include the following key decision areas:

- Additional tank waste treatment options (in addition to the Hanford Waste Treatment Plant [WTP] as provided in the *Tank Waste Remediation System, Hanford Site, Richland, Washington, Final Environmental Impact Statement*)
- Tank farm closure options
- Waste management options for the Central Plateau (including disposal of offsite defense wastes)
- Fast Flux Test Facility (FFTF) decommissioning

Ecology will update this foreword in the *Final TC & WMEIS* and will express its agreement or disagreement with DOE's preferred alternative for specific decisions in the foreword. In the interim, Ecology's insights, technical perspectives, and legal and policy perspectives are provided below. Areas of agreement with DOE and points of concern are noted.

Single-Shell Tank Retrieval Options

Ecology believes that DOE has presented an appropriate range of alternatives for evaluating tank waste retrieval and tank closure impacts. However, based on the hazardous waste tank closure standards of the "Dangerous Waste Regulations" (WAC 173-303-610[2]) and the HFFACO requirements, Ecology supports only alternatives that involve the retrieval of 99 percent or more of the waste from each of the 149 single-shell tanks (SSTs).

High-Level Radioactive Waste Disposal

High-level radioactive waste (HLW) associated with the tank waste includes, but may not be limited to, immobilized high-level radioactive waste (IHLW) and HLW melters (both spent and failed). It has been DOE's longstanding plan to store these wastes at Hanford and then ship and dispose of them in a deep geologic repository. The idea was that the nature of the geology would isolate the waste and protect humans from exposure to these very long-lived, lethal radionuclides. The Nuclear Waste Policy Act indicates that these waste streams require permanent isolation. By contrast, the immobilized low-activity waste (ILAW) glass, and perhaps other waste streams, may not require deep geologic disposal due to the level of pretreatment resulting in radionuclide removal and the degree of immobilization provided for in the ILAW glass.

However, the final decision on HLW disposal has recently become an issue with significant uncertainty. The *Draft TC & WM EIS* contains the following statement:

As indicated in the Administration's fiscal year 2010 budget request, the Administration intends to terminate the Yucca Mountain program while developing nuclear waste disposal alternatives. Notwithstanding the decision to terminate the Yucca Mountain program, DOE remains committed to meeting its obligations to manage and ultimately dispose of HLW and SNF. The Administration intends to convene a blue ribbon commission to evaluate alternative approaches for meeting these obligations. The commission will provide the opportunity for a meaningful dialogue on how best to address this challenging issue and will provide recommendations that will form the basis for working with Congress to revise the statutory framework for managing and disposing of HLW and SNF.

Ecology reminds the readers that the Nuclear Waste Policy Act requires permanent isolation of these most difficult waste streams. Leaving these wastes stored at Hanford indefinitely is not a legal option, nor an acceptable option to the State of Washington.

Ecology is concerned about the glass standards and canister requirements for the IHLW. These standards were developed based on what was acceptable to Yucca Mountain. Now that Yucca Mountain is no longer the assumed disposal location, Ecology is concerned about what standards for glass and canisters will be utilized by the WTP. Ecology insists that DOE implement the most conservative approach in these two areas to guarantee that the glass and canister configurations adopted at the WTP will be acceptable at the future deep geologic repository.

In addition, Ecology maintains that DOE should build and operate adequate interim storage capacity for the IHLW and the HLW melters in a manner that does not slow down the treatment of tank waste.

This *Draft TC & WM EIS* assumes that the used (both spent and failed) HLW melters are HLW and, therefore, should be disposed of in a deep geologic repository. This EIS also assumes that the used HLW melters will stay on site before shipment to such a repository. DOE has not requested, and Ecology has not accepted, long-term interim storage of failed or spent HLW melters at Hanford.

Ecology does not agree that the HLW melters will or should stay on site. We do agree with the final disposal in a deep geologic repository. The disposal pathway for both the failed and the spent melters will require further evaluation than is presented in this *Draft TC & WM EIS*. Ecology and DOE will need to reach a mutual understanding and agreement on the regulatory framework for disposal.

Pretreatment of Tank Waste

This *Draft TC & WM EIS* includes numerous alternatives that pretreat tank waste to separate the high-activity components and direct them to a HLW stream. The HLW stream will be vitrified, resulting in a glass waste product that will be sent to a deep geologic repository. However, this draft EIS has one alternative that provides no pretreatment for some portion of the waste in the 200-West Area.

As a legal and policy issue, Ecology does not agree with alternatives that do not require pretreatment of the tank waste. Such alternatives do not meet the intent of the Nuclear Waste Policy Act to remove as many of the fission products and radionuclides as possible to concentrate them in the HLW stream. For this reason, Ecology requests that DOE rule out any alternative that does not pretreat tank waste.

TRU Tank Waste

This *Draft TC & WM EIS* considers the option of treating and sending waste from specific tanks to the Waste Isolation Pilot Plant (WIPP) as mixed TRU waste. This draft EIS also considers WTP processing of the waste from these specific tanks.

Ecology has legal and technical concerns with any tank waste being classified as mixed TRU waste at this time. DOE must provide peer-reviewed data and a strong, defensible, technically and legally detailed justification for the designation of any tank waste as mixed TRU waste, rather than as HLW. DOE must also complete the WIPP certification process and assure Ecology that there is a viable disposal pathway (i.e., permit approval from the State of New Mexico) before Ecology will modify the Hanford Sitewide Permit to allow tank waste to be treated as mixed TRU waste.

Supplemental Treatment

In this *Draft TC & WM EIS*, DOE considers changes to the treatment processes that the WTP would use. Specifically, this draft EIS considers technologies to supplement the WTP's treatment of low-activity waste (LAW). The WTP as it is currently designed does not have the capacity to treat the entire volume of LAW in a reasonable timeframe.

Ecology agrees on the need to evaluate supplemental LAW treatment. An additional supplemental LAW treatment system is necessary to treat all the tank waste in a reasonable amount of time. Ecology fully supports the *Draft TC & WM EIS* alternative that assumes a second LAW Vitrification Facility would provide additional waste processing. Building a second LAW Vitrification Facility has consistently been Ecology's baseline approach. We would prefer a second LAW Vitrification Facility as the preferred alternative for the following reasons:

- LAW vitrification is a mature technology that is ready to be implemented with no further testing.
- LAW vitrification produces a well-understood waste form that is extremely protective of the environment (the bulk vitrification waste form is not as protective).

- Negative data from the last bulk vitrification experimental testing indicate waste form performance and technology implementation issues.
- There has been a lack of significant progress on advancing a bulk vitrification test facility for actual waste.
- The environmental results from the waste performance presented in this *Draft TC & WM EIS* indicate that LAW vitrification is superior to bulk vitrification.
- A recently published DOE report indicates that a second LAW Vitrification Facility would be preferable.

Consistent with the standard of HFFACO Milestone M-62-08, Ecology will analyze the information from the bulk vitrification alternative. From this analysis, Ecology will determine if the performance of the waste forms is comparable with WTP borosilicate glass. Ecology's measuring stick for a successful supplemental treatment technology has always been whether it is "as good as glass" (from the WTP).

As a technical issue, Ecology does not think that the waste treatment processes of steam reforming and cast stone would provide adequate primary waste forms for disposal of tank waste in onsite landfills. This has already been the subject of a previous DOE down-select process, in which Ecology and other participants rated these treatment technologies as low. This draft EIS shows that the waste form performance would be inadequate for both cast stone and steam reforming. These alternatives do not merit any further review.

Specifically related to the steam reforming alternative, Ecology has technical concerns about the *Draft TC & WM EIS's* assumptions for contaminant partitioning and its effects on waste form performance. It is inappropriate to assign the same assumptions to steam reforming as those used for bulk vitrification, given the different maturities of the two technologies.

Secondary Waste from Tank Waste Treatment

This *Draft TC & WM EIS* evaluates the impacts of disposing of secondary waste that results from tank waste treatment. Ecology agrees with DOE that secondary waste from the WTP and supplemental treatment operations would need additional mitigation before disposal. This assumption is not reflected in (and, in fact, is contradicted by) the current DOE baseline, which does not assume such additional mitigation. DOE has not determined what the secondary waste treatment would be, but DOE and its contractor are evaluating various treatment options.

Tank Waste Treatment Flowsheet

In preparing this *Draft TC & WM EIS*, some assumptions were made about highly technical issues such as the tank waste treatment flowsheet, which is a representation of how much of which constituent ends up in which waste form and in what amount.

Certain constituents such as technetium-99 and iodine-129 are significant risk drivers because they are mobile in the environment and have long half-lives. This draft EIS assumes that 20 percent of the iodine-129 from the tank waste would end up in vitrified glass and 80 percent in the grouted secondary waste. The same assumption is made for bulk vitrification and the WTP LAW Vitrification Facility.

Based on its review of the *Draft TC & WM EIS's* contaminant flowsheets for the WTP and bulk vitrification, Ecology has technical concerns with this approach. The design configuration for the WTP indicates that iodine-129 recycles past the melter multiple times, which leads to a higher retention in the glass and less in the secondary waste. Therefore, Ecology believes the retention rate of iodine-129 in the

ILAW glass may be higher than that in bulk vitrification glass. However, Ecology is aware that there is uncertainty in the actual glass retention results.

Through our cooperating agency interactions, DOE has agreed to run a sensitivity analysis to show the information under a different approach. The sensitivity analysis in this *Draft TC & WM EIS* shows that if recycling of iodine-129 is as effective as the WTP flowsheets indicate, then the WTP with a Bulk Vitrification Facility alternative would place 80 percent of iodine-129 in secondary waste (a less-robust waste form). This compares to an alternative that includes a second LAW Vitrification Facility in addition to the WTP, which would place 30 percent of the iodine-129 in secondary waste. This 50 percent difference in capture reinforces Ecology's opinion that choosing Tank Closure Alternative 2B, which would use the WTP and a second LAW Vitrification Facility, would be best from a tank waste treatment perspective.

Waste Release

This *Draft TC & WM EIS* models waste releases from several different types of final waste forms, including the following:

- ILAW glass
- Failed and spent LAW melters
- Waste in bulk vitrification boxes
- Steam reformed waste
- Grouted LAW from tank waste
- Grouted secondary waste
- Waste left in waste sites
- Grouted waste in the bottom of tanks
- Direct buried waste in landfills
- Waste that has been macroencapsulated

Ecology understands the methods and formulas used for the waste form release calculations (for all waste types). However, we will need to see the modeling results and complete our technical review before we can validate this portion of this EIS.

Offsite Waste

DOE is decades behind its legal schedule in retrieving tank waste from SSTs and years behind its legal schedule in completing construction of the WTP. DOE has not even begun treating Hanford's 200 million liters (53 million gallons) of tank waste.

At its current pace, DOE is in danger of falling years behind its legal schedule in processing contact-handled TRU waste for disposal at WIPP. DOE has not yet even completed planning for a facility to process remote-handled TRU waste for such disposal. Massive areas of Hanford's soil and groundwater are contaminated, and many of these areas will likely remain contaminated for generations to come, even after final cleanup remedies have been instituted.

The State of Washington is aware that under DOE's plans, more curies of radioactivity would leave Hanford (in the form of vitrified HLW and processed TRU waste) than would be added to Hanford through proposed offsite waste disposal. However, based on the current state of Hanford's cleanup and the analysis in this *Draft TC & WM EIS*, the State of Washington objects to the disposal at Hanford of additional wastes that have been generated from beyond Hanford.

As this *Draft TC & WM EIS* shows, disposal of the proposed offsite waste would significantly increase groundwater impacts to beyond acceptable levels. Such disposal would add to the risk term at Hanford today, at a time when progress on reducing the bulk of Hanford's existing risk term has yet to be realized. DOE should take a conservative approach to ensure that the impact of proposed offsite waste disposal,

when added to other existing Hanford risks, does not result in exceeding the “reasonable expectation” standard of DOE’s own performance objectives (see DOE Manual 435.1-1, Section IV.P[1]) and of other environmental standards (e.g., drinking water standards).

The State of Washington supports a “no offsite waste disposal” alternative as its preferred alternative in the *Final TC & WM EIS*, to be adopted in a Record of Decision. DOE should forgo offsite waste disposal at Hanford (subject to the exceptions in the current *State of Washington v. Bodman* Settlement Agreement), at least until such time as it has made significant progress on SST waste retrieval and the tank waste treatment process. If DOE wishes to use Hanford as an offsite waste repository after that point, DOE should then re-evaluate the potential impacts of any proposed offsite waste disposal in light of the then-existing Hanford risk term.

Waste Disposal Location Alternatives

Ecology agrees with DOE that a preferred alternative locating the Integrated Disposal Facility in the 200-East Area appears better for long-term disposal of waste than in the 200-West Area because of the faster rate of groundwater flow in the 200-East Area.

Black Rock Reservoir

This *Draft TC & WM EIS* considers the groundwater impacts of locating Black Rock Reservoir upgradient of Hanford. This is noteworthy because leakage associated with the reservoir could have impacts on Hanford groundwater contamination. Ecology has reviewed the evaluation basis assumed in this draft EIS. On a technical basis, Ecology accepts that potential groundwater impacts of the proposed reservoir could (or likely would) adversely impact human health and the environment at Hanford.

Vadose Zone Modeling

This *Draft TC & WM EIS* uses the STOMP [Subsurface Transport Over Multiple Phases] modeling code for vadose zone modeling. Based on its current review, Ecology believes that the Hanford parameters used with this code are adequate for the purposes served by this EIS. Ecology notes that the *TC & WM EIS* STOMP modeling code parameters are based on a regional scale and may not be appropriate for site-specific closure decisions or other Hanford assessments. Use of STOMP in other assessments requires careful technical review and consideration of site-specific parameters. Further revisions of these STOMP parameters may be necessary.

Risk Assessment and Cumulative Impacts

This *Draft TC & WM EIS* evaluates risk under the alternatives and in the cumulative impact analyses. The risk assessment modeling presented in this draft EIS should not be interpreted as a Hanford sitewide comprehensive human health and ecological risk assessment, applied to the river corridor or other specific Hanford areas. Specific Hanford areas will require unique site parameters that are applicable to that area’s specific use.

This *Draft TC & WM EIS* presents an evaluation of the cumulative environmental impacts of treatment and disposal of wastes at Hanford. The cumulative impact analyses allow DOE to consider the impacts of all cleanup actions it has taken or plans to take at Hanford.

V. Noteworthy Areas of Agreement

Ecology and DOE have discussed and reached agreement on the following significant issues and parameters for the purposes of this *Draft TC & WM EIS*:

- The manner in which DOE presents groundwater data and information (i.e. with pictures).

- The quality assurance requirements that DOE and Ecology identified in the *HSW EIS (State of Washington v. Bodman)* Settlement Agreement
- The Technical Guidance Document for *Tank Closure Environmental Impact Statement Vadose Zone and Groundwater Revised Analyses* Agreement, which focused on parameters shown to be important in groundwater analysis
- The location of calculation points for contaminant concentrations in groundwater
- The use of tank farm closure descriptions and alternative analysis
- The use of tank waste treatment descriptions and alternative analysis
- Inclusion of the US Ecology site and the cocooned reactors transported to the Central Plateau in the comprehensive cumulative impacts assessment
- Overall modeling approaches for vadose zone and groundwater
- The use of modeling assumptions for the double-shell tanks
- Alternative assumptions about how processes would treat existing wastes and generate other wastes during treatment processes, and how DOE would dispose of all of the wastes.
- The methods for evaluating and using waste inventory data
- Release mechanisms for contaminants from various waste forms
- An alternative in this *Draft TC & WM EIS* that evaluates impacts of treating and disposal of all tank waste and residue to meet the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act / Hazardous Waste Management Act HLW treatment standard of vitrification
- The inventory assumptions used for the pre-1970 burial grounds

Ecology's agreement on these issues and parameters is specifically for the purposes of this *Draft TC & WM EIS* and is based on Ecology's current knowledge and best professional judgment. Ecology's agreement should not be construed as applicable to any future documents, evaluations, or decisions at Hanford.

Table of Contents

List of Figures	xxii
List of Tables	xcv
List of Acronyms and Abbreviations	cxv
Measurement Units	cxxi
Conversions	cxxii
Chapter 1 Proposed Actions: Background, Purpose, and Need	1-1
1.1 Introduction	1-1
1.2 Background	1-2
1.2.1 Hanford Regulatory Compliance Requirements	1-3
1.2.2 <i>Tank Waste Remediation System Environmental Impact Statement</i> and Record of Decision	1-4
1.2.3 Developments Since Issuing the <i>Tank Waste Remediation System</i> <i>Environmental Impact Statement</i> Record of Decision	1-5
1.2.4 Formal Evaluations of the Tank Waste Remediation Program	1-7
1.2.5 Fast Flux Test Facility Deactivation Decision and Record of Decision/Environmental Impact Statement for Deactivation Decision	1-8
1.2.6 <i>Hanford Solid Waste Environmental Impact Statement</i> and Record of Decision	1-10
1.2.7 Developments Since Issuing the <i>Hanford Solid Waste Environmental</i> <i>Impact Statement</i> Record of Decision	1-10
1.3 Purpose and Need for Agency Action	1-11
1.4 Decisions and Regulatory Framework	1-11
1.4.1 Decisions to Be Made	1-12
1.4.2 Decisions Not to Be Made	1-13
1.5 Scoping Process and Development of the <i>Draft TC & WM EIS</i> Alternatives	1-14
1.6 Public Comments on the Proposed <i>TC & WM EIS</i> Scope	1-15
1.6.1 Public Meetings and Issues Identified During the <i>TC & WM EIS</i> Scoping Process	1-16
1.6.1.1 Public Meetings	1-16
1.6.1.2 Issues Identified During the <i>TC & WM EIS</i> Scoping Process	1-16
1.6.2 Public Meetings and Issues Identified During the “Tank Closure EIS” Scoping Process	1-17
1.6.2.1 Public Meetings	1-17
1.6.2.2 Issues Identified During the “Tank Closure EIS” Scoping Process	1-18
1.6.3 Public Meetings and Issues Identified During the “FFTF Decommissioning EIS” Scoping Process	1-20
1.6.3.1 Public Meetings	1-20
1.6.3.2 Issues Identified During the “FFTF Decommissioning EIS” Scoping Process	1-20
1.7 Alternatives Evaluated in this <i>Draft TC & WM EIS</i>	1-23
1.7.1 Tank Closure Alternatives	1-23
1.7.1.1 Tank Closure Alternative 1: No Action	1-23
1.7.1.2 Tank Closure Alternative 2: Implement the <i>Tank Waste</i> <i>Remediation System EIS</i> Record of Decision with Modifications	1-24

1.7.1.3	Tank Closure Alternative 3: Existing WTP Vitrification with Supplemental Treatment Technology; Landfill Closure	1-25
1.7.1.4	Tank Closure Alternative 4: Existing WTP Vitrification with Supplemental Treatment Technologies; Selective Clean Closure/Landfill Closure	1-26
1.7.1.5	Tank Closure Alternative 5: Expanded WTP Vitrification with Supplemental Treatment Technologies; Landfill Closure	1-27
1.7.1.6	Tank Closure Alternative 6: All Waste as Vitrified HLW	1-28
1.7.2	FFTF Decommissioning Alternatives	1-30
1.7.2.1	FFTF Decommissioning Alternative 1: No Action	1-30
1.7.2.2	FFTF Decommissioning Alternative 2: Entombment	1-30
1.7.2.3	FFTF Decommissioning Alternative 3: Removal.....	1-32
1.7.3	Waste Management Alternatives	1-32
1.7.3.1	Waste Management Alternative 1: No Action.....	1-32
1.7.3.2	Waste Management Alternative 2: Disposal in IDF, 200-East Area Only	1-32
1.7.3.3	Waste Management Alternative 3: Disposal in IDF, 200-East and 200-West Areas.....	1-33
1.8	Related NEPA Reviews	1-33
1.9	Structure of This <i>TC & WM EIS</i>	1-42
1.10	References	1-44

Chapter 2	Proposed Actions and Alternatives	2-1
2.1	Introduction	2-1
2.2	Hanford Tank Farm System Closure Actions	2-3
2.2.1	Tank Farm Operations and Facilities.....	2-5
2.2.1.1	Single-Shell Tanks.....	2-9
2.2.1.2	Double-Shell Tanks	2-10
2.2.1.3	DOE River Protection Project	2-11
2.2.2	Proposed Retrieval, Treatment, and Disposal of Tank Waste and Closure of the Single-Shell Tank System	2-12
2.2.2.1	Waste Retrieval and Storage.....	2-15
2.2.2.2	Waste Treatment.....	2-22
2.2.2.3	Waste Disposal	2-30
2.2.2.4	Tank System Closure and Facility Decontamination and Decommissioning	2-32
2.3	Fast Flux Test Facility Decommissioning Actions	2-38
2.3.1	Decommissioning of Fast Flux Test Facility and Auxiliary Buildings.....	2-40
2.3.1.1	Reactor Containment Building	2-40
2.3.1.2	Reactor Support and Auxiliary Buildings.....	2-41
2.3.2	Deactivation Activities	2-42
2.3.3	Proposed Fast Flux Test Facility and Auxiliary Building Disposition Activities	2-42
2.3.3.1	Facility Disposition.....	2-43
2.3.3.2	Disposition of Remote-Handled Special Components	2-43
2.3.3.3	Sodium Processing	2-46
2.4	Solid Waste Management Actions	2-49
2.4.1	Existing Solid Waste Operations Complex.....	2-49
2.4.1.1	Low-Level Radioactive Waste Burial Grounds.....	2-49
2.4.1.2	Central Waste Complex.....	2-49
2.4.1.3	T Plant	2-53

Table of Contents

	2.4.1.4	Waste Receiving and Processing Facility.....	2-53
	2.4.1.5	Integrated Disposal Facility.....	2-54
	2.4.1.6	Solid Waste Operations Complex Process Flow	2-54
2.4.2		Proposed Solid Waste Management Activities.....	2-55
	2.4.2.1	Use of Existing Low-Level Radioactive Waste Burial Grounds....	2-55
	2.4.2.2	Expanded Central Waste Complex, T Plant, and Waste Receiving and Packaging Facility	2-55
	2.4.2.3	Integrated Disposal Facility.....	2-56
	2.4.2.4	River Protection Project Disposal Facility	2-56
	2.4.2.5	Closure of Integrated Disposal Facility and River Protection Project Disposal Facility.....	2-57
2.5		Description of the Alternatives	2-57
2.5.1		Development of the Alternatives	2-59
	2.5.1.1	Tank Closure Alternatives	2-59
	2.5.1.2	FFTF Decommissioning Alternatives.....	2-61
	2.5.1.3	Waste Management Alternatives.....	2-62
2.5.2		Tank Closure Alternatives	2-63
	2.5.2.1	Tank Closure Alternative 1: No Action.....	2-63
	2.5.2.2	Tank Closure Alternative 2: Implement the <i>Tank Waste Remediation System EIS</i> Record of Decision with Modifications.....	2-65
	2.5.2.3	Tank Closure Alternative 3: Existing WTP Vitrification with Supplemental Treatment Technology; Landfill Closure	2-73
	2.5.2.4	Tank Closure Alternative 4: Existing WTP Vitrification with Supplemental Treatment Technologies; Selective Clean Closure/Landfill Closure	2-85
	2.5.2.5	Tank Closure Alternative 5: Expanded WTP Vitrification with Supplemental Treatment Technologies; Landfill Closure	2-89
	2.5.2.6	Tank Closure Alternative 6: All Waste as Vitrified HLW	2-93
2.5.3		FFTF Decommissioning Alternatives.....	2-105
	2.5.3.1	FFTF Decommissioning Alternative 1: No Action	2-105
	2.5.3.2	FFTF Decommissioning Alternative 2: Entombment	2-107
	2.5.3.3	FFTF Decommissioning Alternative 3: Removal.....	2-111
2.5.4		Waste Management Alternatives	2-114
	2.5.4.1	Waste Management Alternative 1: No Action.....	2-115
	2.5.4.2	Waste Management Alternative 2: Disposal in IDF, 200-East Area Only	2-117
	2.5.4.3	Waste Management Alternative 3: Disposal in IDF, 200-East and 200-West Areas.....	2-120
2.6		Technologies and Options Considered But Not Evaluated in Detail	2-124
	2.6.1	Tank Closure.....	2-124
	2.6.2	Fast Flux Test Facility	2-126
	2.6.3	Waste Management.....	2-127
2.7		Comparison of Alternatives	2-128
	2.7.1	Tank Closure Alternatives	2-128
	2.7.2	FFTF Decommissioning Alternatives.....	2-134
	2.7.3	Waste Management Alternatives	2-136
	2.7.4	Uncertainties	2-139
2.8		Summary of Short-Term Environmental Impacts	2-142
	2.8.1	Tank Closure Alternatives: Short-Term Environmental Impacts	2-142
	2.8.1.1	Land Resources.....	2-142

	2.8.1.2 Infrastructure	2-143
	2.8.1.3 Noise and Vibration	2-144
	2.8.1.4 Air Quality	2-144
	2.8.1.5 Geology and Soils	2-145
	2.8.1.6 Water Resources	2-146
	2.8.1.7 Ecological Resources	2-146
	2.8.1.8 Cultural and Paleontological Resources	2-147
	2.8.1.9 Socioeconomics	2-147
	2.8.1.10 Public and Occupational Health and Safety—Normal Operations	2-148
	2.8.1.11 Public and Occupational Health and Safety— Facility Accidents	2-149
	2.8.1.12 Public and Occupational Health and Safety—Transportation	2-150
	2.8.1.13 Environmental Justice	2-151
	2.8.1.14 Waste Management	2-151
	2.8.1.15 Industrial Safety	2-155
2.8.2	FFTF Decommissioning Alternatives: Short-Term Environmental Impacts	2-170
	2.8.2.1 Land Resources	2-170
	2.8.2.2 Infrastructure	2-170
	2.8.2.3 Noise and Vibration	2-171
	2.8.2.4 Air Quality	2-171
	2.8.2.5 Geology and Soils	2-172
	2.8.2.6 Water Resources	2-173
	2.8.2.7 Ecological Resources	2-174
	2.8.2.8 Cultural and Paleontological Resources	2-174
	2.8.2.9 Socioeconomics	2-174
	2.8.2.10 Public and Occupational Health and Safety— Normal Operations	2-175
	2.8.2.11 Public and Occupational Health and Safety— Facility Accidents	2-176
	2.8.2.12 Public and Occupational Health and Safety—Transportation	2-176
	2.8.2.13 Environmental Justice	2-177
	2.8.2.14 Waste Management	2-177
	2.8.2.15 Industrial Safety	2-178
2.8.3	Waste Management Alternatives: Short-Term Environmental Impacts	2-186
	2.8.3.1 Land Resources	2-186
	2.8.3.2 Infrastructure	2-186
	2.8.3.3 Noise and Vibration	2-187
	2.8.3.4 Air Quality	2-187
	2.8.3.5 Geology and Soils	2-188
	2.8.3.6 Water Resources	2-189
	2.8.3.7 Ecological Resources	2-190
	2.8.3.8 Cultural and Paleontological Resources	2-190
	2.8.3.9 Socioeconomics	2-191
	2.8.3.10 Public and Occupational Health and Safety— Normal Operations	2-191
	2.8.3.11 Public and Occupational Health and Safety— Facility Accidents	2-192
	2.8.3.12 Public and Occupational Health and Safety—Transportation	2-193
	2.8.3.13 Environmental Justice	2-193

Table of Contents

2.8.3.14	Waste Management	2-193
2.8.3.15	Industrial Safety.....	2-194
2.9	Summary of Long-Term Environmental Impacts	2-203
2.9.1	Tank Closure Alternatives: Long-Term Environmental Impacts.....	2-203
2.9.1.1	Water Quality	2-203
2.9.1.2	Human Health.....	2-214
2.9.1.3	Ecological Risk.....	2-225
2.9.1.4	Environmental Justice.....	2-229
2.9.2	FFTF Decommissioning Alternatives: Long-Term Environmental Impacts	2-229
2.9.2.1	Water Quality	2-229
2.9.2.2	Human Health.....	2-231
2.9.2.3	Ecological Risk.....	2-235
2.9.2.4	Environmental Justice.....	2-237
2.9.3	Waste Management Alternatives: Long-Term Environmental Impacts	2-237
2.9.3.1	Water Quality	2-237
2.9.3.2	Human Health.....	2-251
2.9.3.3	Ecological Risk.....	2-283
2.9.3.4	Environmental Justice.....	2-285
2.10	Key Environmental Findings	2-285
2.10.1	Tank Closure Alternatives	2-285
2.10.2	FFTF Decommissioning Alternatives.....	2-294
2.10.3	Waste Management Alternatives	2-295
2.11	Cost of the Alternatives.....	2-299
2.11.1	Tank Closure Alternatives	2-300
2.11.2	FFTF Decommissioning Alternatives.....	2-304
2.11.3	Waste Management Alternatives	2-305
2.12	Preferred Alternatives	2-307
2.13	References	2-308
Chapter 3 Affected Environment		3-1
3.1	Approach to Defining the Affected Environment	3-1
3.2	Hanford Site	3-1
3.2.1	Land Resources.....	3-5
3.2.1.1	Land Use.....	3-6
3.2.1.2	Visual Resources	3-10
3.2.2	Infrastructure.....	3-12
3.2.2.1	Ground Transportation	3-13
3.2.2.2	Electricity	3-14
3.2.2.3	Fuel	3-16
3.2.2.4	Water	3-16
3.2.3	Noise and Vibration	3-18
3.2.3.1	General Site Description.....	3-18
3.2.3.2	200 Areas Description	3-19
3.2.3.3	400 Area Description.....	3-19
3.2.3.4	Borrow Area C Description	3-19
3.2.4	Air Quality.....	3-19
3.2.4.1	General Site Description.....	3-19
3.2.4.2	200 Areas Description	3-27
3.2.4.3	400 Area Description.....	3-27
3.2.4.4	Borrow Area C Description	3-27

3.2.5	Geology and Soils	3-27
	3.2.5.1 General Site Description	3-28
	3.2.5.2 200 Areas Description	3-37
	3.2.5.3 400 Area Description	3-38
	3.2.5.4 Borrow Area C Description	3-38
3.2.6	Water Resources	3-39
	3.2.6.1 Surface Water	3-39
	3.2.6.2 Vadose Zone	3-46
	3.2.6.3 Groundwater	3-49
3.2.7	Ecological Resources	3-58
	3.2.7.1 Terrestrial Resources	3-58
	3.2.7.2 Wetlands	3-67
	3.2.7.3 Aquatic Resources	3-67
	3.2.7.4 Threatened and Endangered Species	3-69
3.2.8	Cultural and Paleontological Resources	3-74
	3.2.8.1 Prehistoric Resources	3-75
	3.2.8.2 Historic Resources	3-76
	3.2.8.3 American Indian Interests	3-79
	3.2.8.4 Paleontological Resources	3-80
3.2.9	Socioeconomics	3-81
	3.2.9.1 Regional Economic Characteristics	3-81
	3.2.9.2 Demographic Characteristics	3-81
	3.2.9.3 Housing and Community Services	3-82
	3.2.9.4 Local Transportation	3-83
3.2.10	Existing Human Health Risk	3-87
	3.2.10.1 Radiation Exposure and Risk	3-87
	3.2.10.2 Chemical Environment	3-90
	3.2.10.3 Health Effects Studies	3-91
	3.2.10.4 Accident History	3-93
	3.2.10.5 Emergency Preparedness	3-94
3.2.11	Environmental Justice	3-95
	3.2.11.1 Minority Populations	3-96
	3.2.11.2 Low-Income Populations	3-104
3.2.12	Waste Management	3-109
	3.2.12.1 Waste Inventories and Activities	3-109
	3.2.12.2 Waste Minimization	3-117
	3.2.12.3 <i>Waste Management PEIS</i> Records of Decision	3-117
3.2.13	Spent Nuclear Fuel	3-118
3.3	Idaho National Laboratory	3-119
3.3.1	Land Resources	3-121
	3.3.1.1 Land Use	3-121
	3.3.1.2 Visual Resources	3-123
3.3.2	Infrastructure	3-124
	3.3.2.1 Ground Transportation	3-125
	3.3.2.2 Electricity	3-125
	3.3.2.3 Fuel	3-126
	3.3.2.4 Water	3-126
3.3.3	Noise and Vibration	3-127
	3.3.3.1 General Site Description	3-127
	3.3.3.2 Materials and Fuels Complex	3-127
3.3.4	Air Quality	3-127

Table of Contents

	3.3.4.1	Nonradiological Releases	3-127
	3.3.4.2	Radiological Releases	3-131
3.3.5		Geology and Soils	3-132
	3.3.5.1	General Site Description	3-132
	3.3.5.2	Materials and Fuels Complex	3-138
3.3.6		Water Resources	3-138
	3.3.6.1	Surface Water	3-138
	3.3.6.2	Vadose Zone	3-143
	3.3.6.3	Groundwater	3-143
3.3.7		Ecological Resources	3-146
	3.3.7.1	Terrestrial Resources	3-146
	3.3.7.2	Wetlands	3-149
	3.3.7.3	Aquatic Resources	3-149
	3.3.7.4	Threatened and Endangered Species	3-149
3.3.8		Cultural and Paleontological Resources	3-151
	3.3.8.1	Prehistoric Resources	3-151
	3.3.8.2	Historic Resources	3-151
	3.3.8.3	American Indian Interests	3-152
	3.3.8.4	Paleontological Resources	3-153
3.3.9		Socioeconomics	3-153
	3.3.9.1	Regional Economic Characteristics	3-153
	3.3.9.2	Demographic Characteristics	3-154
	3.3.9.3	Housing and Community Services	3-155
	3.3.9.4	Local Transportation	3-155
3.3.10		Existing Human Health Risk	3-156
	3.3.10.1	Radiation Exposure and Risk	3-157
	3.3.10.2	Chemical Environment	3-158
	3.3.10.3	Health Effect Studies	3-159
	3.3.10.4	Accident History	3-160
	3.3.10.5	Emergency Preparedness	3-161
3.3.11		Environmental Justice	3-161
	3.3.11.1	Minority Populations	3-161
	3.3.11.2	Low-Income Populations	3-166
3.3.12		Waste Management	3-169
	3.3.12.1	Waste Inventories and Activities	3-169
	3.3.12.2	Waste Minimization	3-172
	3.3.12.3	<i>Waste Management PEIS</i> Records of Decision	3-172
3.4		References	3-173

Chapter 4	Short-Term Environmental Consequences	4-1
4.1	Tank Closure Alternatives	4-1
4.1.1	Land Resources	4-1
	4.1.1.1 Alternative 1: No Action	4-6
	4.1.1.2 Alternative 2A: Existing WTP Vitrification; No Closure	4-6
	4.1.1.3 Alternative 2B: Expanded WTP Vitrification; Landfill Closure	4-7
	4.1.1.4 Alternative 3A: Existing WTP Vitrification with Thermal Supplemental Treatment (Bulk Vitrification); Landfill Closure	4-8
	4.1.1.5 Alternative 3B: Existing WTP Vitrification with Nonthermal Supplemental Treatment (Cast Stone); Landfill Closure	4-9
	4.1.1.6 Alternative 3C: Existing WTP Vitrification with Thermal Supplemental Treatment (Steam Reforming); Landfill Closure	4-10

4.1.1.7	Alternative 4: Existing WTP Vitrification with Supplemental Treatment Technologies, Selective Clean Closure/Landfill Closure	4-10
4.1.1.8	Alternative 5: Expanded WTP Vitrification with Supplemental Treatment Technologies; Landfill Closure	4-11
4.1.1.9	Alternative 6A: All Vitrification/No Separations; Clean Closure ..	4-12
4.1.1.10	Alternative 6B: All Vitrification with Separations; Clean Closure	4-14
4.1.1.11	Alternative 6C: All Vitrification with Separations; Landfill Closure	4-15
4.1.2	Infrastructure	4-16
4.1.2.1	Alternative 1: No Action	4-19
4.1.2.2	Alternative 2A: Existing WTP Vitrification; No Closure	4-20
4.1.2.3	Alternative 2B: Expanded WTP Vitrification; Landfill Closure	4-20
4.1.2.4	Alternative 3A: Existing WTP Vitrification with Thermal Supplemental Treatment (Bulk Vitrification); Landfill Closure	4-21
4.1.2.5	Alternative 3B: Existing WTP Vitrification with Nonthermal Supplemental Treatment (Cast Stone); Landfill Closure	4-21
4.1.2.6	Alternative 3C: Existing WTP Vitrification with Thermal Supplemental Treatment (Steam Reforming); Landfill Closure	4-22
4.1.2.7	Alternative 4: Existing WTP Vitrification with Supplemental Treatment Technologies; Selective Clean Closure/Landfill Closure	4-23
4.1.2.8	Alternative 5: Expanded WTP Vitrification with Supplemental Treatment Technologies; Landfill Closure	4-24
4.1.2.9	Alternative 6A: All Vitrification/No Separations; Clean Closure ..	4-24
4.1.2.10	Alternative 6B: All Vitrification with Separations; Clean Closure	4-26
4.1.2.11	Alternative 6C: All Vitrification with Separations; Landfill Closure	4-27
4.1.3	Noise and Vibration	4-28
4.1.3.1	Alternative 1: No Action	4-28
4.1.3.2	Alternative 2A: Existing WTP Vitrification; No Closure	4-29
4.1.3.3	Alternative 2B: Expanded WTP Vitrification; Landfill Closure	4-29
4.1.3.4	Alternative 3A: Existing WTP Vitrification with Thermal Supplemental Treatment (Bulk Vitrification); Landfill Closure	4-29
4.1.3.5	Alternative 3B: Existing WTP Vitrification with Nonthermal Supplemental Treatment (Cast Stone); Landfill Closure	4-29
4.1.3.6	Alternative 3C: Existing WTP Vitrification with Thermal Supplemental Treatment (Steam Reforming); Landfill Closure	4-30
4.1.3.7	Alternative 4: Existing WTP Vitrification with Supplemental Treatment Technologies; Selective Clean Closure/Landfill Closure	4-30
4.1.3.8	Alternative 5: Expanded WTP Vitrification with Supplemental Treatment Technologies; Landfill Closure	4-30
4.1.3.9	Alternative 6A: All Vitrification/No Separations; Clean Closure ..	4-31
4.1.3.10	Alternative 6B: All Vitrification with Separations; Clean Closure	4-31
4.1.3.11	Alternative 6C: All Vitrification with Separations; Landfill Closure	4-32
4.1.4	Air Quality	4-32

Table of Contents

4.1.4.1	Alternative 1: No Action	4-38
4.1.4.2	Alternative 2A: Existing WTP Vitrification; No Closure	4-38
4.1.4.3	Alternative 2B: Expanded WTP Vitrification; Landfill Closure	4-39
4.1.4.4	Alternative 3A: Existing WTP Vitrification with Thermal Supplemental Treatment (Bulk Vitrification); Landfill Closure	4-40
4.1.4.5	Alternative 3B: Existing WTP Vitrification with Nonthermal Supplemental Treatment (Cast Stone); Landfill Closure.....	4-41
4.1.4.6	Alternative 3C: Existing WTP Vitrification with Thermal Supplemental Treatment (Steam Reforming); Landfill Closure.....	4-42
4.1.4.7	Alternative 4: Existing WTP Vitrification with Supplemental Treatment Technologies; Selective Clean Closure/Landfill Closure	4-43
4.1.4.8	Alternative 5: Expanded WTP Vitrification with Supplemental Treatment Technologies; Landfill Closure	4-44
4.1.4.9	Alternative 6A: All Vitrification/No Separations; Clean Closure ..	4-45
4.1.4.10	Alternative 6B: All Vitrification with Separations; Clean Closure	4-47
4.1.4.11	Alternative 6C: All Vitrification with Separations; Landfill Closure.....	4-49
4.1.5	Geology and Soils	4-50
4.1.5.1	Alternative 1: No Action	4-54
4.1.5.2	Alternative 2A: Existing WTP Vitrification; No Closure	4-55
4.1.5.3	Alternative 2B: Expanded WTP Vitrification; Landfill Closure	4-56
4.1.5.4	Alternative 3A: Existing WTP Vitrification with Thermal Supplemental Treatment (Bulk Vitrification); Landfill Closure	4-57
4.1.5.5	Alternative 3B: Existing WTP Vitrification with Nonthermal Supplemental Treatment (Cast Stone); Landfill Closure.....	4-58
4.1.5.6	Alternative 3C: Existing WTP Vitrification with Thermal Supplemental Treatment (Steam Reforming); Landfill Closure.....	4-58
4.1.5.7	Alternative 4: Existing WTP Vitrification with Supplemental Treatment Technologies; Selective Clean Closure/Landfill Closure	4-59
4.1.5.8	Alternative 5: Expanded WTP Vitrification with Supplemental Treatment Technologies; Landfill Closure	4-60
4.1.5.9	Alternative 6A: All Vitrification/No Separations; Clean Closure ..	4-61
4.1.5.10	Alternative 6B: All Vitrification with Separations; Clean Closure	4-63
4.1.5.11	Alternative 6C: All Vitrification with Separations; Landfill Closure.....	4-65
4.1.6	Water Resources	4-65
4.1.6.1	Alternative 1: No Action	4-66
4.1.6.2	Alternative 2A: Existing WTP Vitrification; No Closure	4-67
4.1.6.3	Alternative 2B: Expanded WTP Vitrification; Landfill Closure	4-69
4.1.6.4	Alternative 3A: Existing WTP Vitrification with Thermal Supplemental Treatment (Bulk Vitrification); Landfill Closure	4-70
4.1.6.5	Alternative 3B: Existing WTP Vitrification with Nonthermal Supplemental Treatment (Cast Stone); Landfill Closure.....	4-71
4.1.6.6	Alternative 3C: Existing WTP Vitrification with Thermal Supplemental Treatment (Steam Reforming); Landfill Closure.....	4-72

4.1.6.7	Alternative 4: Existing WTP Vitrification with Supplemental Treatment Technologies; Selective Clean Closure/Landfill Closure	4-73
4.1.6.8	Alternative 5: Expanded WTP Vitrification with Supplemental Treatment Technologies; Landfill Closure	4-74
4.1.6.9	Alternative 6A: All Vitrification/No Separations; Clean Closure ..	4-76
4.1.6.10	Alternative 6B: All Vitrification with Separations; Clean Closure	4-78
4.1.6.11	Alternative 6C: All Vitrification with Separations; Landfill Closure	4-79
4.1.7	Ecological Resources	4-80
4.1.7.1	Alternative 1: No Action	4-80
4.1.7.2	Alternative 2A: Existing WTP Vitrification; No Closure	4-81
4.1.7.3	Alternative 2B: Expanded WTP Vitrification; Landfill Closure	4-83
4.1.7.4	Alternative 3A: Existing WTP Vitrification with Thermal Supplemental Treatment (Bulk Vitrification); Landfill Closure	4-84
4.1.7.5	Alternative 3B: Existing WTP Vitrification with Nonthermal Supplemental Treatment (Cast Stone); Landfill Closure.....	4-85
4.1.7.6	Alternative 3C: Existing WTP Vitrification with Thermal Supplemental Treatment (Steam Reforming); Landfill Closure.....	4-87
4.1.7.7	Alternative 4: Existing WTP Vitrification with Supplemental Treatment Technologies; Selective Clean Closure/Landfill Closure	4-88
4.1.7.8	Alternative 5: Expanded WTP Vitrification with Supplemental Treatment Technologies; Landfill Closure	4-89
4.1.7.9	Alternative 6A: All Vitrification/No Separations; Clean Closure ..	4-90
4.1.7.10	Alternative 6B: All Vitrification with Separations; Clean Closure	4-92
4.1.7.11	Alternative 6C: All Vitrification with Separations; Landfill Closure.....	4-94
4.1.8	Cultural and Paleontological Resources	4-95
4.1.8.1	Alternative 1: No Action	4-95
4.1.8.2	Alternative 2A: Existing WTP Vitrification; No Closure	4-96
4.1.8.3	Alternative 2B: Expanded WTP Vitrification; Landfill Closure	4-97
4.1.8.4	Alternative 3A: Existing WTP Vitrification with Thermal Supplemental Treatment (Bulk Vitrification); Landfill Closure	4-98
4.1.8.5	Alternative 3B: Existing WTP Vitrification with Nonthermal Supplemental Treatment (Cast Stone); Landfill Closure.....	4-98
4.1.8.6	Alternative 3C: Existing WTP Vitrification with Thermal Supplemental Treatment (Steam Reforming); Landfill Closure.....	4-99
4.1.8.7	Alternative 4: Existing WTP Vitrification with Supplemental Treatment Technologies; Selective Clean Closure/Landfill Closure	4-100
4.1.8.8	Alternative 5: Expanded WTP Vitrification with Supplemental Treatment Technologies; Landfill Closure	4-100
4.1.8.9	Alternative 6A: All Vitrification/No Separations; Clean Closure ..	4-101
4.1.8.10	Alternative 6B: All Vitrification with Separations; Clean Closure	4-103
4.1.8.11	Alternative 6C: All Vitrification with Separations; Landfill Closure	4-104
4.1.9	Socioeconomics	4-105

Table of Contents

4.1.9.1	Alternative 1: No Action	4-107
4.1.9.2	Alternative 2A: Existing WTP Vitrification; No Closure	4-108
4.1.9.3	Alternative 2B: Expanded WTP Vitrification; Landfill Closure ..	4-110
4.1.9.4	Alternative 3A: Existing WTP Vitrification with Thermal Supplemental Treatment (Bulk Vitrification); Landfill Closure ..	4-112
4.1.9.5	Alternative 3B: Existing WTP Vitrification with Nonthermal Supplemental Treatment (Cast Stone); Landfill Closure.....	4-115
4.1.9.6	Alternative 3C: Existing WTP Vitrification with Thermal Supplemental Treatment (Steam Reforming); Landfill Closure...	4-116
4.1.9.7	Alternative 4: Existing WTP Vitrification with Supplemental Treatment Technologies; Selective Clean Closure/Landfill Closure	4-117
4.1.9.8	Alternative 5: Expanded WTP Vitrification with Supplemental Treatment Technologies; Landfill Closure	4-119
4.1.9.9	Alternative 6A: All Vitrification/No Separations; Clean Closure	4-121
4.1.9.10	Alternative 6B: All Vitrification with Separations; Clean Closure	4-124
4.1.9.11	Alternative 6C: All Vitrification with Separations; Landfill Closure	4-127
4.1.10	Public and Occupational Health and Safety—Normal Operations	4-129
4.1.10.1	Alternative 1: No Action	4-131
4.1.10.2	Alternative 2A: Existing WTP Vitrification; No Closure	4-133
4.1.10.3	Alternative 2B: Expanded WTP Vitrification; Landfill Closure ..	4-135
4.1.10.4	Alternative 3A: Existing WTP Vitrification with Thermal Supplemental Treatment (Bulk Vitrification); Landfill Closure ..	4-136
4.1.10.5	Alternative 3B: Existing WTP Vitrification with Nonthermal Supplemental Treatment (Cast Stone); Landfill Closure.....	4-138
4.1.10.6	Alternative 3C: Existing WTP Vitrification with Thermal Supplemental Treatment (Steam Reforming); Landfill Closure...	4-140
4.1.10.7	Alternative 4: Existing WTP Vitrification with Supplemental Treatment Technologies; Selective Clean Closure/Landfill Closure	4-142
4.1.10.8	Alternative 5: Expanded WTP Vitrification with Supplemental Treatment Technologies; Landfill Closure	4-143
4.1.10.9	Alternative 6A: All Vitrification/No Separations; Clean Closure	4-145
4.1.10.10	Alternative 6B: All Vitrification with Separations; Clean Closure	4-149
4.1.10.11	Alternative 6C: All Vitrification with Separations; Landfill Closure.....	4-153
4.1.10.12	Worker Chemical Risks.....	4-155
4.1.11	Public and Occupational Health and Safety—Facility Accidents.....	4-156
4.1.11.1	Alternative 1: No Action	4-156
4.1.11.2	Alternative 2A: Existing WTP Vitrification; No Closure	4-157
4.1.11.3	Alternative 2B: Expanded WTP Vitrification; Landfill Closure ..	4-161
4.1.11.4	Alternative 3A: Existing WTP Vitrification with Thermal Supplemental Treatment (Bulk Vitrification); Landfill Closure ..	4-163
4.1.11.5	Alternative 3B: Existing WTP Vitrification with Nonthermal Supplemental Treatment (Cast Stone); Landfill Closure.....	4-165
4.1.11.6	Alternative 3C: Existing WTP Vitrification with Thermal Supplemental Treatment (Steam Reforming); Landfill Closure...	4-168

4.1.11.7	Alternative 4: Existing WTP Vitrification with Supplemental Treatment Technologies; Selective Clean Closure/ Landfill Closure	4-171
4.1.11.8	Alternative 5: Expanded WTP Vitrification with Supplemental Treatment Technologies; Landfill Closure	4-173
4.1.11.9	Alternative 6A: All Vitrification/No Separations; Clean Closure	4-176
4.1.11.10	Alternative 6B: All Vitrification with Separations; Clean Closure	4-178
4.1.11.11	Alternative 6C: All Vitrification with Separations; Landfill Closure	4-180
4.1.11.12	Intentional Destructive Acts	4-182
4.1.12	Public and Occupational Health and Safety—Transportation	4-183
4.1.12.1	Alternative 1: No Action	4-187
4.1.12.2	Alternative 2A: Existing WTP Vitrification; No Closure	4-187
4.1.12.3	Alternative 2B: Expanded WTP Vitrification; Landfill Closure ..	4-188
4.1.12.4	Alternative 3A: Existing WTP Vitrification with Thermal Supplemental Treatment (Bulk Vitrification); Landfill Closure ..	4-189
4.1.12.5	Alternative 3B: Existing WTP Vitrification with Nonthermal Supplemental Treatment (Cast Stone); Landfill Closure.....	4-190
4.1.12.6	Alternative 3C: Existing WTP Vitrification with Thermal Supplemental Treatment (Steam Reforming); Landfill Closure...	4-191
4.1.12.7	Alternative 4: Existing WTP Vitrification with Supplemental Treatment Technologies; Selective Clean Closure/ Landfill Closure	4-191
4.1.12.8	Alternative 5: Expanded WTP Vitrification with Supplemental Treatment Technologies; Landfill Closure	4-192
4.1.12.9	Alternative 6A: All Vitrification/No Separations; Clean Closure	4-193
4.1.12.10	Alternative 6B: All Vitrification with Separations; Clean Closure	4-194
4.1.12.11	Alternative 6C: All Vitrification with Separations; Landfill Closure	4-195
4.1.13	Environmental Justice	4-196
4.1.13.1	Alternative 1: No Action	4-196
4.1.13.2	Alternative 2A: Existing WTP Vitrification; No Closure	4-197
4.1.13.3	Alternative 2B: Expanded WTP Vitrification; Landfill Closure ..	4-198
4.1.13.4	Alternative 3A: Existing WTP Vitrification with Thermal Supplemental Treatment (Bulk Vitrification); Landfill Closure ..	4-199
4.1.13.5	Alternative 3B: Existing WTP Vitrification with Nonthermal Supplemental Treatment (Cast Stone); Landfill Closure.....	4-201
4.1.13.6	Alternative 3C: Existing WTP Vitrification with Thermal Supplemental Treatment (Steam Reforming); Landfill Closure...	4-202
4.1.13.7	Alternative 4: Existing WTP Vitrification with Supplemental Treatment Technologies; Selective Clean Closure/Landfill Closure	4-203
4.1.13.8	Alternative 5: Expanded WTP Vitrification with Supplemental Treatment Technologies; Landfill Closure	4-204
4.1.13.9	Alternative 6A: All Vitrification/No Separations; Clean Closure	4-206
4.1.13.10	Alternative 6B: All Vitrification with Separations; Clean Closure	4-208

Table of Contents

		4.1.13.11 Alternative 6C: All Vitrification with Separations; Landfill Closure.....	4-211
4.1.14	Waste Management.....		4-212
	4.1.14.1 Alternative 1: No Action		4-219
	4.1.14.2 Alternative 2A: Existing WTP Vitrification; No Closure		4-221
	4.1.14.3 Alternative 2B: Expanded WTP Vitrification; Landfill Closure ..		4-223
	4.1.14.4 Alternative 3A: Existing WTP Vitrification with Thermal Supplemental Treatment (Bulk Vitrification); Landfill Closure ..		4-226
	4.1.14.5 Alternative 3B: Existing WTP Vitrification with Nonthermal Supplemental Treatment (Cast Stone); Landfill Closure.....		4-229
	4.1.14.6 Alternative 3C: Existing WTP Vitrification with Thermal Supplemental Treatment (Steam Reforming); Landfill Closure...		4-232
	4.1.14.7 Alternative 4: Existing WTP Vitrification with Supplemental Treatment Technologies; Selective Clean Closure/Landfill Closure		4-235
	4.1.14.8 Alternative 5: Expanded WTP Vitrification with Supplemental Treatment Technologies; Landfill Closure.....		4-238
	4.1.14.9 Alternative 6A: All Vitrification/No Separations; Clean Closure		4-241
	4.1.14.10 Alternative 6B: All Vitrification with Separations; Clean Closure		4-245
	4.1.14.11 Alternative 6C: All Vitrification with Separations; Landfill Closure.....		4-249
4.1.15	Industrial Safety.....		4-252
	4.1.15.1 Alternative 1: No Action		4-254
	4.1.15.2 Alternative 2A: Existing WTP Vitrification; No Closure		4-254
	4.1.15.3 Alternative 2B: Expanded WTP Vitrification; Landfill Closure ..		4-255
	4.1.15.4 Alternative 3A: Existing WTP Vitrification with Thermal Supplemental Treatment (Bulk Vitrification); Landfill Closure ..		4-255
	4.1.15.5 Alternative 3B: Existing WTP Vitrification with Nonthermal Supplemental Treatment (Cast Stone); Landfill Closure.....		4-255
	4.1.15.6 Alternative 3C: Existing WTP Vitrification with Thermal Supplemental Treatment (Steam Reforming); Landfill Closure...		4-255
	4.1.15.7 Alternative 4: Existing WTP Vitrification with Supplemental Treatment Technologies; Selective Clean Closure/Landfill Closure		4-255
	4.1.15.8 Alternative 5: Expanded WTP Vitrification with Supplemental Treatment Technologies; Landfill Closure.....		4-255
	4.1.15.9 Alternative 6A: All Vitrification/No Separations; Clean Closure		4-255
	4.1.15.10 Alternative 6B: All Vitrification with Separations; Clean Closure		4-256
	4.1.15.11 Alternative 6C: All Vitrification with Separations; Landfill Closure.....		4-256
4.2	Fast Flux Test Facility Decommissioning Alternatives		4-256
	4.2.1 Land Resources.....		4-257
	4.2.1.1 Alternative 1: No Action		4-257
	4.2.1.2 Alternative 2: Entombment		4-259
	4.2.1.3 Alternative 3: Removal.....		4-261
	4.2.2 Infrastructure.....		4-263
	4.2.2.1 Alternative 1: No Action		4-264
	4.2.2.2 Alternative 2: Entombment		4-265

4.2.2.3	Alternative 3: Removal.....	4-267
4.2.3	Noise and Vibration.....	4-268
4.2.3.1	Alternative 1: No Action	4-268
4.2.3.2	Alternative 2: Entombment	4-269
4.2.3.3	Alternative 3: Removal.....	4-270
4.2.4	Air Quality.....	4-270
4.2.4.1	Alternative 1: No Action	4-274
4.2.4.2	Alternative 2: Entombment	4-274
4.2.4.3	Alternative 3: Removal.....	4-277
4.2.5	Geology and Soils.....	4-279
4.2.5.1	Alternative 1: No Action	4-279
4.2.5.2	Alternative 2: Entombment	4-281
4.2.5.3	Alternative 3: Removal.....	4-284
4.2.6	Water Resources	4-285
4.2.6.1	Alternative 1: No Action	4-285
4.2.6.2	Alternative 2: Entombment	4-286
4.2.6.3	Alternative 3: Removal.....	4-290
4.2.7	Ecological Resources.....	4-291
4.2.7.1	Alternative 1: No Action	4-291
4.2.7.2	Alternative 2: Entombment	4-291
4.2.7.3	Alternative 3: Removal.....	4-294
4.2.8	Cultural and Paleontological Resources	4-296
4.2.8.1	Alternative 1: No Action	4-296
4.2.8.2	Alternative 2: Entombment	4-297
4.2.8.3	Alternative 3: Removal.....	4-301
4.2.9	Socioeconomics	4-302
4.2.9.1	Alternative 1: No Action	4-303
4.2.9.2	Alternative 2: Entombment	4-303
4.2.9.3	Alternative 3: Removal.....	4-305
4.2.10	Public and Occupational Health and Safety—Normal Operations	4-308
4.2.10.1	Alternative 1: No Action	4-308
4.2.10.2	Alternative 2: Entombment	4-309
4.2.10.3	Alternative 3: Removal.....	4-313
4.2.11	Public and Occupational Health and Safety—Facility Accidents.....	4-315
4.2.11.1	Alternative 1: No Action	4-316
4.2.11.2	Alternative 2: Entombment	4-318
4.2.11.3	Alternative 3: Removal.....	4-324
4.2.11.4	Intentional Destructive Acts	4-325
4.2.12	Public and Occupational Health and Safety—Transportation	4-325
4.2.12.1	Alternative 1: No Action	4-328
4.2.12.2	Alternative 2: Entombment	4-328
4.2.12.3	Alternative 3: Removal.....	4-332
4.2.13	Environmental Justice.....	4-334
4.2.13.1	Alternative 1: No Action	4-334
4.2.13.2	Alternative 2: Entombment	4-336
4.2.13.3	Alternative 3: Removal.....	4-341
4.2.14	Waste Management.....	4-343
4.2.14.1	Alternative 1: No Action	4-344
4.2.14.2	Alternative 2: Entombment	4-344
4.2.14.3	Alternative 3: Removal.....	4-347
4.2.15	Industrial Safety	4-348

Table of Contents

	4.2.15.1	Alternative 1: No Action	4-350
	4.2.15.2	Alternative 2: Entombment	4-350
	4.2.15.3	Alternative 3: Removal.....	4-351
4.3		Waste Management Alternatives	4-351
	4.3.1	Land Resources.....	4-352
	4.3.1.1	Alternative 1: No Action	4-352
	4.3.1.2	Alternative 2: Disposal in IDF, 200-East Area Only.....	4-353
	4.3.1.3	Alternative 3: Disposal in IDF, 200-East and 200-West Areas	4-355
	4.3.2	Infrastructure.....	4-356
	4.3.2.1	Alternative 1: No Action	4-357
	4.3.2.2	Alternative 2: Disposal in IDF, 200-East Area Only.....	4-359
	4.3.2.3	Alternative 3: Disposal in IDF, 200-East and 200-West Areas	4-360
	4.3.3	Noise and Vibration	4-361
	4.3.3.1	Alternative 1: No Action	4-362
	4.3.3.2	Alternative 2: Disposal in IDF, 200-East Area Only.....	4-362
	4.3.3.3	Alternative 3: Disposal in IDF, 200-East and 200-West Areas	4-363
	4.3.4	Air Quality	4-363
	4.3.4.1	Alternative 1: No Action	4-367
	4.3.4.2	Alternative 2: Disposal in IDF, 200-East Area Only.....	4-368
	4.3.4.3	Alternative 3: Disposal in IDF, 200-East and 200-West Areas	4-371
	4.3.5	Geology and Soils.....	4-374
	4.3.5.1	Alternative 1: No Action	4-374
	4.3.5.2	Alternative 2: Disposal in IDF, 200-East Area Only.....	4-376
	4.3.5.3	Alternative 3: Disposal in IDF, 200-East and 200-West Areas	4-378
	4.3.6	Water Resources	4-380
	4.3.6.1	Alternative 1: No Action	4-380
	4.3.6.2	Alternative 2: Disposal in IDF, 200-East Area Only.....	4-380
	4.3.6.3	Alternative 3: Disposal in IDF, 200-East and 200-West Areas	4-383
	4.3.7	Ecological Resources.....	4-384
	4.3.7.1	Alternative 1: No Action	4-384
	4.3.7.2	Alternative 2: Disposal in IDF, 200-East Area Only.....	4-384
	4.3.7.3	Alternative 3: Disposal in IDF, 200-East and 200-West Areas	4-386
	4.3.8	Cultural and Paleontological Resources	4-388
	4.3.8.1	Alternative 1: No Action	4-388
	4.3.8.2	Alternative 2: Disposal in IDF, 200-East Area Only.....	4-389
	4.3.8.3	Alternative 3: Disposal in IDF, 200-East and 200-West Areas	4-390
	4.3.9	Socioeconomics	4-391
	4.3.9.1	Alternative 1: No Action	4-392
	4.3.9.2	Alternative 2: Disposal in IDF, 200-East Area Only.....	4-392
	4.3.9.3	Alternative 3: Disposal in IDF, 200-East and 200-West Areas	4-394
	4.3.10	Public and Occupational Health and Safety—Normal Operations	4-395
	4.3.10.1	Alternative 1: No Action	4-396
	4.3.10.2	Alternative 2: Disposal in IDF, 200-East Area Only.....	4-397
	4.3.10.3	Alternative 3: Disposal in IDF, 200-East and 200-West Areas	4-401
	4.3.11	Public and Occupational Health and Safety—Facility Accidents.....	4-402
	4.3.11.1	Alternative 1: No Action	4-402
	4.3.11.2	Alternative 2: Disposal in IDF, 200-East Area Only.....	4-405
	4.3.11.3	Alternative 3: Disposal in IDF, 200-East and 200-West Areas	4-408
	4.3.11.4	Intentional Destructive Acts	4-408
	4.3.12	Public and Occupational Health and Safety—Transportation	4-408
	4.3.12.1	Alternative 1: No Action	4-411

	4.3.12.2 Alternative 2: Disposal in IDF, 200-East Area Only.....	4-412
	4.3.12.3 Alternative 3: Disposal in IDF, 200-East and 200-West Areas....	4-414
4.3.13	Environmental Justice.....	4-415
	4.3.13.1 Alternative 1: No Action.....	4-415
	4.3.13.2 Alternative 2: Disposal in IDF, 200-East Area Only.....	4-416
	4.3.13.3 Alternative 3: Disposal in IDF, 200-East and 200-West Areas....	4-418
4.3.14	Waste Management.....	4-419
	4.3.14.1 Alternative 1: No Action.....	4-420
	4.3.14.2 Alternative 2: Disposal in IDF, 200-East Area Only.....	4-422
	4.3.14.3 Alternative 3: Disposal in IDF, 200-East and 200-West Areas....	4-422
4.3.15	Industrial Safety.....	4-423
	4.3.15.1 Alternative 1: No Action.....	4-423
	4.3.15.2 Alternative 2: Disposal in IDF, 200-East Area Only.....	4-423
	4.3.15.3 Alternative 3: Disposal in IDF, 200-East and 200-West Areas....	4-425
4.4	Combination of Alternatives.....	4-426
4.4.1	Land Resources.....	4-427
	4.4.1.1 Land Use.....	4-427
	4.4.1.2 Visual Resources.....	4-428
4.4.2	Infrastructure.....	4-429
4.4.3	Air Quality.....	4-431
4.4.4	Geology and Soils.....	4-432
4.4.5	Water Resources.....	4-434
4.4.6	Ecological Resources.....	4-434
	4.4.6.1 Terrestrial Resources.....	4-434
	4.4.6.2 Wetlands and Aquatic Resources.....	4-435
	4.4.6.3 Threatened and Endangered Species.....	4-436
4.4.7	Cultural and Paleontological Resources.....	4-436
	4.4.7.1 Prehistoric Resources.....	4-436
	4.4.7.2 Historic Resources.....	4-437
	4.4.7.3 American Indian Interests.....	4-437
4.4.8	Paleontological Resources.....	4-437
4.4.9	Socioeconomics.....	4-437
4.4.10	Public and Occupational Health and Safety—Normal Operations.....	4-439
4.4.11	Public and Occupational Health and Safety—Transportation.....	4-442
4.4.12	Environmental Justice.....	4-443
4.4.13	Waste Management.....	4-444
4.4.14	Industrial Safety.....	4-447
4.5	References.....	4-448
Chapter 5 Long-Term Environmental Consequences.....		5-1
5.1	Tank Closure Alternatives.....	5-2
5.1.1	Groundwater.....	5-3
	5.1.1.1 Tank Closure Alternative 1: No Action.....	5-3
	5.1.1.2 Tank Closure Alternative 2A: Existing WTP Vitrification; No Closure.....	5-35
	5.1.1.3 Tank Closure Alternative 2B: Expanded WTP Vitrification; Landfill Closure.....	5-68
	5.1.1.4 Tank Closure Alternative 3A: Existing WTP Vitrification with Thermal Supplemental Treatment (Bulk Vitrification); Landfill Closure.....	5-102

Table of Contents

5.1.1.5	Tank Closure Alternative 3B: Existing WTP Vitrification with Nonthermal Supplemental Treatment (Cast Stone); Landfill Closure.....	5-103
5.1.1.6	Tank Closure Alternative 3C: Existing WTP Vitrification with Thermal Supplemental Treatment (Steam Reforming); Landfill Closure.....	5-104
5.1.1.7	Tank Closure Alternative 4: Existing WTP Vitrification with Supplemental Treatment Technologies; Selective Clean Closure/Landfill Closure	5-105
5.1.1.8	Tank Closure Alternative 5: Expanded WTP Vitrification with Supplemental Treatment Technologies; Landfill Closure	5-139
5.1.1.9	Tank Closure Alternative 6A: All Vitrification/No Separations; Clean Closure, Base and Option Cases.....	5-172
5.1.1.10	Tank Closure Alternative 6B: All Vitrification with Separations; Clean Closure, Base and Option Cases.....	5-238
5.1.1.11	Tank Closure Alternative 6C: All Vitrification with Separations; Landfill Closure	5-302
5.1.2	Human Health Impacts	5-303
5.1.2.1	Tank Closure Alternative 1: No Action.....	5-304
5.1.2.2	Tank Closure Alternative 2A: Existing WTP Vitrification; No Closure.....	5-310
5.1.2.3	Alternative 2B: Expanded WTP Vitrification; Landfill Closure ..	5-317
5.1.2.4	Tank Closure Alternative 3A: Existing WTP Vitrification with Thermal Supplemental Treatment (Bulk Vitrification); Landfill Closure.....	5-323
5.1.2.5	Tank Closure Alternative 3B: Existing WTP Vitrification with Nonthermal Supplemental Treatment (Cast Stone); Landfill Closure.....	5-323
5.1.2.6	Tank Closure Alternative 3C: Existing WTP Vitrification with Thermal Supplemental Treatment (Steam Reforming); Landfill Closure.....	5-323
5.1.2.7	Tank Closure Alternative 4: Existing WTP Vitrification with Supplemental Treatment Technologies; Selective Clean Closure/Landfill Closure	5-323
5.1.2.8	Tank Closure Alternative 5: Expanded WTP Vitrification with Supplemental Treatment Technologies; Landfill Closure	5-330
5.1.2.9	Tank Closure Alternative 6A: All Vitrification/No Separations; Clean Closure	5-336
5.1.2.10	Tank Closure Alternative 6B: All Vitrification with Separations; Clean Closure.....	5-349
5.1.2.11	Tank Closure Alternative 6C: All Vitrification with Separations; Landfill Closure	5-362
5.1.3	Ecological Risk	5-362
5.1.3.1	Tank Closure Alternative 1: No Action.....	5-362
5.1.3.2	Tank Closure Alternative 2A: Existing WTP Vitrification; No Closure.....	5-365
5.1.3.3	Tank Closure Alternative 2B: Expanded WTP Vitrification; Landfill Closure.....	5-365
5.1.3.4	Tank Closure Alternative 3A: Existing WTP Vitrification with Thermal Supplemental Treatment (Bulk Vitrification); Landfill Closure.....	5-365

	5.1.3.5	Tank Closure Alternative 3B: Existing WTP Vitrification with Nonthermal Supplemental Treatment (Cast Stone); Landfill Closure	5-366
	5.1.3.6	Tank Closure Alternative 3C: Existing WTP Vitrification with Thermal Supplemental Treatment (Steam Reforming); Landfill Closure	5-366
	5.1.3.7	Tank Closure Alternative 4: Existing WTP Vitrification with Supplemental Treatment Technologies; Selective Clean Closure/Landfill Closure	5-367
	5.1.3.8	Tank Closure Alternative 5: Expanded WTP Vitrification with Supplemental Treatment Technologies; Landfill Closure	5-367
	5.1.3.9	Tank Closure Alternative 6A: All Vitrification/No Separations; Clean Closure	5-368
	5.1.3.10	Tank Closure Alternative 6B: All Vitrification with Separations; Clean Closure	5-369
	5.1.3.11	Tank Closure Alternative 6C: All Vitrification with Separations; Landfill Closure	5-370
	5.1.4	Environmental Justice	5-370
5.2	FFTF Decommissioning Alternatives		5-371
	5.2.1	Groundwater	5-372
	5.2.1.1	FFTF Decommissioning Alternative 1: No Action	5-372
	5.2.1.2	FFTF Decommissioning Alternative 2: Entombment	5-379
	5.2.1.3	FFTF Decommissioning Alternative 3: Removal	5-384
	5.2.2	Human Health Impacts	5-384
	5.2.2.1	FFTF Decommissioning Alternative 1: No Action	5-385
	5.2.2.2	FFTF Decommissioning Alternative 2: Entombment	5-388
	5.2.2.3	FFTF Decommissioning Alternative 3: Removal	5-390
	5.2.3	Ecological Risk	5-390
	5.2.3.1	FFTF Decommissioning Alternative 1: No Action	5-391
	5.2.3.2	FFTF Decommissioning Alternative 2: Entombment	5-393
	5.2.3.3	FFTF Decommissioning Alternative 3: Removal	5-393
	5.2.4	Environmental Justice	5-395
5.3	Waste Management Alternatives		5-396
	5.3.1	Groundwater	5-401
	5.3.1.1	Waste Management Alternative 1: No Action	5-401
	5.3.1.2	Waste Management Alternative 2: Disposal in IDF, 200-East Area Only	5-419
	5.3.1.3	Waste Management Alternative 3: Disposal in IDF, 200-East and 200-West Areas	5-719
	5.3.2	Human Health Impacts	5-1078
	5.3.2.1	Waste Management Alternative 1: No Action	5-1079
	5.3.2.2	Waste Management Alternative 2: Disposal in IDF, 200-East Area Only	5-1081
	5.3.2.3	Waste Management Alternative 3: Disposal in IDF, 200-East and 200-West Areas	5-1116
	5.3.3	Ecological Risk	5-1162
	5.3.3.1	Waste Management Alternative 1: No Action	5-1162
	5.3.3.2	Waste Management Alternative 2: Disposal in IDF, 200-East Area Only	5-1163
	5.3.3.3	Waste Management Alternative 3: Disposal in IDF, 200-East and 200-West Areas	5-1165

Table of Contents

5.3.4	Environmental Justice.....	5-1167
5.4	Combination of Alternatives	5-1168
5.4.1	Groundwater	5-1169
5.4.1.1	Alternative Combination 1	5-1169
5.4.1.2	Alternative Combination 2	5-1197
5.4.1.3	Alternative Combination 3	5-1227
5.4.2	Human Health Impacts	5-1259
5.4.2.1	Alternative Combination 1	5-1260
5.4.2.2	Alternative Combination 2	5-1263
5.4.2.3	Alternative Combination 3	5-1266
5.4.3	Ecological Resources.....	5-1269
5.4.4	Environmental Justice.....	5-1272
5.5	References.....	5-1272
Chapter 6 Cumulative Impacts.....		6-1
6.1	Methodology	6-1
6.2	Potential Cumulative Actions.....	6-4
6.3	Short-Term Cumulative Impacts.....	6-5
6.3.1	Land Resources.....	6-5
6.3.1.1	Land Use.....	6-6
6.3.1.2	Visual Resources	6-8
6.3.2	Infrastructure.....	6-9
6.3.3	Noise and Vibration	6-12
6.3.4	Air Quality	6-13
6.3.5	Geology and Soils.....	6-16
6.3.6	Water Resources	6-18
6.3.7	Ecological Resources.....	6-19
6.3.7.1	Terrestrial Resources	6-20
6.3.7.2	Threatened and Endangered Species	6-21
6.3.8	Cultural and Paleontological Resources	6-22
6.3.8.1	Prehistoric Resources	6-22
6.3.8.2	Historic Resources.....	6-23
6.3.8.3	American Indian Interests.....	6-23
6.3.8.4	Paleontological Resources.....	6-24
6.3.9	Socioeconomics	6-24
6.3.10	Public and Occupational Health and Safety – Normal Operations	6-26
6.3.10.1	Cumulative Radiological Impacts.....	6-26
6.3.11	Public and Occupational Health and Safety – Transportation	6-31
6.3.11.1	Historical Shipments to Hanford.....	6-32
6.3.11.2	General Radioactive Materials Transport.....	6-33
6.3.11.3	Reasonably Foreseeable Actions	6-33
6.3.11.4	Conclusions	6-33
6.3.12	Waste Management.....	6-34
6.3.12.1	TC & WM EIS Alternative Combinations	6-36
6.3.12.2	Other DOE Actions at Hanford	6-36
6.3.12.3	Other Possible Future DOE Actions at Hanford.....	6-39
6.3.12.4	Summary.....	6-39
6.3.13	Industrial Safety	6-40
6.4	Long-Term Cumulative Impacts	6-42
6.4.1	Groundwater Quality	6-42
6.4.1.1	Alternative Combination 1	6-42

	6.4.1.2 Alternative Combination 2	6-77
	6.4.1.3 Alternative Combination 3	6-116
6.4.2	Human Health Impacts	6-155
	6.4.2.1 Other Past, Present, and Reasonably Foreseeable Future Actions	6-156
	6.4.2.2 Alternative Combination 1	6-158
	6.4.2.3 Alternative Combination 2	6-160
	6.4.2.4 Alternative Combination 3	6-162
6.4.3	Ecological Risk	6-164
	6.4.3.1 Air	6-165
	6.4.3.2 Groundwater	6-167
6.4.4	Environmental Justice	6-170
6.5	Regional and Global Cumulative Impacts	6-171
	6.5.1 Ozone Depletion	6-171
	6.5.2 Global Climate Change	6-171
6.6	References	6-174
Chapter 7 Environmental Consequences Discussion		7-1
7.1	Mitigation	7-1
	7.1.1 Land Resources	7-7
	7.1.2 Infrastructure	7-9
	7.1.3 Noise and Vibration	7-9
	7.1.4 Air Quality	7-10
	7.1.5 Geology and Soils	7-11
	7.1.6 Water Resources	7-13
	7.1.7 Ecological Resources	7-16
	7.1.8 Cultural and Paleontological Resources	7-18
	7.1.9 Socioeconomics	7-18
	7.1.10 Public and Occupational Health and Safety	7-20
	7.1.11 Waste Management	7-21
	7.1.12 Alternative Combinations	7-22
7.2	Unavoidable Adverse Environmental Impacts	7-22
	7.2.1 Land Resources	7-23
	7.2.2 Infrastructure	7-24
	7.2.3 Noise and Vibration	7-24
	7.2.4 Air Quality	7-24
	7.2.5 Geology and Soils	7-25
	7.2.6 Water Resources	7-25
	7.2.7 Ecological Resources	7-26
	7.2.8 Cultural and Paleontological Resources	7-26
	7.2.9 Socioeconomics	7-27
	7.2.10 Public and Occupational Health and Safety	7-27
	7.2.11 Waste Management	7-28
	7.2.12 Alternative Combinations	7-28
7.3	Irreversible and Irretrievable Commitment of Resources	7-34
	7.3.1 Tank Closure Alternatives	7-34
	7.3.1.1 Land Resources	7-34
	7.3.1.2 Material Resources	7-36
	7.3.1.3 Utility Resources	7-40
	7.3.1.4 Labor Resources	7-41
	7.3.2 FFTF Decommissioning Alternatives	7-41

Table of Contents

7.3.2.1	Land Resources.....	7-42
7.3.2.2	Material Resources	7-42
7.3.2.3	Utility Resources	7-45
7.3.2.4	Labor Resources	7-45
7.3.3	Waste Management Alternatives	7-46
7.3.3.1	Land Resources.....	7-46
7.3.3.2	Material Resources	7-47
7.3.3.3	Utility Resources	7-50
7.3.3.4	Labor Resources	7-50
7.3.4	Alternative Combinations	7-51
7.3.4.1	Land Resources.....	7-51
7.3.4.2	Material Resources	7-52
7.3.4.3	Utility Resources	7-53
7.3.4.4	Labor Resources	7-53
7.4	Relationship Between Short-Term Use of the Environment and Long-Term Productivity.....	7-54
7.4.1	Tank Closure Alternatives	7-54
7.4.2	FFTF Decommissioning Alternatives.....	7-57
7.4.3	Waste Management Alternatives	7-60
7.4.4	Alternative Combinations	7-63
7.5	References	7-66
Chapter 8 Potentially Applicable Laws, Regulations, and Other Requirements.....		8-1
8.1	Environmental, Safety, and Health Laws, Regulations, Orders, and Other Requirements	8-1
8.1.1	Environmental Quality.....	8-5
8.1.2	Air Quality and Noise	8-7
8.1.3	Water Resources	8-9
8.1.4	Hazardous Waste and Materials Management.....	8-11
8.1.5	Radioactive Waste and Materials Management.....	8-16
8.1.6	Ecological Resources.....	8-19
8.1.7	Cultural and Paleontological Resources	8-20
8.1.8	Worker Safety and Health.....	8-23
8.1.9	Radiological Safety Oversight and Radiation Protection	8-24
8.1.10	Transportation.....	8-25
8.1.11	Emergency Planning, Pollution Prevention, and Conservation	8-26
8.1.12	Environmental Justice and Protection of Children	8-27
8.2	Permits.....	8-27
8.3	Consultations.....	8-30
8.4	References	8-32
Chapter 9 Glossary		9-1
Chapter 10 List of Preparers		10-1
Chapter 11 Distribution List.....		11-1
Chapter 12 Index.....		12-1

List of Figures

Figure 1–1.	National Environmental Policy Act Process.....	1–15
Figure 2–1.	The Hanford Site’s Waste Tanks Under Construction.....	2–5
Figure 2–2.	Hanford Site High-Level Radioactive Waste Tank Farm System and Remediation Approaches.....	2–6
Figure 2–3.	200-West Area Tank Farm Location Map.....	2–7
Figure 2–4.	200-East Area Tank Farm Location Map.....	2–8
Figure 2–5.	Cross-Sectional Views of Representative Hanford Site Single-Shell Tanks.....	2–10
Figure 2–6.	Cross-Sectional View of Representative Hanford Site Double-Shell Tank.....	2–11
Figure 2–7.	200-West Area Proposed New Tank Closure Facility Locations.....	2–13
Figure 2–8.	200-East Area Proposed New Tank Closure Facility Locations.....	2–14
Figure 2–9.	Crystallized Salt Cake Inside One of Hanford Site’s Waste Tanks.....	2–15
Figure 2–10.	Representative Single-Shell Tank and In-Tank Equipment.....	2–19
Figure 2–11.	Representative Double-Shell Tank and In-Tank Equipment.....	2–20
Figure 2–12.	Cross-Sectional View of Representative Waste Receiver Facility.....	2–21
Figure 2–13.	Waste Treatment Plant Facilities.....	2–24
Figure 2–14.	Aerial View of Waste Treatment Plant Construction Site, February 2008.....	2–24
Figure 2–15.	Preprocessing Waste Streams Associated with Tank Farm Clean Closure.....	2–36
Figure 2–16.	400 Area Fast Flux Test Facility Complex Location Map.....	2–39
Figure 2–17.	Fast Flux Test Facility Complex.....	2–40
Figure 2–18.	Location of the Hanford Site Sodium Reaction Facility and Sodium Storage Facility.....	2–47
Figure 2–19.	Sodium Processing Facility at Idaho National Laboratory.....	2–48
Figure 2–20.	200-West Area Waste Management Facility Locations.....	2–50
Figure 2–21.	200-East Area Waste Management Facility Locations.....	2–51
Figure 2–22.	Lined Disposal Trench in Low-Level Radioactive Waste Burial Ground 218-W-5.....	2–52
Figure 2–23.	Aerial View of the Central Waste Complex.....	2–52
Figure 2–24.	Aerial View of the T Plant Complex.....	2–53
Figure 2–25.	Waste Receiving and Processing Facility.....	2–54
Figure 2–26.	200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility.....	2–55
Figure 2–27.	Tank Closure Alternative 1 Overview.....	2–64
Figure 2–28.	Tank Closure Alternative 1 Proposed Schedule.....	2–65
Figure 2–29.	Tank Closure Alternative 1 Primary Components.....	2–66
Figure 2–30.	Tank Closure Alternative 2A Overview.....	2–67
Figure 2–31.	Tank Closure Alternative 2A Proposed Schedule.....	2–67
Figure 2–32.	Tank Closure Alternative 2A Primary Components.....	2–68
Figure 2–33.	Tank Closure Alternative 2B Overview.....	2–70
Figure 2–34.	Tank Closure Alternative 2B Proposed Schedule.....	2–71
Figure 2–35.	Tank Closure Alternative 2B Primary Components.....	2–72
Figure 2–36.	Tank Closure Alternative 3A Overview.....	2–74
Figure 2–37.	Tank Closure Alternative 3A Proposed Schedule.....	2–75
Figure 2–38.	Tank Closure Alternative 3A Primary Components.....	2–76
Figure 2–39.	Tank Closure Alternative 3B Overview.....	2–78
Figure 2–40.	Tank Closure Alternative 3B Proposed Schedule.....	2–79
Figure 2–41.	Tank Closure Alternative 3B Primary Components.....	2–80
Figure 2–42.	Tank Closure Alternative 3C Overview.....	2–82
Figure 2–43.	Tank Closure Alternative 3C Proposed Schedule.....	2–83

List of Figures

Figure 2-44.	Tank Closure Alternative 3C Primary Components	2-84
Figure 2-45.	Tank Closure Alternative 4 Overview	2-86
Figure 2-46.	Tank Closure Alternative 4 Proposed Schedule	2-87
Figure 2-47.	Tank Closure Alternative 4 Primary Components	2-88
Figure 2-48.	Tank Closure Alternative 5 Overview	2-90
Figure 2-49.	Tank Closure Alternative 5 Proposed Schedule	2-91
Figure 2-50.	Tank Closure Alternative 5 Primary Components	2-92
Figure 2-51.	Tank Closure Alternative 6A Overview	2-94
Figure 2-52.	Tank Closure Alternative 6A Proposed Schedule.....	2-95
Figure 2-53.	Tank Closure Alternative 6A Primary Components	2-96
Figure 2-54.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B Overview	2-98
Figure 2-55.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B Proposed Schedule.....	2-99
Figure 2-56.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B Primary Components	2-100
Figure 2-57.	Tank Closure Alternative 6C Overview	2-102
Figure 2-58.	Tank Closure Alternative 6C Proposed Schedule.....	2-103
Figure 2-59.	Tank Closure Alternative 6C Primary Components	2-104
Figure 2-60.	FFTF Decommissioning Alternative 1 Overview.....	2-106
Figure 2-61.	FFTF Decommissioning Alternative 1 Proposed Schedule.....	2-106
Figure 2-62.	FFTF Decommissioning Alternative 1 Primary Components	2-107
Figure 2-63.	FFTF Decommissioning Alternative 2 Overview.....	2-108
Figure 2-64.	FFTF Decommissioning Alternative 2 Proposed Schedule.....	2-109
Figure 2-65.	FFTF Decommissioning Alternative 2 Primary Components	2-110
Figure 2-66.	FFTF Decommissioning Alternative 3 Overview.....	2-111
Figure 2-67.	FFTF Decommissioning Alternative 3 Proposed Schedule.....	2-112
Figure 2-68.	FFTF Decommissioning Alternative 3 Primary Components	2-113
Figure 2-69.	Waste Management Alternative 1 Overview	2-116
Figure 2-70.	Waste Management Alternative 1 Proposed Schedule	2-116
Figure 2-71.	Waste Management Alternative 1 Primary Components.....	2-117
Figure 2-72.	Waste Management Alternative 2 Overview	2-118
Figure 2-73.	Waste Management Alternative 2 Proposed Schedule	2-119
Figure 2-74.	Waste Management Alternative 2 Primary Components.....	2-119
Figure 2-75.	Waste Management Alternative 3 Overview	2-121
Figure 2-76.	Waste Management Alternative 3 Proposed Schedule	2-122
Figure 2-77.	Waste Management Alternative 3 Primary Components.....	2-123
Figure 2-78.	Core Zone and Barriers Boundaries.....	2-204
Figure 2-79.	Tank Closure Alternatives – Total Iodine-129 Released to the Vadose Zone.....	2-212
Figure 2-80.	Tank Closure Alternatives – Total Technetium-99 Released to the Vadose Zone	2-213
Figure 2-81.	Tank Closure Alternatives – Peak Iodine-129 Concentrations at the Core Zone Boundary	2-213
Figure 2-82.	Tank Closure Alternatives – Peak Technetium-99 Concentrations at the Core Zone Boundary.....	2-214
Figure 2-83.	Tank Closure Alternative 1 – Summary of Long-Term Human Health Impacts on the Drinking-Water Well User at the Core Zone Boundary.....	2-217
Figure 2-84.	Tank Closure Alternative 2A – Summary of Long-Term Human Health Impacts on the Drinking-Water Well User at the Core Zone Boundary.....	2-218
Figure 2-85.	Tank Closure Alternatives 2B, 3A, 3B, 3C, and 6C – Summary of Long-Term Human Health Impacts on the Drinking-Water Well User at the Core Zone Boundary.....	2-219
Figure 2-86.	Tank Closure Alternative 4 – Summary of Long-Term Human Health Impacts on the Drinking-Water Well User at the Core Zone Boundary.....	2-221

Figure 2–87.	Tank Closure Alternative 5 – Summary of Long-Term Human Health Impacts on the Drinking-Water Well User at the Core Zone Boundary	2–222
Figure 2–88.	Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Base Case – Summary of Long-Term Human Health Impacts on the Drinking-Water Well User at the Core Zone Boundary	2–223
Figure 2–89.	Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Option Case – Summary of Long-Term Human Health Impacts on the Drinking-Water Well User at the Core Zone Boundary	2–223
Figure 2–90.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Base Case – Summary of Long-Term Human Health Impacts on the Drinking-Water Well User at the Core Zone Boundary	2–224
Figure 2–91.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Option Case – Summary of Long-Term Human Health Impacts on the Drinking-Water Well User at the Core Zone Boundary	2–225
Figure 2–92.	FFTF Decommissioning Alternatives – Total Technetium-99 Released to the Vadose Zone	2–232
Figure 2–93.	FFTF Decommissioning Alternatives – Peak Technetium-99 Concentrations at the FFTF Barrier	2–232
Figure 2–94.	FFTF Decommissioning Alternative 1 – Summary of Long-Term Human Health Impacts on the Drinking-Water Well User at the FFTF Boundary	2–234
Figure 2–95.	FFTF Decommissioning Alternative 2 – Summary of Long-Term Human Health Impacts on the Drinking-Water Well User at the FFTF Boundary	2–235
Figure 2–96.	Waste Management Alternatives – Total Iodine-129 Released to the Vadose Zone	2–248
Figure 2–97.	Waste Management Alternatives – Total Technetium-99 Released to the Vadose Zone	2–249
Figure 2–98.	Waste Management Alternatives – Peak Iodine-129 Concentrations at the Core Zone Boundary	2–250
Figure 2–99.	Waste Management Alternatives – Peak Technetium-99 Concentrations at the Core Zone Boundary	2–250
Figure 2–100.	Waste Management Alternative 1 Summary of Long-Term Human Health Impacts on the Drinking-Water Well User at the Core Zone Boundary	2–253
Figure 2–101.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-A, Summary of Long-Term Human Health Impacts on the Drinking Water Well User at the Core Zone Boundary	2–255
Figure 2–102.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-B, Summary of Long-Term Human Health Impacts on the Drinking-Water Well User at the Core Zone Boundary	2–257
Figure 2–103.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-C, Summary of Long-Term Human Health Impacts on the Drinking-Water Well User at the Core Zone Boundary	2–258
Figure 2–104.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-D, Summary of Long-Term Human Health Impacts on the Drinking-Water Well User at the Core Zone Boundary	2–259
Figure 2–105.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-E, Summary of Long-Term Human Health Impacts on the Drinking-Water Well User at the Core Zone Boundary	2–260
Figure 2–106.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-F, Summary of Long-Term Human Health Impacts on the Drinking-Water Well User at the Core Zone Boundary	2–261

List of Figures

Figure 2–107.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-G, Summary of Long-Term Human Health Impacts on the Drinking-Water Well User at the Core Zone Boundary	2–263
Figure 2–108.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-A, Summary of Long-Term Human Health Impacts on the Drinking-Water Well User at the Core Zone Boundary	2–264
Figure 2–109.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-B, Base Case, Summary of Long-Term Human Health Impacts on the Drinking-Water Well User at the Core Zone Boundary	2–265
Figure 2–110.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-B, Option Case, Summary of Long-Term Human Health Impacts on the Drinking-Water Well User at the Core Zone Boundary	2–266
Figure 2–111.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 3, Base Case, Summary of Long-Term Human Health Impacts on the Drinking-Water Well User at the Core Zone Boundary	2–267
Figure 2–112.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 3, Option Case, Summary of Long-Term Human Health Impacts on the Drinking-Water Well User at the Core Zone Boundary	2–268
Figure 2–113.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-A, Summary of Long-Term Human Health Impacts on the Drinking-Water Well User at the Core Zone Boundary	2–271
Figure 2–114.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-B, Summary of Long-Term Human Health Impacts on the Drinking-Water Well User at the Core Zone Boundary	2–272
Figure 2–115.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-C, Summary of Long-Term Human Health Impacts on the Drinking-Water Well User at the Core Zone Boundary	2–273
Figure 2–116.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-D, Summary of Long-Term Human Health Impacts on the Drinking-Water Well User at the Core Zone Boundary	2–274
Figure 2–117.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-E, Summary of Long-Term Human Health Impacts on the Drinking-Water Well User at the Core Zone Boundary	2–275
Figure 2–118.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-F, Summary of Long-Term Human Health Impacts on the Drinking-Water Well User at the Core Zone Boundary	2–277
Figure 2–119.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-G, Summary of Long-Term Human Health Impacts on the Drinking-Water Well User at the Core Zone Boundary	2–278
Figure 2–120.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-A, Summary of Long-Term Human Health Impacts on the Drinking-Water Well User at the Core Zone Boundary	2–279
Figure 2–121.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-B, Base Case, Summary of Long-Term Human Health Impacts on the Drinking-Water Well User at the Core Zone Boundary	2–280
Figure 2–122.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-B, Option Case, Summary of Long-Term Human Health Impacts on the Drinking-Water Well User at the Core Zone Boundary	2–280
Figure 2–123.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 3, Base Case, Summary of Long-Term Human Health Impacts on the Drinking-Water Well User at the Core Zone Boundary	2–282

Figure 2–124.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 3, Option Case, Summary of Long-Term Human Health Impacts on the Drinking-Water Well User at the Core Zone Boundary	2–282
Figure 2–125.	Lifetime Radiological Risk for the Drinking-Water Well User at the Core Zone Boundary due to Releases from Tank Farm Residuals and Ancillary Equipment and to Retrieval Leaks	2–287
Figure 2–126.	Lifetime Radiological Risk for the Drinking-Water Well User at the 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility Barrier from Tank Closure Treatment Process–Generated Waste Forms	2–289
Figure 2–127.	Lifetime Radiological Risk for the Drinking-Water Well User at the Core Zone Boundary due to Releases from the Six Sets of Cribs and Trenches (Ditches)	2–291
Figure 2–128.	Lifetime Radiological Risk for the Drinking-Water Well User at the Core Zone Boundary due to Past Leaks at Single-Shell Tank Farms	2–291
Figure 2–129.	Lifetime Radiological Risk for the Drinking-Water Well User at the Core Zone Boundary due to Releases from Tank Farm Residuals and Ancillary Equipment and to Retrieval Leaks	2–293
Figure 2–130.	Waste Management Alternative 1 (No Action) Lifetime Radiological Risk for the Drinking-Water Well User at the Core Zone Boundary due to Low-Level Radioactive Waste Burial Ground 218-W-5, Trenches 31 and 34	2–296
Figure 2–131.	Lifetime Radiological Risk for the Drinking-Water Well User at the 200-East and 200-West Area Integrated Disposal Facility Barriers	2–297
Figure 2–132.	Tank Closure Alternative 2B Lifetime Radiological Risk for the Drinking-Water Well User at the 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility Barrier	2–298
Figure 2–133.	Lifetime Radiological Risk for the Drinking-Water Well User at the Core Zone Boundary from River Protection Project Disposal Facility Releases	2–299
Figure 3–1.	Generalized Land Use at the Hanford Site and Vicinity	3–3
Figure 3–2.	Extent of Area Burned During the 24 Command and Wautoma Wildland Fires	3–8
Figure 3–3.	Wind Rose for the Hanford Meteorological Station at the 200 Area, 1997–2006 (9-Meter Elevation)	3–20
Figure 3–4.	Wind Rose for the Hanford Meteorological Station at the 200 Area, 1997–2006 (61-Meter Elevation)	3–20
Figure 3–5.	Wind Rose for the Fast Flux Test Facility Meteorological Station at the 400 Area, 1997–2006 (9-meter elevation)	3–21
Figure 3–6.	Wind Rose for the Fast Flux Test Facility Meteorological Station at the 400 Area, 1997–2006 (61-meter elevation)	3–21
Figure 3–7.	Physiographic Setting and General Structural Geology of the Pasco Basin and Hanford Site	3–29
Figure 3–8.	Surface Geology and Structural Features of the Pasco Basin and Hanford Site	3–30
Figure 3–9.	Stratigraphic Column of the Hanford Site	3–32
Figure 3–10.	Major Surface-Water Features on the Hanford Site	3–40
Figure 3–11.	Floodplains on the Hanford Site	3–45
Figure 3–12.	Hydrogeologic Cross Section Through the 200 Areas	3–49
Figure 3–13.	Water Table Elevations and Inferred Groundwater Flow for the Unconfined Aquifer System	3–50
Figure 3–14.	Distribution of Major Radionuclides in the Unconfined Aquifer System During Fiscal Year 2007	3–54
Figure 3–15.	Distribution of Major Hazardous Chemicals in the Unconfined Aquifer System During Fiscal Year 2007	3–55

List of Figures

Figure 3–16.	Vegetation Communities on the Hanford Site	3–59
Figure 3–17.	Vegetation Communities in and Near the 200-East Are.....	3–64
Figure 3–18.	Vegetation Communities in and Near the 200-West Are	3–65
Figure 3–19.	Distribution of Vegetation Communities in and Near Borrow Area C.....	3–66
Figure 3–20.	Transportation Routes on and Near the Hanford Site.....	3–85
Figure 3–21.	Cumulative Larger-Scale Minority Populations Surrounding the 200 Areas at the Hanford Site in 2000 as a Function of Distance	3–99
Figure 3–22.	Cumulative Smaller-Scale Minority Populations Surrounding the 200 Areas at the Hanford Site in 2000 as a Function of Distance	3–99
Figure 3–23.	Minority and Nonminority Populations Living in Block Groups Surrounding the 200 Areas at the Hanford Site in 2000.....	3–100
Figure 3–24.	Cumulative Larger-Scale Minority Scale Populations Surrounding the 400 Area at the Hanford Site in 2000 as a Function of Distance.....	3–102
Figure 3–25.	Cumulative Smaller-Scale Minority Populations Surrounding the 400 Area at the Hanford Site in 2000 as a Function of Distance	3–102
Figure 3–26.	Minority and Nonminority Populations Living in Counties Surrounding the 400 Area at the Hanford Site in 2000	3–103
Figure 3–27.	Cumulative Low-Income and Non-Low-Income Populations Surrounding the 200 Areas at the Hanford Site in 1999 as a Function of Distance	3–105
Figure 3–28.	Low-Income and Non-Low-Income Populations Living in Block Groups Surrounding the 200 Areas at the Hanford Site in 1999.....	3–106
Figure 3–29.	Cumulative Low-Income and Non-Low-Income Populations Surrounding the 400 Area at the Hanford Site in 1999 as a Function of Distance.....	3–107
Figure 3–30.	Low-Income and Non-Low-Income Populations Living in Block Groups Surrounding the 400 Area at the Hanford Site in 1999.....	3–108
Figure 3–31.	Idaho National Laboratory Vicinity.....	3–120
Figure 3–32.	Land Use at Idaho National Laboratory and Vicinity.....	3–122
Figure 3–33.	Wind Rose for the Materials and Fuels Complex Meteorological Station at Idaho National Laboratory, 1997–2006 (10-meter elevation)	3–128
Figure 3–34.	Wind Rose for the Materials and Fuels Complex Meteorological Station at Idaho National Laboratory, 1997–2006 (75-meter elevation)	3–128
Figure 3–35.	Major Geologic Features of Idaho National Laboratory.....	3–134
Figure 3–36.	Lithologic Logs of Deep Drill Holes at Idaho National Laboratory.....	3–135
Figure 3–37.	Surface-Water Features at Idaho National Laboratory	3–139
Figure 3–38.	Extent of Tritium and Strontium-90 Plumes Within the Snake River Plain Aquifer at Idaho National Laboratory.....	3–145
Figure 3–39.	Vegetation Communities at Idaho National Laboratory	3–148
Figure 3–40.	Cumulative Larger-Scale Minority Populations Surrounding the Materials and Fuels Complex at Idaho National Laboratory in 2000 as a Function of Distance	3–164
Figure 3–41.	Cumulative Smaller-Scale Minority Populations Surrounding the Materials and Fuels Complex at Idaho National Laboratory in 2000 as a Function of Distance	3–164
Figure 3–42.	Minority and Nonminority Populations Living in Block Groups Surrounding the Materials and Fuels Complex at Idaho National Laboratory in 2000.....	3–165
Figure 3–43.	Low-Income and Non-Low-Income Populations Surrounding the Materials and Fuels Complex at Idaho National Laboratory in 1999 as a Function of Distance	3–167
Figure 3–44.	Total and Low-Income Populations Living in Block Groups Surrounding the Materials and Fuels Complex at Idaho National Laboratory in 1999.....	3–168
Figure 4–1.	200-East Area New Facility Locations and Affected Areas	4–4
Figure 4–2.	200-West Area New Facility Locations and Affected Areas.....	4–5
Figure 4–3.	Tank Closure Alternative 1 PM ₁₀ Maximum 24-Hour Concentration.....	4–38

Figure 4-4.	Tank Closure Alternative 2A PM ₁₀ Maximum 24-Hour Concentration	4-39
Figure 4-5.	Tank Closure Alternative 2B PM ₁₀ Maximum 24-Hour Concentration	4-40
Figure 4-6.	Tank Closure Alternative 3A PM ₁₀ Maximum 24-Hour Concentration	4-41
Figure 4-7.	Tank Closure Alternative 3B PM ₁₀ Maximum 24-Hour Concentration	4-42
Figure 4-8.	Tank Closure Alternative 3C PM ₁₀ Maximum 24-Hour Concentration	4-43
Figure 4-9.	Tank Closure Alternative 4 PM ₁₀ Maximum 24-Hour Concentration	4-44
Figure 4-10.	Tank Closure Alternative 5 PM ₁₀ Maximum 24-Hour Concentration	4-45
Figure 4-11.	Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Base Case, PM ₁₀ Maximum 24-Hour Concentration	4-46
Figure 4-12.	Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Option Case, PM ₁₀ Maximum 24-Hour Concentration	4-47
Figure 4-13.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Base Case, PM ₁₀ Maximum 24-Hour Concentration	4-48
Figure 4-14.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Option Case, PM ₁₀ Maximum 24-Hour Concentration	4-49
Figure 4-15.	Tank Closure Alternative 6C PM ₁₀ Maximum 24-Hour Concentration	4-50
Figure 4-16.	Tank Closure Alternatives – Annual Workforce Estimates (2006–2200)	4-106
Figure 4-17.	Tank Closure Alternative 2A Annual Estimated Onsite Full-Time Equivalent Workforce Requirements (2006–2096)	4-109
Figure 4-18.	Tank Closure Alternative 2B Annual Estimated Onsite Full-Time Equivalent Workforce Requirements (2006–2046)	4-111
Figure 4-19.	Tank Closure Alternatives 3A, 3B, and 3C Annual Estimated Onsite Full-Time Equivalent Workforce Requirements (2006–2046)	4-113
Figure 4-20.	Tank Closure Alternative 4 Annual Estimated Onsite Full-Time Equivalent Workforce Requirements (2006–2046)	4-118
Figure 4-21.	Tank Closure Alternative 5 Annual Estimated Onsite Full-Time Equivalent Workforce Requirements (2006–2046)	4-120
Figure 4-22.	Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Base/Option Case, Annual Estimated Onsite Full-Time Equivalent Workforce Requirement (2006–2166)	4-122
Figure 4-23.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Base/Option Case, Annual Estimated Onsite Full-Time Equivalent Workforce Requirements (2006–2101)	4-125
Figure 4-24.	Tank Closure Alternative 6C Annual Estimated Onsite Full-Time Equivalent Workforce Requirements (2006–2046)	4-128
Figure 4-25.	Total Recordable Cases and Labor Hours by Alternative	4-254
Figure 4-26.	400 Area Facility Locations	4-258
Figure 4-27.	FFTF Decommissioning Alternative 2 PM ₁₀ Maximum 24-Hour Concentration at Hanford	4-274
Figure 4-28.	FFTF Decommissioning Alternative 3 PM ₁₀ Maximum 24-Hour Concentration at Hanford	4-278
Figure 4-29.	Total Recordable Cases and Labor Hours by Alternative	4-350
Figure 4-30.	Waste Management Alternative 1 PM ₁₀ Maximum 24-hour Concentration	4-368
Figure 4-31.	Waste Management Alternative 2 (Treatment and Storage) PM ₁₀ Maximum 24-hour Concentration	4-369
Figure 4-32.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, PM ₁₀ Maximum 24-hour Concentration	4-369
Figure 4-33.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 2, PM ₁₀ Maximum 24-hour Concentration	4-370
Figure 4-34.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 3, PM ₁₀ Maximum 24-hour Concentration	4-371
Figure 4-35.	Waste Management Alternative 3 (Treatment and Storage) PM ₁₀ Maximum 24-hour Concentration	4-372

List of Figures

Figure 4–36.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, PM ₁₀ Maximum 24-hour Concentration	4–372
Figure 4–37.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 2, PM ₁₀ Maximum 24-hour Concentration	4–373
Figure 4–38.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 3, PM ₁₀ Maximum 24-hour Concentration	4–373
Figure 4–39.	Total Recordable Cases and Labor Hours by Alternative.....	4–425
Figure 5–1.	Groundwater Modeling Process.....	5–1
Figure 5–2.	Tank Closure Alternative 1 Releases of Radiological Constituent of Potential Concern Drivers to Vadose Zone for Entire 10,000-Year Analysis Period	5–5
Figure 5–3.	Tank Closure Alternative 1 Releases of Chemical Constituent of Potential Concern Drivers to Vadose Zone for Entire 10,000-Year Analysis Period	5–6
Figure 5–4.	Tank Closure Alternative 1 Releases of Radiological Constituent of Potential Concern Drivers to Groundwater for Entire 10,000-Year Analysis Period	5–6
Figure 5–5.	Tank Closure Alternative 1 Releases of Chemical Constituent of Potential Concern Drivers to Groundwater for Entire 10,000-Year Analysis Period	5–7
Figure 5–6.	Tank Closure Alternative 1 Releases of Radiological Constituent of Potential Concern Drivers to Columbia River for Entire 10,000-Year Analysis Period	5–8
Figure 5–7.	Tank Closure Alternative 1 Releases of Chemical Constituent of Potential Concern Drivers to Columbia River for Entire 10,000-Year Analysis Period	5–8
Figure 5–8.	Tank Closure Alternative 1 Hydrogen-3 (Tritium) Concentration Versus Time.....	5–11
Figure 5–9.	Tank Closure Alternative 1 Iodine-129 Concentration Versus Time	5–12
Figure 5–10.	Tank Closure Alternative 1 Technetium-99 Concentration Versus Time	5–12
Figure 5–11.	Tank Closure Alternative 1 Chromium Concentration Versus Time.....	5–13
Figure 5–12.	Tank Closure Alternative 1 Nitrate Concentration Versus Time.....	5–13
Figure 5–13.	Tank Closure Alternative 1 Uranium-238 Concentration Versus Time	5–14
Figure 5–14.	Tank Closure Alternative 1 Total Uranium Concentration Versus Time	5–15
Figure 5–15.	Tank Closure Alternative 1 Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Hydrogen-3 (Tritium) Concentration During Calendar Year 2005.....	5–16
Figure 5–16.	Tank Closure Alternative 1 Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Iodine-129 Concentration During Calendar Year 2005	5–17
Figure 5–17.	Tank Closure Alternative 1 Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Iodine-129 Concentration During Calendar Year 3890	5–18
Figure 5–18.	Tank Closure Alternative 1 Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Iodine-129 Concentration During Calendar Year 7140	5–19
Figure 5–19.	Tank Closure Alternative 1 Total Area of Groundwater Iodine-129 Concentration Exceeding the Benchmark Concentration as a Function of Time.....	5–19
Figure 5–20.	Tank Closure Alternative 1 Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Technetium-99 Concentration During Calendar Year 2005	5–20
Figure 5–21.	Tank Closure Alternative 1 Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Technetium-99 Concentration During Calendar Year 3890	5–21
Figure 5–22.	Tank Closure Alternative 1 Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Technetium-99 Concentration During Calendar Year 7140	5–22
Figure 5–23.	Tank Closure Alternative 1 Total Area of Groundwater Technetium-99 Concentration Exceeding the Benchmark Concentration as a Function of Time	5–22
Figure 5–24.	Tank Closure Alternative 1 Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Chromium Concentration During Calendar Year 2005	5–23
Figure 5–25.	Tank Closure Alternative 1 Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Chromium Concentration During Calendar Year 3890	5–24

Figure 5–26.	Tank Closure Alternative 1 Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Chromium Concentration During Calendar Year 7140	5–25
Figure 5–27.	Tank Closure Alternative 1 Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Nitrate Concentration During Calendar Year 2005	5–26
Figure 5–28.	Tank Closure Alternative 1 Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Nitrate Concentration During Calendar Year 3890	5–27
Figure 5–29.	Tank Closure Alternative 1 Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Nitrate Concentration During Calendar Year 7140	5–28
Figure 5–30.	Tank Closure Alternative 1 Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Uranium-238 Concentration During Calendar Year 2135	5–29
Figure 5–31.	Tank Closure Alternative 1 Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Uranium-238 Concentration During Calendar Year 3890	5–30
Figure 5–32.	Tank Closure Alternative 1 Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Uranium-238 Concentration During Calendar Year 11,885	5–31
Figure 5–33.	Tank Closure Alternative 1 Total Area of Groundwater Uranium-238 Concentration Exceeding the Benchmark Concentration as a Function of Time	5–31
Figure 5–34.	Tank Closure Alternative 1 Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Total Uranium Concentration During Calendar Year 2005	5–32
Figure 5–35.	Tank Closure Alternative 1 Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Total Uranium Concentration During Calendar Year 3890	5–33
Figure 5–36.	Tank Closure Alternative 1 Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Total Uranium Concentration During Calendar Year 11,885	5–34
Figure 5–37.	Tank Closure Alternative 2A Releases of Radiological Constituent of Potential Concern Drivers to Vadose Zone for Entire 10,000-Year Analysis Period	5–37
Figure 5–38.	Tank Closure Alternative 2A Releases of Chemical Constituent of Potential Concern Drivers to Vadose Zone for Entire 10,000-Year Analysis Period	5–37
Figure 5–39.	Tank Closure Alternative 2A Releases of Radiological Constituent of Potential Concern Drivers to Groundwater for Entire 10,000-Year Analysis Period	5–38
Figure 5–40.	Tank Closure Alternative 2A Releases of Chemical Constituent of Potential Concern Drivers to Groundwater for Entire 10,000-Year Analysis Period	5–38
Figure 5–41.	Tank Closure Alternative 2A Releases of Radiological Constituent of Potential Concern Drivers to Columbia River for Entire 10,000-Year Analysis Period	5–39
Figure 5–42.	Tank Closure Alternative 2A Releases of Chemical Constituent of Potential Concern Drivers to Columbia River for Entire 10,000-Year Analysis Period	5–40
Figure 5–43.	Tank Closure Alternative 2A Hydrogen-3 (Tritium) Concentration Versus Time	5–42
Figure 5–44.	Tank Closure Alternative 2A Iodine-129 Concentration Versus Time	5–43
Figure 5–45.	Tank Closure Alternative 2A Technetium-99 Concentration Versus Time	5–43
Figure 5–46.	Tank Closure Alternative 2A Chromium Concentration Versus Time	5–44
Figure 5–47.	Tank Closure Alternative 2A Nitrate Concentration Versus Time	5–44
Figure 5–48.	Tank Closure Alternative 2A Uranium-238 Concentration Versus Time	5–45
Figure 5–49.	Tank Closure Alternative 2A Total Uranium Concentration Versus Time	5–46
Figure 5–50.	Tank Closure Alternative 2A Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Hydrogen-3 (Tritium) Concentration During Calendar Year 2005	5–47
Figure 5–51.	Tank Closure Alternative 2A Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Hydrogen-3 (Tritium) Concentration During Calendar Year 2135	5–48
Figure 5–52.	Tank Closure Alternative 2A Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Iodine-129 Concentration During Calendar Year 2005	5–49
Figure 5–53.	Tank Closure Alternative 2A Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Iodine-129 Concentration During Calendar Year 2135	5–50
Figure 5–54.	Tank Closure Alternative 2A Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Iodine-129 Concentration During Calendar Year 3890	5–51

List of Figures

Figure 5-55.	Tank Closure Alternative 2A Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Iodine-129 Concentration During Calendar Year 7140	5-52
Figure 5-56.	Tank Closure Alternative 2A Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Technetium-99 Concentration During Calendar Year 2005	5-53
Figure 5-57.	Tank Closure Alternative 2A Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Technetium-99 Concentration During Calendar Year 3890	5-54
Figure 5-58.	Tank Closure Alternative 2A Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Technetium-99 Concentration During Calendar Year 7140	5-55
Figure 5-59.	Tank Closure Alternative 2A Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Chromium Concentration During Calendar Year 2005	5-56
Figure 5-60.	Tank Closure Alternative 2A Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Chromium Concentration During Calendar Year 3890	5-57
Figure 5-61.	Tank Closure Alternative 2A Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Chromium Concentration During Calendar Year 7140	5-58
Figure 5-62.	Tank Closure Alternative 2A Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Nitrate Concentration During Calendar Year 2005	5-59
Figure 5-63.	Tank Closure Alternative 2A Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Nitrate Concentration During Calendar Year 3890	5-60
Figure 5-64.	Tank Closure Alternative 2A Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Uranium-238 Concentration During Calendar Year 2005	5-61
Figure 5-65.	Tank Closure Alternative 2A Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Uranium-238 Concentration During Calendar Year 3890	5-62
Figure 5-66.	Tank Closure Alternative 2A Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Uranium-238 Concentration During Calendar Year 11,885	5-63
Figure 5-67.	Tank Closure Alternative 2A Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Total Uranium Concentration During Calendar Year 2135	5-64
Figure 5-68.	Tank Closure Alternative 2A Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Total Uranium Concentration During Calendar Year 3890	5-65
Figure 5-69.	Tank Closure Alternative 2A Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Total Uranium Concentration During Calendar Year 7140	5-66
Figure 5-70.	Tank Closure Alternative 2A Total Area of Groundwater Iodine-129 Concentration Exceeding the Benchmark Concentration as a Function of Time	5-67
Figure 5-71.	Tank Closure Alternative 2A Total Area of Groundwater Technetium-99 Concentration Exceeding the Benchmark Concentration as a Function of Time	5-67
Figure 5-72.	Tank Closure Alternative 2A Total Area of Groundwater Uranium-238 Concentration Exceeding the Benchmark Concentration as a Function of Time	5-68
Figure 5-73.	Tank Closure Alternative 2B Releases of Radiological Constituent of Potential Concern Drivers to Vadose Zone for Entire 10,000-Year Analysis Period	5-71
Figure 5-74.	Tank Closure Alternative 2B Releases of Chemical Constituent of Potential Concern Drivers to Vadose Zone for Entire 10,000-Year Analysis Period	5-71
Figure 5-75.	Tank Closure Alternative 2B Releases of Radiological Constituent of Potential Concern Drivers to Groundwater for Entire 10,000-Year Analysis Period	5-72
Figure 5-76.	Tank Closure Alternative 2B Releases of Chemical Constituent of Potential Concern Drivers to Groundwater for Entire 10,000-Year Analysis Period	5-72
Figure 5-77.	Tank Closure Alternative 2B Releases of Radiological Constituent of Potential Concern Drivers to Columbia River for Entire 10,000-Year Analysis Period	5-73
Figure 5-78.	Tank Closure Alternative 2B Releases of Chemical Constituent of Potential Concern Drivers to Columbia River for Entire 10,000-Year Analysis Period	5-74
Figure 5-79.	Tank Closure Alternative 2B Hydrogen-3 (Tritium) Concentration Versus Time	5-76
Figure 5-80.	Tank Closure Alternative 2B Iodine-129 Concentration Versus Time	5-77
Figure 5-81.	Tank Closure Alternative 2B Technetium-99 Concentration Versus Time	5-77

Figure 5–82.	Tank Closure Alternative 2B Chromium Concentration Versus Time.....	5–78
Figure 5–83.	Tank Closure Alternative 2B Nitrate Concentration Versus Time	5–78
Figure 5–84.	Tank Closure Alternative 2B Uranium-238 Concentration Versus Time.....	5–79
Figure 5–85.	Tank Closure Alternative 2B Total Uranium Concentration Versus Time.....	5–79
Figure 5–86.	Tank Closure Alternative 2B Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Hydrogen-3 (Tritium) Concentration During Calendar Year 2005.....	5–81
Figure 5–87.	Tank Closure Alternative 2B Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Hydrogen-3 (Tritium) Concentration During Calendar Year 2135.....	5–82
Figure 5–88.	Tank Closure Alternative 2B Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Iodine-129 Concentration During Calendar Year 2005	5–83
Figure 5–89.	Tank Closure Alternative 2B Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Iodine-129 Concentration During Calendar Year 2135	5–84
Figure 5–90.	Tank Closure Alternative 2B Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Iodine-129 Concentration During Calendar Year 7140	5–85
Figure 5–91.	Tank Closure Alternative 2B Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Technetium-99 Concentration During Calendar Year 2005	5–86
Figure 5–92.	Tank Closure Alternative 2B Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Technetium-99 Concentration During Calendar Year 2135	5–87
Figure 5–93.	Tank Closure Alternative 2B Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Technetium-99 Concentration During Calendar Year 7140	5–88
Figure 5–94.	Tank Closure Alternative 2B Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Chromium Concentration During Calendar Year 2005	5–89
Figure 5–95.	Tank Closure Alternative 2B Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Chromium Concentration During Calendar Year 2135	5–90
Figure 5–96.	Tank Closure Alternative 2B Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Chromium Concentration During Calendar Year 7140	5–91
Figure 5–97.	Tank Closure Alternative 2B Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Nitrate Concentration During Calendar Year 2005	5–92
Figure 5–98.	Tank Closure Alternative 2B Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Nitrate Concentration During Calendar Year 2135	5–93
Figure 5–99.	Tank Closure Alternative 2B Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Nitrate Concentration During Calendar Year 7140	5–94
Figure 5–100.	Tank Closure Alternative 2B Total Area of Groundwater Technetium-99 Concentration Exceeding the Benchmark Concentration as a Function of Time	5–95
Figure 5–101.	Tank Closure Alternative 2B Total Area of Groundwater Iodine-129 Concentration Exceeding the Benchmark Concentration as a Function of Time	5–95
Figure 5–102.	Tank Closure Alternative 2B Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Uranium-238 Concentration During Calendar Year 2005	5–96
Figure 5–103.	Tank Closure Alternative 2B Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Uranium-238 Concentration During Calendar Year 7140	5–97
Figure 5–104.	Tank Closure Alternative 2B Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Uranium-238 Concentration During Calendar Year 11,885	5–98
Figure 5–105.	Tank Closure Alternative 2B Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Total Uranium Concentration During Calendar Year 2005	5–99
Figure 5–106.	Tank Closure Alternative 2B Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Total Uranium Concentration During Calendar Year 7140	5–100
Figure 5–107.	Tank Closure Alternative 2B Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Total Uranium Concentration During Calendar Year 11,885	5–101
Figure 5–108.	Tank Closure Alternative 2B Total Area of Groundwater Uranium-238 Concentration Exceeding the Benchmark Concentration as a Function of Time	5–102

List of Figures

Figure 5–109.	Tank Closure Alternative 4 Releases of Radiological Constituent of Potential Concern Drivers to Vadose Zone for Entire 10,000-Year Analysis Period.....	5–107
Figure 5–110.	Tank Closure Alternative 4 Releases of Chemical Constituent of Potential Concern Drivers to Vadose Zone for Entire 10,000-Year Analysis Period.....	5–107
Figure 5–111.	Tank Closure Alternative 4 Releases of Radiological Constituent of Potential Concern Drivers to Groundwater for Entire 10,000-Year Analysis Period.....	5–108
Figure 5–112.	Tank Closure Alternative 4 Releases of Chemical Constituent of Potential Concern Drivers to Groundwater for Entire 10,000-Year Analysis Period.....	5–108
Figure 5–113.	Tank Closure Alternative 4 Releases of Radiological Constituent of Potential Concern Drivers to Columbia River for Entire 10,000-Year Analysis Period.....	5–109
Figure 5–114.	Tank Closure Alternative 4 Releases of Chemical Constituent of Potential Concern Drivers to Columbia River for Entire 10,000-Year Analysis Period.....	5–110
Figure 5–115.	Tank Closure Alternative 4 Hydrogen-3 (Tritium) Concentration Versus Time.....	5–112
Figure 5–116.	Tank Closure Alternative 4 Iodine-129 Concentration Versus Time.....	5–113
Figure 5–117.	Tank Closure Alternative 4 Technetium-99 Concentration Versus Time.....	5–113
Figure 5–118.	Tank Closure Alternative 4 Chromium Concentration Versus Time.....	5–114
Figure 5–119.	Tank Closure Alternative 4 Nitrate Concentration Versus Time.....	5–114
Figure 5–120.	Tank Closure Alternative 4 Uranium-238 Concentration Versus Time.....	5–115
Figure 5–121.	Tank Closure Alternative 4 Total Uranium Concentration Versus Time.....	5–115
Figure 5–122.	Tank Closure Alternative 4 Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Hydrogen-3 (Tritium) Concentration During Calendar Year 2005.....	5–116
Figure 5–123.	Tank Closure Alternative 4 Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Hydrogen-3 (Tritium) Concentration During Calendar Year 2135.....	5–117
Figure 5–124.	Tank Closure Alternative 4 Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Iodine-129 Concentration During Calendar Year 2005.....	5–118
Figure 5–125.	Tank Closure Alternative 4 Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Iodine-129 Concentration During Calendar Year 2135.....	5–119
Figure 5–126.	Tank Closure Alternative 4 Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Iodine-129 Concentration During Calendar Year 7140.....	5–120
Figure 5–127.	Tank Closure Alternative 4 Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Technetium-99 Concentration During Calendar Year 2005.....	5–121
Figure 5–128.	Tank Closure Alternative 4 Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Technetium-99 Concentration During Calendar Year 2135.....	5–122
Figure 5–129.	Tank Closure Alternative 4 Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Technetium-99 Concentration During Calendar Year 7140.....	5–123
Figure 5–130.	Tank Closure Alternative 4 Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Chromium Concentration During Calendar Year 2005.....	5–124
Figure 5–131.	Tank Closure Alternative 4 Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Chromium Concentration During Calendar Year 2135.....	5–125
Figure 5–132.	Tank Closure Alternative 4 Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Chromium Concentration During Calendar Year 7140.....	5–126
Figure 5–133.	Tank Closure Alternative 4 Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Nitrate Concentration During Calendar Year 2005.....	5–127
Figure 5–134.	Tank Closure Alternative 4 Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Nitrate Concentration During Calendar Year 2135.....	5–128
Figure 5–135.	Tank Closure Alternative 4 Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Nitrate Concentration During Calendar Year 7140.....	5–129
Figure 5–136.	Tank Closure Alternative 4 Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Uranium-238 Concentration During Calendar Year 2005.....	5–130
Figure 5–137.	Tank Closure Alternative 4 Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Uranium-238 Concentration During Calendar Year 2135.....	5–131

Figure 5–138.	Tank Closure Alternative 4 Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Uranium-238 Concentration During Calendar Year 7140	5–132
Figure 5–139.	Tank Closure Alternative 4 Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Uranium-238 Concentration During Calendar Year 11,885	5–133
Figure 5–140.	Tank Closure Alternative 4 Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Total Uranium Concentration During Calendar Year 2005	5–134
Figure 5–141.	Tank Closure Alternative 4 Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Total Uranium Concentration During Calendar Year 3890	5–135
Figure 5–142.	Tank Closure Alternative 4 Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Total Uranium Concentration During Calendar Year 7140	5–136
Figure 5–143.	Tank Closure Alternative 4 Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Total Uranium Concentration During Calendar Year 11,885	5–137
Figure 5–144.	Tank Closure Alternative 4 Total Area of Groundwater Iodine-129 Concentration Exceeding the Benchmark Concentration as a Function of Time	5–138
Figure 5–145.	Tank Closure Alternative 4 Total Area of Groundwater Technetium-99 Concentration Exceeding the Benchmark Concentration as a Function of Time	5–138
Figure 5–146.	Tank Closure Alternative 4 Total Area of Groundwater Uranium-238 Concentration Exceeding the Benchmark Concentration as a Function of Time	5–139
Figure 5–147.	Tank Closure Alternative 5 Releases of Radiological Constituent of Potential Concern Drivers to Vadose Zone for Entire 10,000-Year Analysis Period	5–141
Figure 5–148.	Tank Closure Alternative 5 Releases of Chemical Constituent of Potential Concern Drivers to Vadose Zone for Entire 10,000-Year Analysis Period	5–142
Figure 5–149.	Tank Closure Alternative 5 Releases of Radiological Constituent of Potential Concern Drivers to Groundwater for Entire 10,000-Year Analysis Period	5–142
Figure 5–150.	Tank Closure Alternative 5 Releases of Chemical Constituent of Potential Concern Drivers to Groundwater for Entire 10,000-Year Analysis Period	5–143
Figure 5–151.	Tank Closure Alternative 5 Releases of Radiological Constituent of Potential Concern Drivers to Columbia River for Entire 10,000-Year Analysis Period	5–144
Figure 5–152.	Tank Closure Alternative 5 Releases of Chemical Constituent of Potential Concern Drivers to Columbia River for Entire 10,000-Year Analysis Period	5–144
Figure 5–153.	Tank Closure Alternative 5 Hydrogen-3 (Tritium) Concentration Versus Time	5–147
Figure 5–154.	Tank Closure Alternative 5 Iodine-129 Concentration Versus Time	5–147
Figure 5–155.	Tank Closure Alternative 5 Technetium-99 Concentration Versus Time	5–148
Figure 5–156.	Tank Closure Alternative 5 Chromium Concentration Versus Time	5–148
Figure 5–157.	Tank Closure Alternative 5 Nitrate Concentration Versus Time	5–149
Figure 5–158.	Tank Closure Alternative 5 Uranium-238 Concentration Versus Time	5–150
Figure 5–159.	Tank Closure Alternative 5 Total Uranium Concentration Versus Time	5–150
Figure 5–160.	Tank Closure Alternative 5 Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Hydrogen-3 (Tritium) Concentration During Calendar Year 2005	5–151
Figure 5–161.	Tank Closure Alternative 5 Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Hydrogen-3 (Tritium) Concentration During Calendar Year 2135	5–152
Figure 5–162.	Tank Closure Alternative 5 Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Iodine-129 Concentration During Calendar Year 2005	5–153
Figure 5–163.	Tank Closure Alternative 5 Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Iodine-129 Concentration During Calendar Year 2135	5–154
Figure 5–164.	Tank Closure Alternative 5 Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Iodine-129 Concentration During Calendar Year 7140	5–155
Figure 5–165.	Tank Closure Alternative 5 Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Technetium-99 Concentration During Calendar Year 2005	5–156
Figure 5–166.	Tank Closure Alternative 5 Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Technetium-99 Concentration During Calendar Year 2135	5–157

List of Figures

Figure 5–167.	Tank Closure Alternative 5 Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Technetium-99 Concentration During Calendar Year 7140	5–158
Figure 5–168.	Tank Closure Alternative 5 Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Chromium Concentration During Calendar Year 2005	5–159
Figure 5–169.	Tank Closure Alternative 5 Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Chromium Concentration During Calendar Year 2135	5–160
Figure 5–170.	Tank Closure Alternative 5 Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Chromium Concentration During Calendar Year 7140	5–161
Figure 5–171.	Tank Closure Alternative 5 Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Nitrate Concentration During Calendar Year 2005	5–162
Figure 5–172.	Tank Closure Alternative 5 Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Nitrate Concentration During Calendar Year 2135	5–163
Figure 5–173.	Tank Closure Alternative 5 Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Nitrate Concentration During Calendar Year 7140	5–164
Figure 5–174.	Tank Closure Alternative 5 Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Uranium-238 Concentration During Calendar Year 2005	5–165
Figure 5–175.	Tank Closure Alternative 5 Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Uranium-238 Concentration During Calendar Year 7140	5–166
Figure 5–176.	Tank Closure Alternative 5 Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Uranium-238 Concentration During Calendar Year 11,885	5–167
Figure 5–177.	Tank Closure Alternative 5 Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Total Uranium Concentration During Calendar Year 2005	5–168
Figure 5–178.	Tank Closure Alternative 5 Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Total Uranium Concentration During Calendar Year 7140	5–169
Figure 5–179.	Tank Closure Alternative 5 Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Total Uranium Concentration During Calendar Year 11,885	5–170
Figure 5–180.	Tank Closure Alternative 5 Total Area of Groundwater Iodine-129 Concentration Exceeding the Benchmark Concentration as a Function of Time	5–171
Figure 5–181.	Tank Closure Alternative 5 Total Area of Groundwater Technetium-99 Concentration Exceeding the Benchmark Concentration as a Function of Time	5–171
Figure 5–182.	Tank Closure Alternative 5 Total Area of Groundwater Uranium-238 Concentration Exceeding the Benchmark Concentration as a Function of Time	5–172
Figure 5–183.	Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Base Case, Releases of Radiological Constituent of Potential Concern Drivers to Vadose Zone for Entire 10,000-Year Analysis Period	5–175
Figure 5–184.	Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Base Case, Releases of Chemical Constituent of Potential Concern Drivers to Vadose Zone for Entire 10,000-Year Analysis Period	5–175
Figure 5–185.	Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Option Case, Releases of Radiological Constituent of Potential Concern Drivers to Vadose Zone for Entire 10,000-Year Analysis Period	5–176
Figure 5–186.	Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Option Case, Releases of Chemical Constituent of Potential Concern Drivers to Vadose Zone for Entire 10,000-Year Analysis Period	5–176
Figure 5–187.	Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Base Case, Releases of Radiological Constituent of Potential Concern Drivers to Groundwater for Entire 10,000-Year Analysis Period	5–177
Figure 5–188.	Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Base Case, Releases of Chemical Constituent of Potential Concern Drivers to Groundwater for Entire 10,000-Year Analysis Period	5–177

Figure 5–189.	Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Option Case, Releases of Radiological Constituent of Potential Concern Drivers to Groundwater for Entire 10,000-Year Analysis Period	5–178
Figure 5–190.	Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Option Case, Releases of Chemical Constituent of Potential Concern Drivers to Groundwater for Entire 10,000-Year Analysis Period	5–179
Figure 5–191.	Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Base Case, Releases of Radiological Constituent of Potential Concern Drivers to Columbia River for Entire 10,000-Year Analysis Period	5–180
Figure 5–192.	Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Base Case, Releases of Chemical Constituent of Potential Concern Drivers to Columbia River for Entire 10,000-Year Analysis Period	5–180
Figure 5–193.	Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Option Case, Releases of Radiological Constituent of Potential Concern Drivers to Columbia River for Entire 10,000-Year Analysis Period	5–181
Figure 5–194.	Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Option Case, Releases of Chemical Constituent of Potential Concern Drivers to Columbia River for Entire 10,000-Year Analysis Period	5–182
Figure 5–195.	Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Base Case, Hydrogen-3 (Tritium) Concentration Versus Time	5–185
Figure 5–196.	Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Option Case, Hydrogen-3 (Tritium) Concentration Versus Time	5–186
Figure 5–197.	Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Base Case, Iodine-129 Concentration Versus Time ...	5–187
Figure 5–198.	Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Base Case, Technetium-99 Concentration Versus Time	5–187
Figure 5–199.	Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Base Case, Chromium Concentration Versus Time ...	5–188
Figure 5–200.	Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Base Case, Nitrate Concentration Versus Time	5–188
Figure 5–201.	Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Option Case, Iodine-129 Concentration Versus Time	5–189
Figure 5–202.	Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Option Case, Technetium-99 Concentration Versus Time	5–190
Figure 5–203.	Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Option Case, Chromium Concentration Versus Time	5–190
Figure 5–204.	Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Option Case, Nitrate Concentration Versus Time	5–191
Figure 5–205.	Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Base Case, Uranium-238 Concentration Versus Time	5–192
Figure 5–206.	Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Base Case, Total Uranium Concentration Versus Time	5–192
Figure 5–207.	Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Option Case, Uranium-238 Concentration Versus Time	5–193
Figure 5–208.	Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Option Case, Total Uranium Concentration Versus Time	5–193
Figure 5–209.	Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Base Case, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Hydrogen-3 (Tritium) Concentration During Calendar Year 2005	5–195
Figure 5–210.	Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Base Case, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Hydrogen-3 (Tritium) Concentration During Calendar Year 2135	5–196
Figure 5–211.	Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Option Case, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Hydrogen-3 (Tritium) Concentration During Calendar Year 2005	5–197
Figure 5–212.	Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Option Case, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Hydrogen-3 (Tritium) Concentration During Calendar Year 2135	5–198

List of Figures

Figure 5–213.	Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Base Case, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Iodine-129 Concentration During Calendar Year 2005	5–199
Figure 5–214.	Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Base Case, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Iodine-129 Concentration During Calendar Year 2135	5–200
Figure 5–215.	Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Base Case, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Iodine-129 Concentration During Calendar Year 7140	5–201
Figure 5–216.	Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Base Case, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Technetium-99 Concentration During Calendar Year 2005	5–202
Figure 5–217.	Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Base Case, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Technetium-99 Concentration During Calendar Year 2135	5–203
Figure 5–218.	Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Base Case, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Technetium-99 Concentration During Calendar Year 7140	5–204
Figure 5–219.	Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Base Case, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Chromium Concentration During Calendar Year 2005	5–205
Figure 5–220.	Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Base Case, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Chromium Concentration During Calendar Year 2135	5–206
Figure 5–221.	Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Base Case, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Chromium Concentration During Calendar Year 7140	5–207
Figure 5–222.	Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Base Case, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Nitrate Concentration During Calendar Year 2005	5–208
Figure 5–223.	Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Base Case, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Nitrate Concentration During Calendar Year 2135	5–209
Figure 5–224.	Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Base Case, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Nitrate Concentration During Calendar Year 7140	5–210
Figure 5–225.	Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Option Case, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Iodine-129 Concentration During Calendar Year 2005	5–211
Figure 5–226.	Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Option Case, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Iodine-129 Concentration During Calendar Year 2135	5–212
Figure 5–227.	Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Option Case, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Iodine-129 Concentration During Calendar Year 7140	5–213
Figure 5–228.	Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Option Case, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Technetium-99 Concentration During Calendar Year 2005	5–214
Figure 5–229.	Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Option Case, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Technetium-99 Concentration During Calendar Year 2135	5–215
Figure 5–230.	Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Option Case, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Technetium-99 Concentration During Calendar Year 7140	5–216
Figure 5–231.	Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Option Case, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Chromium Concentration During Calendar Year 2005	5–217
Figure 5–232.	Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Option Case, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Chromium Concentration During Calendar Year 2135	5–218
Figure 5–233.	Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Option Case, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Chromium Concentration During Calendar Year 7140	5–219
Figure 5–234.	Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Option Case, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Nitrate Concentration During Calendar Year 2005	5–220
Figure 5–235.	Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Option Case, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Nitrate Concentration During Calendar Year 2135	5–221
Figure 5–236.	Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Option Case, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Nitrate Concentration During Calendar Year 7140	5–222
Figure 5–237.	Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Base Case, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Uranium-238 Concentration During Calendar Year 2005	5–223

Figure 5–238.	Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Base Case, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Uranium-238 Concentration During Calendar Year 7140	5–224
Figure 5–239.	Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Base Case, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Uranium-238 Concentration During Calendar Year 11,885	5–225
Figure 5–240.	Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Base Case, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Total Uranium Concentration During Calendar Year 2005	5–226
Figure 5–241.	Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Base Case, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Total Uranium Concentration During Calendar Year 7140	5–227
Figure 5–242.	Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Base Case, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Total Uranium Concentration During Calendar Year 11,885	5–228
Figure 5–243.	Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Option Case, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Uranium-238 Concentration During Calendar Year 2005	5–229
Figure 5–244.	Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Option Case, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Uranium-238 Concentration During Calendar Year 2135	5–230
Figure 5–245.	Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Option Case, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Uranium-238 Concentration During Calendar Year 11,885	5–231
Figure 5–246.	Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Option Case, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Total Uranium Concentration During Calendar Year 2005	5–232
Figure 5–247.	Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Option Case, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Total Uranium Concentration During Calendar Year 2135	5–233
Figure 5–248.	Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Option Case, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Total Uranium Concentration During Calendar Year 11,885	5–234
Figure 5–249.	Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Base Case, Total Area of Groundwater Technetium-99 Concentration Exceeding the Benchmark Concentration as a Function of Time	5–235
Figure 5–250.	Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Base Case, Total Area of Groundwater Iodine-129 Concentration Exceeding the Benchmark Concentration as a Function of Time	5–235
Figure 5–251.	Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Option Case, Total Area of Groundwater Technetium-99 Concentration Exceeding the Benchmark Concentration as a Function of Time	5–236
Figure 5–252.	Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Option Case, Total Area of Groundwater Iodine-129 Concentration Exceeding the Benchmark Concentration as a Function of Time	5–236
Figure 5–253.	Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Base Case, Total Area of Groundwater Uranium-238 Concentration Exceeding the Benchmark Concentration as a Function of Time	5–237
Figure 5–254.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Base Case, Releases of Radiological Constituent of Potential Concern Drivers to Vadose Zone for Entire 10,000-Year Analysis Period	5–240
Figure 5–255.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Base Case, Releases of Chemical Constituent of Potential Concern Drivers to Vadose Zone for Entire 10,000-Year Analysis Period	5–241
Figure 5–256.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Option Case, Releases of Radiological Constituent of Potential Concern Drivers to Vadose Zone for Entire 10,000-Year Analysis Period	5–241
Figure 5–257.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Option Case, Releases of Chemical Constituent of Potential Concern Drivers to Vadose Zone for Entire 10,000-Year Analysis Period	5–242
Figure 5–258.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Base Case, Releases of Radiological Constituent of Potential Concern Drivers to Groundwater for Entire 10,000-Year Analysis Period	5–242

List of Figures

Figure 5–259.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Base Case, Releases of Chemical Constituent of Potential Concern Drivers to Groundwater for Entire 10,000-Year Analysis Period	5–243
Figure 5–260.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Option Case, Releases of Radiological Constituent of Potential Concern Drivers to Groundwater for Entire 10,000-Year Analysis Period	5–244
Figure 5–261.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Option Case, Releases of Chemical Constituent of Potential Concern Drivers to Groundwater for Entire 10,000-Year Analysis Period	5–244
Figure 5–262.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Base Case, Releases of Radiological Constituent of Potential Concern Drivers to Columbia River for Entire 10,000-Year Analysis Period	5–245
Figure 5–263.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Base Case, Releases of Chemical Constituent of Potential Concern Drivers to Columbia River for Entire 10,000-Year Analysis Period	5–245
Figure 5–264.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Option Case, Releases of Radiological Constituent of Potential Concern Drivers to Columbia River for Entire 10,000-Year Analysis Period	5–246
Figure 5–265.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Option Case, Releases of Chemical Constituent of Potential Concern Drivers to Columbia River for Entire 10,000-Year Analysis Period	5–247
Figure 5–266.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Base Case, Hydrogen-3 (Tritium) Concentration Versus Time	5–251
Figure 5–267.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Option Case, Hydrogen-3 (Tritium) Concentration Versus Time	5–251
Figure 5–268.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Base Case, Iodine-129 Concentration Versus Time ...	5–252
Figure 5–269.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Base Case, Technetium-99 Concentration Versus Time	5–252
Figure 5–270.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Base Case, Chromium Concentration Versus Time ...	5–253
Figure 5–271.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Base Case, Nitrate Concentration Versus Time	5–253
Figure 5–272.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Option Case, Iodine-129 Concentration Versus Time	5–254
Figure 5–273.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Option Case, Technetium-99 Concentration Versus Time	5–254
Figure 5–274.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Option Case, Chromium Concentration Versus Time	5–255
Figure 5–275.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Option Case, Nitrate Concentration Versus Time	5–255
Figure 5–276.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Base Case, Uranium-238 Concentration Versus Time	5–256
Figure 5–277.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Base Case, Total Uranium Concentration Versus Time	5–257
Figure 5–278.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Option Case, Uranium-238 Concentration Versus Time	5–257
Figure 5–279.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Option Case, Total Uranium Concentration Versus Time	5–258
Figure 5–280.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Base Case, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Hydrogen-3 (Tritium) Concentration During Calendar Year 2005	5–259
Figure 5–281.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Base Case, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Hydrogen-3 (Tritium) Concentration During Calendar Year 2135	5–260
Figure 5–282.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Option Case, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Hydrogen-3 (Tritium) Concentration During Calendar Year 2005	5–261

Figure 5–283.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Option Case, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Hydrogen-3 (Tritium) Concentration During Calendar Year 2135	5–262
Figure 5–284.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Base Case, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Iodine-129 Concentration During Calendar Year 2005	5–263
Figure 5–285.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Base Case, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Iodine-129 Concentration During Calendar Year 2135	5–264
Figure 5–286.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Base Case, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Iodine-129 Concentration During Calendar Year 7140	5–265
Figure 5–287.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Base Case, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Technetium-99 Concentration During Calendar Year 2005	5–266
Figure 5–288.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Base Case, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Technetium-99 Concentration During Calendar Year 2135	5–267
Figure 5–289.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Base Case, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Technetium-99 Concentration During Calendar Year 7140	5–268
Figure 5–290.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Base Case, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Chromium Concentration During Calendar Year 2005	5–269
Figure 5–291.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Base Case, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Chromium Concentration During Calendar Year 2135	5–270
Figure 5–292.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Base Case, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Chromium Concentration During Calendar Year 7140	5–271
Figure 5–293.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Base Case, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Nitrate Concentration During Calendar Year 2005	5–272
Figure 5–294.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Base Case, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Nitrate Concentration During Calendar Year 2135	5–273
Figure 5–295.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Base Case, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Nitrate Concentration During Calendar Year 7140	5–274
Figure 5–296.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Option Case, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Iodine-129 Concentration During Calendar Year 2005	5–275
Figure 5–297.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Option Case, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Iodine-129 Concentration During Calendar Year 2135	5–276
Figure 5–298.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Option Case, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Iodine-129 Concentration During Calendar Year 7140	5–277
Figure 5–299.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Option Case, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Technetium-99 Concentration During Calendar Year 2005	5–278
Figure 5–300.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Option Case, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Technetium-99 Concentration During Calendar Year 2135	5–279
Figure 5–301.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Option Case, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Technetium-99 Concentration During Calendar Year 7140	5–280
Figure 5–302.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Option Case, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Chromium Concentration During Calendar Year 2005	5–281
Figure 5–303.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Option Case, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Chromium Concentration During Calendar Year 2135	5–282
Figure 5–304.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Option Case, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Chromium Concentration During Calendar Year 7140	5–283
Figure 5–305.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Option Case, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Nitrate Concentration During Calendar Year 2005	5–284
Figure 5–306.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Option Case, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Nitrate Concentration During Calendar Year 2135	5–285
Figure 5–307.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Option Case, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Nitrate Concentration During Calendar Year 7140	5–286

List of Figures

Figure 5–308.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Base Case, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Uranium-238 Concentration During Calendar Year 2005	5–287
Figure 5–309.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Base Case, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Uranium-238 Concentration During Calendar Year 7140	5–288
Figure 5–310.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Base Case, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Uranium-238 Concentration During Calendar Year 11,885	5–289
Figure 5–311.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Base Case, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Total Uranium Concentration During Calendar Year 2005	5–290
Figure 5–312.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Base Case, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Total Uranium Concentration During Calendar Year 7140	5–291
Figure 5–313.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Base Case, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Total Uranium Concentration During Calendar Year 11,885	5–292
Figure 5–314.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Option Case, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Uranium-238 Concentration During Calendar Year 2005	5–293
Figure 5–315.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Option Case, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Uranium-238 Concentration During Calendar Year 2135	5–294
Figure 5–316.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Option Case, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Uranium-238 Concentration During Calendar Year 11,885	5–295
Figure 5–317.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Option Case, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Total Uranium Concentration During Calendar Year 2005	5–296
Figure 5–318.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Option Case, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Total Uranium Concentration During Calendar Year 2135	5–297
Figure 5–319.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Option Case, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Total Uranium Concentration During Calendar Year 11,885	5–298
Figure 5–320.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Base Case, Total Area of Groundwater Technetium-99 Concentration Exceeding the Benchmark Concentration as a Function of Time	5–299
Figure 5–321.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Base Case, Total Area of Groundwater Iodine-129 Concentration Exceeding the Benchmark Concentration as a Function of Time	5–299
Figure 5–322.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Option Case, Total Area of Groundwater Technetium-99 Concentration Exceeding the Benchmark Concentration as a Function of Time	5–300
Figure 5–323.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Option Case, Total Area of Groundwater Iodine-129 Concentration Exceeding the Benchmark Concentration as a Function of Time	5–300
Figure 5–324.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Base Case, Total Area of Groundwater Uranium-238 Concentration Exceeding the Benchmark Concentration as a Function of Time	5–301
Figure 5–325.	Tank Closure Alternative 1 Time Series of Radiological Risk for the Drinking-Water Well User at the Core Zone Boundary	5–310
Figure 5–326.	Tank Closure Alternative 2A Time Series of Radiological Risk for the Drinking-Water Well User at the Core Zone Boundary	5–316
Figure 5–327.	Tank Closure Alternative 2B Time Series of Radiological Risk for the Drinking-Water Well User at the Core Zone Boundary	5–317
Figure 5–328.	Tank Closure Alternative 4 Time Series of Radiological Risk for the Drinking-Water Well User at the Core Zone Boundary	5–329
Figure 5–329.	Tank Closure Alternative 5 Time Series of Radiological Risk for the Drinking-Water Well User at the Core Zone Boundary	5–336
Figure 5–330.	Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Base Case, Time Series of Radiological Risk for the Drinking-Water Well User at the Core Zone Boundary	5–342

Figure 5–331.	Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Option Case, Time Series of Radiological Risk for the Drinking-Water Well User at the Core Zone Boundary	5–343
Figure 5–332.	FFTF Decommissioning Alternative 1 Releases of Radiological Constituent of Potential Concern to Vadose Zone from Sources Inside the Fast Flux Test Facility Barrier	5–374
Figure 5–333.	FFTF Decommissioning Alternative 1 Releases of Radiological Constituent of Potential Concern to Groundwater from Sources Inside the Fast Flux Test Facility Barrier	5–374
Figure 5–334.	FFTF Decommissioning Alternative 1 Releases of Radiological Constituent of Potential Concern to Columbia River from Sources Inside the Fast Flux Test Facility Barrier	5–375
Figure 5–335.	FFTF Decommissioning Alternative 1 Technetium-99 Concentration Versus Time	5–376
Figure 5–336.	FFTF Decommissioning Alternative 1 Hydrogen-3 (Tritium) Concentration Versus Time	5–376
Figure 5–337.	FFTF Decommissioning Alternatives 1 and 2 Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Technetium-99 Concentration During Calendar Year 2590	5–378
Figure 5–338.	FFTF Decommissioning Alternative 1 Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Hydrogen-3 (Tritium) Concentration During Calendar Year 2135	5–379
Figure 5–339.	FFTF Decommissioning Alternative 2 Releases of Radiological Constituent of Potential Concern to Vadose Zone from Sources Inside the Fast Flux Test Facility Barrier	5–381
Figure 5–340.	FFTF Decommissioning Alternative 2 Releases of Radiological Constituent of Potential Concern to Groundwater from Sources Inside the Fast Flux Test Facility Barrier	5–381
Figure 5–341.	FFTF Decommissioning Alternative 2 Releases of Radiological Constituent of Potential Concern to Columbia River from Sources Inside the Fast Flux Test Facility Barrier	5–382
Figure 5–342.	FFTF Decommissioning Alternative 2 Technetium-99 Concentration Versus Time	5–383
Figure 5–343.	FFTF Decommissioning Alternative 1 Total Radiological Risk for Fast Flux Test Facility Barrier Drinking-Water Well User Versus Time	5–388
Figure 5–344.	FFTF Decommissioning Alternative 2 Total Radiological Risk for Fast Flux Test Facility Barrier Drinking-Water Well User Versus Time	5–390
Figure 5–345.	Waste Management Alternative 1, Radiological Releases at 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility to Vadose Zone	5–403
Figure 5–346.	Waste Management Alternative 1, Chemical Releases at 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility to Vadose Zone	5–403
Figure 5–347.	Waste Management Alternative 1, Radiological Releases at 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility to Groundwater	5–404
Figure 5–348.	Waste Management Alternative 1, Chemical Releases at 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility Groundwater	5–404
Figure 5–349.	Waste Management Alternative 1, Radiological Releases at 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility to Columbia River	5–405
Figure 5–350.	Waste Management Alternative 1, Chemical Releases at 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility to Columbia River	5–405
Figure 5–351.	Waste Management Alternative 1, Iodine-129 Concentration Versus Time	5–406
Figure 5–352.	Waste Management Alternative 1, Technetium-99 Concentration Versus Time	5–407
Figure 5–353.	Waste Management Alternative 1, Chromium Concentration Versus Time	5–407
Figure 5–354.	Waste Management Alternative 1, Nitrate Concentration Versus Time	5–408
Figure 5–355.	Waste Management Alternative 1, Uranium-238 Concentration Versus Time	5–408

List of Figures

Figure 5–356.	Waste Management Alternative 1, Total Uranium Concentration Versus Time	5–409
Figure 5–357.	Waste Management Alternative 1, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Iodine-129 Concentration During Calendar Year 3890	5–410
Figure 5–358.	Waste Management Alternative 1, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Iodine-129 Concentration During Calendar Year 7140	5–411
Figure 5–359.	Waste Management Alternative 1, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Technetium-99 Concentration During Calendar Year 3890	5–412
Figure 5–360.	Waste Management Alternative 1, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Technetium-99 Concentration During Calendar Year 7140	5–413
Figure 5–361.	Waste Management Alternative 1, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Nitrate Concentration During Calendar Year 3890	5–414
Figure 5–362.	Waste Management Alternative 1, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Nitrate Concentration During Calendar Year 7140	5–415
Figure 5–363.	Waste Management Alternative 1, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Chromium Concentration During Calendar Year 3890	5–416
Figure 5–364.	Waste Management Alternative 1, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Chromium Concentration During Calendar Year 7140	5–417
Figure 5–365.	Waste Management Alternative 1, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Total Uranium Concentration During Calendar Year 11,885	5–418
Figure 5–366.	Waste Management Alternative 1, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Uranium-238 Concentration During Calendar Year 11,885	5–419
Figure 5–367.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-A, Radiological Releases at 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility to Vadose Zone	5–422
Figure 5–368.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-A, Chemical Releases at 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility to Vadose Zone	5–423
Figure 5–369.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-A, Radiological Releases at 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility to Groundwater	5–423
Figure 5–370.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-A, Chemical Releases at 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility to Groundwater	5–424
Figure 5–371.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-A, Radiological Releases at 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility to Columbia River	5–424
Figure 5–372.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-A, Chemical Releases at 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility to Columbia River	5–425
Figure 5–373.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-A, Radiological Releases at River Protection Project Disposal Facility to Vadose Zone	5–425
Figure 5–374.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-A, Chemical Releases at River Protection Project Disposal Facility to Vadose Zone	5–426
Figure 5–375.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-A, Radiological Releases at River Protection Project Disposal Facility to Groundwater	5–426
Figure 5–376.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-A, Chemical Releases at River Protection Project Disposal Facility to Groundwater	5–427
Figure 5–377.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-A, Radiological Releases at River Protection Project Disposal Facility to Columbia River	5–427
Figure 5–378.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-A, Chemical Releases at River Protection Project Disposal Facility to Columbia River	5–428

Figure 5–379.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-A, Iodine-129 Concentration Versus Time.....	5–429
Figure 5–380.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-A, Technetium-99 Concentration Versus Time.....	5–430
Figure 5–381.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-A, Chromium Concentration Versus Time.....	5–430
Figure 5–382.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-A, Nitrate Concentration Versus Time.....	5–431
Figure 5–383.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-A, Total Uranium Concentration Versus Time.....	5–431
Figure 5–384.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-A, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Iodine-129 Concentration During Calendar Year 3890.....	5–433
Figure 5–385.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-A, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Iodine-129 Concentration During Calendar Year 7140.....	5–434
Figure 5–386.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-A, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Iodine-129 Concentration During Calendar Year 11,885.....	5–435
Figure 5–387.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-A, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Technetium-99 Concentration During Calendar Year 3890.....	5–436
Figure 5–388.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-A, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Technetium-99 Concentration During Calendar Year 7140.....	5–437
Figure 5–389.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-A, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Technetium-99 Concentration During Calendar Year 11,885.....	5–438
Figure 5–390.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-A, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Chromium Concentration During Calendar Year 3890.....	5–439
Figure 5–391.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-A, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Chromium Concentration During Calendar Year 7140.....	5–440
Figure 5–392.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-A, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Chromium Concentration During Calendar Year 11,885.....	5–441
Figure 5–393.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-A, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Nitrate Concentration During Calendar Year 3890.....	5–442
Figure 5–394.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-A, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Nitrate Concentration During Calendar Year 7140.....	5–443
Figure 5–395.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-A, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Nitrate Concentration During Calendar Year 11,885.....	5–444
Figure 5–396.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-A, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Total Uranium Concentration During Calendar Year 11,885.....	5–445
Figure 5–397.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-B, Radiological Releases at 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility to Vadose Zone.....	5–448

List of Figures

Figure 5–398.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-B, Chemical Releases at 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility to Vadose Zone	5–448
Figure 5–399.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-B, Radiological Releases at 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility to Groundwater	5–449
Figure 5–400.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-B, Chemical Releases at 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility to Groundwater	5–449
Figure 5–401.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-B, Radiological Releases at 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility to Columbia River	5–450
Figure 5–402.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-B, Chemical Releases at 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility to Columbia River	5–450
Figure 5–403.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-B, Radiological Releases at River Protection Project Disposal Facility to Vadose Zone	5–451
Figure 5–404.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-B, Chemical Releases at River Protection Project Disposal Facility to Vadose Zone	5–451
Figure 5–405.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-B, Radiological Releases at River Protection Project Disposal Facility to Groundwater	5–452
Figure 5–406.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-B, Chemical Releases at River Protection Project Disposal Facility to Groundwater	5–452
Figure 5–407.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-B, Radiological Releases at River Protection Project Disposal Facility to Columbia River	5–453
Figure 5–408.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-B, Chemical Releases at River Protection Project Disposal Facility to Columbia River	5–453
Figure 5–409.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-B, Iodine-129 Concentration Versus Time	5–455
Figure 5–410.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-B, Technetium-99 Concentration Versus Time	5–455
Figure 5–411.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-B, Chromium Concentration Versus Time	5–456
Figure 5–412.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-B, Nitrate Concentration Versus Time	5–456
Figure 5–413.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-B, Total Uranium Concentration Versus Time	5–457
Figure 5–414.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-B, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Iodine-129 Concentration During Calendar Year 3890	5–459
Figure 5–415.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-B, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Iodine-129 Concentration During Calendar Year 7140	5–460
Figure 5–416.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-B, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Iodine-129 Concentration During Calendar Year 11,885	5–461
Figure 5–417.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-B, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Technetium-99 Concentration During Calendar Year 3890	5–462

Figure 5-418.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-B, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Technetium-99 Concentration During Calendar Year 7140.....	5-463
Figure 5-419.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-B, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Technetium-99 Concentration During Calendar Year 11,885.....	5-464
Figure 5-420.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-B, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Chromium Concentration During Calendar Year 3890.....	5-465
Figure 5-421.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-B, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Chromium Concentration During Calendar Year 7140.....	5-466
Figure 5-422.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-B, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Chromium Concentration During Calendar Year 11,885.....	5-467
Figure 5-423.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-B, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Nitrate Concentration During Calendar Year 3890.....	5-468
Figure 5-424.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-B, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Nitrate Concentration During Calendar Year 7140.....	5-469
Figure 5-425.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-B, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Nitrate Concentration During Calendar Year 11,885.....	5-470
Figure 5-426.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-B, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Total Uranium Concentration During Calendar Year 11,885.....	5-471
Figure 5-427.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-C, Radiological Releases at 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility to Vadose Zone	5-474
Figure 5-428.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-C, Chemical Releases at 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility to Vadose Zone	5-474
Figure 5-429.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-C, Radiological Releases at 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility to Groundwater	5-475
Figure 5-430.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-C, Chemical Releases at 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility to Groundwater	5-475
Figure 5-431.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-C, Radiological Releases at 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility to Columbia River.....	5-476
Figure 5-432.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-C, Chemical Releases at 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility to Columbia River.....	5-476
Figure 5-433.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-C, Radiological Releases at River Protection Project Disposal Facility to Vadose Zone	5-477
Figure 5-434.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-C, Chemical Releases at River Protection Project Disposal Facility to Vadose Zone.....	5-477
Figure 5-435.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-C, Radiological Releases at River Protection Project Disposal Facility to Groundwater	5-478
Figure 5-436.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-C, Chemical Releases at River Protection Project Disposal Facility to Groundwater.....	5-478

List of Figures

Figure 5-437.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-C, Radiological Releases at River Protection Project Disposal Facility to Columbia River	5-479
Figure 5-438.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-C, Chemical Releases at River Protection Project Disposal Facility to Columbia River	5-479
Figure 5-439.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-C, Iodine-129 Concentration Versus Time	5-480
Figure 5-440.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-C, Technetium-99 Concentration Versus Time	5-481
Figure 5-441.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-C, Chromium Concentration Versus Time	5-481
Figure 5-442.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-C, Nitrate Concentration Versus Time	5-482
Figure 5-443.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-C, Total Uranium Concentration Versus Time	5-482
Figure 5-444.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-C, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Iodine-129 Concentration During Calendar Year 3890.....	5-485
Figure 5-445.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-C, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Iodine-129 Concentration During Calendar Year 7140.....	5-486
Figure 5-446.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-C, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Iodine-129 Concentration During Calendar Year 11,885.....	5-487
Figure 5-447.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-C, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Technetium-99 Concentration During Calendar Year 3890.....	5-488
Figure 5-448.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-C, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Technetium-99 Concentration During Calendar Year 7140.....	5-489
Figure 5-449.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-C, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Technetium-99 Concentration During Calendar Year 11,885.....	5-490
Figure 5-450.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-C, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Chromium Concentration During Calendar Year 3890.....	5-491
Figure 5-451.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-C, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Chromium Concentration During Calendar Year 7140.....	5-492
Figure 5-452.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-C, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Chromium Concentration During Calendar Year 11,885.....	5-493
Figure 5-453.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-C, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Nitrate Concentration During Calendar Year 3890.....	5-494
Figure 5-454.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-C, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Nitrate Concentration During Calendar Year 7140.....	5-495
Figure 5-455.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-C, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Nitrate Concentration During Calendar Year 11,885.....	5-496

Figure 5-456.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-C, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Total Uranium Concentration During Calendar Year 11,885.....	5-497
Figure 5-457.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-D, Radiological Releases at 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility to Vadose Zone	5-499
Figure 5-458.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-D, Chemical Releases at 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility to Vadose Zone	5-500
Figure 5-459.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-D, Radiological Releases at 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility to Groundwater	5-501
Figure 5-460.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-D, Chemical Releases at 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility to Groundwater	5-501
Figure 5-461.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-D, Radiological Releases at 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility to Columbia River.....	5-502
Figure 5-462.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-D, Chemical Releases at 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility to Columbia River.....	5-503
Figure 5-463.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-D, Radiological Releases at River Protection Project Disposal Facility to Vadose Zone	5-503
Figure 5-464.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-D, Chemical Releases at River Protection Project Disposal Facility to Vadose Zone.....	5-504
Figure 5-465.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-D, Radiological Releases at River Protection Project Disposal Facility to Groundwater	5-504
Figure 5-466.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-D, Chemical Releases at River Protection Project Disposal Facility to Groundwater.....	5-505
Figure 5-467.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-D, Radiological Releases at River Protection Project Disposal Facility to Columbia River.....	5-505
Figure 5-468.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-D, Chemical Releases at River Protection Project Disposal Facility to Columbia River	5-506
Figure 5-469.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-D, Technetium-99 Concentration Versus Time.....	5-507
Figure 5-470.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-D, Iodine-129 Concentration Versus Time.....	5-508
Figure 5-471.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-D, Chromium Concentration Versus Time.....	5-509
Figure 5-472.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-D, Nitrate Concentration Versus Time	5-510
Figure 5-473.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-D, Total Uranium Concentration Versus Time	5-511
Figure 5-474.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-D, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Iodine-129 Concentration During Calendar Year 3890.....	5-513
Figure 5-475.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-D, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Iodine-129 Concentration During Calendar Year 7140.....	5-514

List of Figures

Figure 5-476.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-D, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Iodine-129 Concentration During Calendar Year 11,885.....	5-515
Figure 5-477.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-D, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Technetium-99 Concentration During Calendar Year 3890.....	5-516
Figure 5-478.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-D, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Technetium-99 Concentration During Calendar Year 7140.....	5-517
Figure 5-479.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-D, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Technetium-99 Concentration During Calendar Year 11,885.....	5-518
Figure 5-480.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-D, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Chromium Concentration During Calendar Year 3890.....	5-519
Figure 5-481.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-D, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Chromium Concentration During Calendar Year 7140.....	5-520
Figure 5-482.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-D, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Chromium Concentration During Calendar Year 11,885.....	5-521
Figure 5-483.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-D, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Nitrate Concentration During Calendar Year 3890.....	5-522
Figure 5-484.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-D, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Nitrate Concentration During Calendar Year 7140.....	5-523
Figure 5-485.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-D, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Nitrate Concentration During Calendar Year 11,885.....	5-524
Figure 5-486.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-D, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Total Uranium Concentration During Calendar Year 11,885.....	5-525
Figure 5-487.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-E, Radiological Release at 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility to Vadose Zone	5-527
Figure 5-488.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-E, Chemical Release at 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility to Vadose Zone.....	5-528
Figure 5-489.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-E, Radiological Release at 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility to Groundwater	5-529
Figure 5-490.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-E, Chemical Release at 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility to Groundwater.....	5-529
Figure 5-491.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-E, Radiological Release at 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility to Columbia River.....	5-530
Figure 5-492.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-E, Chemical Release at 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility to Columbia River	5-530
Figure 5-493.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-E, Radiological Release at River Protection Project Disposal Facility to Vadose Zone	5-531
Figure 5-494.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-E, Chemical Release at River Protection Project Disposal Facility to Vadose Zone	5-531

Figure 5–495.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-E, Radiological Release at River Protection Project Disposal Facility to Groundwater	5–532
Figure 5–496.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-E, Chemical Release at River Protection Project Disposal Facility to Groundwater	5–532
Figure 5–497.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-E, Radiological Release at River Protection Project Disposal Facility to Columbia River	5–533
Figure 5–498.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-E, Chemical Release at River Protection Project Disposal Facility to Columbia River	5–533
Figure 5–499.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-E, Iodine-129 Concentration Versus Time	5–534
Figure 5–500.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-E, Technetium-99 Concentration Versus Time	5–535
Figure 5–501.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-E, Chromium Concentration Versus Time	5–535
Figure 5–502.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-E, Nitrate Concentration Versus Time	5–536
Figure 5–503.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-E, Total Uranium Concentration Versus Time	5–536
Figure 5–504.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-E, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Iodine-129 Concentration During Calendar Year 3890.....	5–538
Figure 5–505.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-E, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Iodine-129 Concentration During Calendar Year 7140.....	5–539
Figure 5–506.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-E, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Iodine-129 Concentration During Calendar Year 11,885.....	5–540
Figure 5–507.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-E, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Technetium-99 Concentration During Calendar Year 3890.....	5–541
Figure 5–508.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-E, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Technetium-99 Concentration During Calendar Year 7140.....	5–542
Figure 5–509.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-E, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Technetium-99 Concentration During Calendar Year 11,885.....	5–543
Figure 5–510.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-E, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Chromium Concentration During Calendar Year 3890.....	5–544
Figure 5–511.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-E, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Chromium Concentration During Calendar Year 7140.....	5–545
Figure 5–512.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-E, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Chromium Concentration During Calendar Year 11,885.....	5–546
Figure 5–513.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-E, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Nitrate Concentration During Calendar Year 3890.....	5–547
Figure 5–514.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-E, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Nitrate Concentration During Calendar Year 7140.....	5–548

List of Figures

Figure 5–515.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-E, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Nitrate Concentration During Calendar Year 11,885.....	5–549
Figure 5–516.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-E, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Total Uranium Concentration During Calendar Year 11,885.....	5–550
Figure 5–517.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-F, Radiological Releases at 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility to Vadose Zone	5–552
Figure 5–518.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-F, Chemical Releases at 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility to Vadose Zone	5–553
Figure 5–519.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-F, Radiological Releases at 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility to Groundwater	5–554
Figure 5–520.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-F, Chemical Releases at 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility to Groundwater	5–554
Figure 5–521.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-F, Radiological Releases at 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility to Columbia River.....	5–555
Figure 5–522.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-F, Chemical Releases at 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility to Columbia River.....	5–556
Figure 5–523.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-F, Iodine-129 Concentration Versus Time	5–558
Figure 5–524.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-F, Technetium-99 Concentration Versus Time.....	5–558
Figure 5–525.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-F, Chromium Concentration Versus Time	5–559
Figure 5–526.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-F, Nitrate Concentration Versus Time	5–559
Figure 5–527.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-F, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Iodine-129 Concentration During Calendar Year 7140.....	5–561
Figure 5–528.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-F, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Iodine-129 Concentration During Calendar Year 11,885.....	5–562
Figure 5–529.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-F, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Technetium-99 Concentration During Calendar Year 7140.....	5–563
Figure 5–530.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-F, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Technetium-99 Concentration During Calendar Year 11,885.....	5–564
Figure 5–531.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-F, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Chromium Concentration During Calendar Year 7140.....	5–565
Figure 5–532.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-F, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Chromium Concentration During Calendar Year 11,885.....	5–566
Figure 5–533.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-F, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Nitrate Concentration During Calendar Year 7140.....	5–567

Figure 5–534.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-F, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Nitrate Concentration During Calendar Year 11,885.....	5–568
Figure 5–535.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-G, Radiological Releases at 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility to Vadose Zone	5–571
Figure 5–536.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-G, Chemical Releases at 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility to Vadose Zone	5–571
Figure 5–537.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-G, Radiological Releases at 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility to Groundwater	5–572
Figure 5–538.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-G, Chemical Releases at 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility to Groundwater	5–572
Figure 5–539.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-G, Radiological Releases at 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility to Columbia River.....	5–573
Figure 5–540.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-G, Chemical Releases at 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility to Columbia River.....	5–573
Figure 5–541.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-G, Radiological Releases to River Protection Project Disposal Facility to Vadose Zone	5–574
Figure 5–542.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-G, Chemical Releases to River Protection Project Disposal Facility to Vadose Zone	5–575
Figure 5–543.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-G, Radiological Releases to River Protection Project Disposal Facility to Groundwater	5–575
Figure 5–544.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-G, Chemical Releases to River Protection Project Disposal Facility to Groundwater.....	5–576
Figure 5–545.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-G, Radiological Releases to River Protection Project Disposal Facility to Columbia River.....	5–576
Figure 5–546.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-G, Chemical Releases to River Protection Project Disposal Facility to Columbia River	5–577
Figure 5–547.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-G, Iodine-129 Concentration Versus Time	5–578
Figure 5–548.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-G, Technetium-99 Concentration Versus Time	5–579
Figure 5–549.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-G, Chromium Concentration Versus Time	5–579
Figure 5–550.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-G, Nitrate Concentration Versus Time	5–580
Figure 5–551.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-G, Total Uranium Concentration Versus Time	5–580
Figure 5–552.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-G, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Iodine-129 Concentration During Calendar Year 3890.....	5–582
Figure 5–553.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-G, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Iodine-129 Concentration During Calendar Year 7140.....	5–583

List of Figures

Figure 5–554.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-G, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Iodine-129 Concentration During Calendar Year 11,885.....	5–584
Figure 5–555.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-G, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Technetium-99 Concentration During Calendar Year 3890.....	5–585
Figure 5–556.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-G, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Technetium-99 Concentration During Calendar Year 7140.....	5–586
Figure 5–557.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-G, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Technetium-99 Concentration During Calendar Year 11,885.....	5–587
Figure 5–558.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-G, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Chromium Concentration During Calendar Year 3890.....	5–588
Figure 5–559.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-G, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Chromium Concentration During Calendar Year 7140.....	5–589
Figure 5–560.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-G, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Chromium Concentration During Calendar Year 11,885.....	5–590
Figure 5–561.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-G, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Nitrate Concentration During Calendar Year 3890.....	5–591
Figure 5–562.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-G, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Nitrate Concentration During Calendar Year 7140.....	5–592
Figure 5–563.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-G, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Nitrate Concentration During Calendar Year 11,885.....	5–593
Figure 5–564.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-G, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Total Uranium Concentration During Calendar Year 11,885.....	5–594
Figure 5–565.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-A, Radiological Releases at 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility to Vadose Zone	5–597
Figure 5–566.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-A, Chemical Releases at 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility to Vadose Zone	5–597
Figure 5–567.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-A, Radiological Releases at 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility to Groundwater	5–598
Figure 5–568.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-A, Chemical Releases at 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility to Groundwater	5–598
Figure 5–569.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-A, Radiological Releases at 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility to Columbia River.....	5–599
Figure 5–570.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-A, Chemical Releases at 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility to Columbia River.....	5–599
Figure 5–571.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-A, Iodine-129 Concentration Versus Time.....	5–601
Figure 5–572.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-A, Technetium-99 Concentration Versus Time.....	5–601

Figure 5-573.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-A, Chromium Concentration Versus Time	5-602
Figure 5-574.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-A, Nitrate Concentration Versus Time	5-602
Figure 5-575.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-A, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Iodine-129 Concentration During Calendar Year 7140.....	5-604
Figure 5-576.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-A, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Iodine-129 Concentration During Calendar Year 11,885.....	5-605
Figure 5-577.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-A, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Technetium-99 Concentration During Calendar Year 7140.....	5-606
Figure 5-578.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-A, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Technetium-99 Concentration During Calendar Year 11,885.....	5-607
Figure 5-579.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-A, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Chromium Concentration During Calendar Year 7140.....	5-608
Figure 5-580.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-A, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Chromium Concentration During Calendar Year 11,885.....	5-609
Figure 5-581.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-A, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Nitrate Concentration During Calendar Year 7140.....	5-610
Figure 5-582.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-A, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Nitrate Concentration During Calendar Year 11,885.....	5-611
Figure 5-583.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-B, Base Case, Radiological Releases at 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility to Vadose Zone	5-614
Figure 5-584.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-B, Base Case, Chemical Releases at 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility to Vadose Zone	5-614
Figure 5-585.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-B, Base Case, Radiological Releases at 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility to Groundwater	5-615
Figure 5-586.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-B, Base Case, Chemical Releases at 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility to Groundwater	5-615
Figure 5-587.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-B, Base Case, Radiological Releases at 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility to Columbia River.....	5-616
Figure 5-588.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-B, Base Case, Chemical Releases at 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility to Columbia River.....	5-616
Figure 5-589.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-B, Base Case, Radiological Releases at River Protection Project Disposal Facility to Vadose Zone	5-617
Figure 5-590.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-B, Base Case, Chemical Releases at River Protection Project Disposal Facility to Vadose Zone	5-618

List of Figures

Figure 5–591.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-B, Base Case, Radiological Releases at River Protection Project Disposal Facility to Groundwater	5–618
Figure 5–592.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-B, Base Case, Chemical Releases at River Protection Project Disposal Facility to Groundwater	5–619
Figure 5–593.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-B, Base Case, Radiological Releases at River Protection Project Disposal Facility to Columbia River	5–619
Figure 5–594.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-B, Base Case, Chemical Releases at River Protection Project Disposal Facility to Columbia River	5–620
Figure 5–595.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-B, Base Case, Technetium-99 Concentration Versus Time	5–622
Figure 5–596.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-B, Base Case, Iodine-129 Concentration Versus Time	5–622
Figure 5–597.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-B, Base Case, Nitrate Concentration Versus Time	5–623
Figure 5–598.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-B, Base Case, Chromium Concentration Versus Time	5–623
Figure 5–599.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-B, Base Case, Total Uranium Concentration Versus Time	5–624
Figure 5–600.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-B, Base Case, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Technetium-99 Concentration During Calendar Year 3890	5–626
Figure 5–601.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-B, Base Case, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Technetium-99 Concentration During Calendar Year 7140	5–627
Figure 5–602.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-B, Base Case, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Technetium-99 Concentration During Calendar Year 11,885	5–628
Figure 5–603.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-B, Base Case, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Iodine-129 Concentration During Calendar Year 3890	5–629
Figure 5–604.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-B, Base Case, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Iodine-129 Concentration During Calendar Year 7140	5–630
Figure 5–605.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-B, Base Case, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Iodine-129 Concentration During Calendar Year 11,885	5–631
Figure 5–606.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-B, Base Case, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Chromium Concentration During Calendar Year 3890	5–632
Figure 5–607.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-B, Base Case, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Chromium Concentration During Calendar Year 7140	5–633
Figure 5–608.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-B, Base Case, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Chromium Concentration During Calendar Year 11,885	5–634

Figure 5–609.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-B, Base Case, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Nitrate Concentration During Calendar Year 3890	5–635
Figure 5–610.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-B, Base Case, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Nitrate Concentration During Calendar Year 7140	5–636
Figure 5–611.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-B, Base Case, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Nitrate Concentration During Calendar Year 11,885	5–637
Figure 5–612.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-B, Base Case, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Total Uranium Concentration During Calendar Year 11,885	5–638
Figure 5–613.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-B, Option Case, Radiological Releases at 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility to Vadose Zone	5–641
Figure 5–614.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-B, Option Case, Chemical Releases at 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility to Vadose Zone	5–641
Figure 5–615.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-B, Option Case, Radiological Releases at 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility to Groundwater	5–642
Figure 5–616.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-B, Option Case, Chemical Releases at 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility to Groundwater	5–642
Figure 5–617.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-B, Option Case, Radiological Releases at 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility to Columbia River	5–643
Figure 5–618.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-B, Option Case, Chemical Releases at 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility to Columbia River	5–643
Figure 5–619.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-B, Option Case, Radiological Releases at River Protection Project Disposal Facility to Vadose Zone	5–644
Figure 5–620.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-B, Option Case, Chemical Releases at River Protection Project Disposal Facility to Vadose Zone	5–645
Figure 5–621.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-B, Option Case, Radiological Releases at River Protection Project Disposal Facility to Groundwater	5–645
Figure 5–622.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-B, Option Case, Chemical Releases at River Protection Project Disposal Facility to Groundwater	5–646
Figure 5–623.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-B, Option Case, Radiological Releases at River Protection Project Disposal Facility to Columbia River	5–646
Figure 5–624.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-B, Option Case, Chemical Releases at River Protection Project Disposal Facility to Columbia River	5–647
Figure 5–625.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-B, Option Case, Technetium-99 Concentration Versus Time	5–649

List of Figures

Figure 5–626.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-B, Option Case, Iodine-129 Concentration Versus Time	5–649
Figure 5–627.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-B, Option Case, Nitrate Concentration Versus Time	5–650
Figure 5–628.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-B, Option Case, Chromium Concentration Versus Time	5–650
Figure 5–629.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-B, Option Case, Total Uranium Concentration Versus Time	5–651
Figure 5–630.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-B, Option Case, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Technetium-99 Concentration During Calendar Year 3890	5–653
Figure 5–631.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-B, Option Case, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Technetium-99 Concentration During Calendar Year 7140	5–654
Figure 5–632.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-B, Option Case, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Technetium-99 Concentration During Calendar Year 11,885	5–655
Figure 5–633.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-B, Option Case, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Iodine-129 Concentration During Calendar Year 3890	5–656
Figure 5–634.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-B, Option Case, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Iodine-129 Concentration During Calendar Year 7140	5–657
Figure 5–635.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-B, Option Case, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Iodine-129 Concentration During Calendar Year 11,885	5–658
Figure 5–636.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-B, Option Case, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Nitrate Concentration During Calendar Year 3890	5–659
Figure 5–637.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-B, Option Case, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Nitrate Concentration During Calendar Year 7140	5–660
Figure 5–638.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-B, Option Case, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Nitrate Concentration During Calendar Year 11,885	5–661
Figure 5–639.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-B, Option Case, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Chromium Concentration During Calendar Year 3890	5–662
Figure 5–640.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-B, Option Case, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Chromium Concentration During Calendar Year 7140	5–663
Figure 5–641.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-B, Option Case, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Chromium Concentration During Calendar Year 11,885	5–664
Figure 5–642.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-B, Option Case, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Total Uranium Concentration During Calendar Year 11,885	5–665
Figure 5–643.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 3, Base Case, Radiological Releases at 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility to Vadose Zone	5–668
Figure 5–644.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 3, Base Case, Chemical Releases at 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility to Vadose Zone	5–668

Figure 5–645.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 3, Option Case, Radiological Releases at 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility to Vadose Zone	5–669
Figure 5–646.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 3, Option Case, Chemical Releases at 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility to Vadose Zone	5–669
Figure 5–647.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 3, Base Case, Radiological Releases at 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility to Groundwater	5–670
Figure 5–648.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 3, Base Case, Chemical Releases at 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility to Groundwater	5–671
Figure 5–649.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 3, Option Case, Radiological Releases at 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility to Groundwater	5–671
Figure 5–650.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 3, Option Case, Chemical Releases at 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility to Groundwater	5–672
Figure 5–651.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 3, Base Case, Radiological Releases at 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility to Columbia River.....	5–673
Figure 5–652.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 3, Base Case, Chemical Releases at 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility to Columbia River.....	5–673
Figure 5–653.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 3, Option Case, Radiological Releases at 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility to Columbia River.....	5–674
Figure 5–654.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 3, Option Case, Chemical Releases at 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility to Columbia River.....	5–674
Figure 5–655.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 3, Base Case, Radiological Releases at River Protection Project Disposal Facility to Vadose Zone.....	5–675
Figure 5–656.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 3, Base Case, Chemical Releases at River Protection Project Disposal Facility to Vadose Zone.....	5–675
Figure 5–657.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 3, Option Case, Radiological Releases at River Protection Project Disposal Facility to Vadose Zone	5–676
Figure 5–658.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 3, Option Case, Chemical Releases at River Protection Project Disposal Facility to Vadose Zone.....	5–676
Figure 5–659.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 3, Base Case, Radiological Releases at River Protection Project Disposal Facility to Groundwater.....	5–677
Figure 5–660.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 3, Base Case, Chemical Releases at River Protection Project Disposal Facility to Groundwater.....	5–677
Figure 5–661.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 3, Option Case, Radiological Releases at River Protection Project Disposal Facility to Groundwater	5–678
Figure 5–662.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 3, Option Case, Chemical Releases at River Protection Project Disposal Facility to Groundwater.....	5–678
Figure 5–663.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 3, Base Case, Radiological Releases at River Protection Project Disposal Facility to Columbia River	5–679
Figure 5–664.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 3, Base Case, Chemical Releases at River Protection Project Disposal Facility to Columbia River	5–679
Figure 5–665.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 3, Option Case, Radiological Releases at River Protection Project Disposal Facility to Columbia River.....	5–680
Figure 5–666.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 3, Option Case, Chemical Releases at River Protection Project Disposal Facility to Columbia River	5–680

List of Figures

Figure 5–667.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 3, Base Case, Technetium-99 Concentration Versus Time	5–682
Figure 5–668.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 3, Option Case, Technetium-99 Concentration Versus Time	5–683
Figure 5–669.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 3, Base Case, Iodine-129 Concentration Versus Time	5–684
Figure 5–670.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 3, Option Case, Iodine-129 Concentration Versus Time	5–685
Figure 5–671.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 3, Base Case, Chromium Concentration Versus Time	5–686
Figure 5–672.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 3, Option Case, Chromium Concentration Versus Time	5–687
Figure 5–673.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 3, Base Case, Nitrate Concentration Versus Time	5–688
Figure 5–674.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 3, Option Case, Nitrate Concentration Versus Time	5–689
Figure 5–675.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 3, Base Case, Total Uranium Concentration Versus Time	5–690
Figure 5–676.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 3, Option Case, Total Uranium Concentration Versus Time	5–691
Figure 5–677.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 3, Base Case, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Technetium-99 Concentration During Calendar Year 3890.....	5–692
Figure 5–678.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 3, Base Case, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Technetium-99 Concentration During Calendar Year 7140.....	5–693
Figure 5–679.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 3, Base Case, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Technetium-99 Concentration During Calendar Year 11,885.....	5–694
Figure 5–680.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 3, Base Case, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Iodine-129 Concentration During Calendar Year 3890.....	5–695
Figure 5–681.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 3, Base Case, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Iodine-129 Concentration During Calendar Year 7140.....	5–696
Figure 5–682.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 3, Base Case, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Iodine-129 Concentration During Calendar Year 11,885.....	5–697
Figure 5–683.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 3, Base Case, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Chromium Concentration During Calendar Year 3890.....	5–698
Figure 5–684.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 3, Base Case, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Chromium Concentration During Calendar Year 7140.....	5–699
Figure 5–685.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 3, Base Case, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Chromium Concentration During Calendar Year 11,885.....	5–700
Figure 5–686.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 3, Base Case, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Nitrate Concentration During Calendar Year 3890.....	5–701
Figure 5–687.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 3, Base Case, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Nitrate Concentration During Calendar Year 7140.....	5–702

Figure 5–688.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 3, Base Case, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Nitrate Concentration During Calendar Year 11,885.....	5–703
Figure 5–689.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 3, Option Case, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Technetium-99 Concentration During Calendar Year 3890.....	5–704
Figure 5–690.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 3, Option Case, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Technetium-99 Concentration During Calendar Year 7140.....	5–705
Figure 5–691.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 3, Option Case, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Technetium-99 Concentration During Calendar Year 11,885.....	5–706
Figure 5–692.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 3, Option Case, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Iodine-129 Concentration During Calendar Year 3890.....	5–707
Figure 5–693.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 3, Option Case, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Iodine-129 Concentration During Calendar Year 7140.....	5–708
Figure 5–694.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 3, Option Case, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Iodine-129 Concentration During Calendar Year 11,885.....	5–709
Figure 5–695.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 3, Option Case, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Chromium Concentration During Calendar Year 3890.....	5–710
Figure 5–696.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 3, Option Case, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Chromium Concentration During Calendar Year 7140.....	5–711
Figure 5–697.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 3, Option Case, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Chromium Concentration During Calendar Year 11,885.....	5–712
Figure 5–698.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 3, Option Case, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Nitrate Concentration During Calendar Year 3890.....	5–713
Figure 5–699.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 3, Option Case, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Nitrate Concentration During Calendar Year 7140.....	5–714
Figure 5–700.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 3, Option Case, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Nitrate Concentration During Calendar Year 11,885.....	5–715
Figure 5–701.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 3, Base Case, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Total Uranium Concentration During Calendar Year 11,885.....	5–716
Figure 5–702.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 3, Option Case, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Total Uranium Concentration During Calendar Year 11,885.....	5–717
Figure 5–703.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-A, Radiological Releases at 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility to Vadose Zone	5–721
Figure 5–704.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-A, Chemical Releases at 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility to Vadose Zone	5–721
Figure 5–705.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-A, Radiological Releases at 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility to Groundwater	5–722

List of Figures

Figure 5–706.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-A, Chemical Releases at 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility to Groundwater	5–722
Figure 5–707.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-A, Radiological Releases at 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility to Columbia River	5–723
Figure 5–708.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-A, Chemical Releases at 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility to Columbia River	5–723
Figure 5–709.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-A, Radiological Releases at 200-West Area Integrated Disposal Facility to Vadose Zone	5–724
Figure 5–710.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-A, Chemical Releases at 200-West Area Integrated Disposal Facility to Vadose Zone	5–724
Figure 5–711.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-A, Radiological Releases at 200-West Area Integrated Disposal Facility to Groundwater	5–725
Figure 5–712.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-A, Chemical Releases at 200-West Area Integrated Disposal Facility to Groundwater	5–725
Figure 5–713.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-A, Radiological Releases at 200-West Area Integrated Disposal Facility to Columbia River	5–726
Figure 5–714.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-A, Chemical Releases at 200-West Area Integrated Disposal Facility to Columbia River	5–726
Figure 5–715.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-A, Radiological Releases at River Protection Project Disposal Facility to Vadose Zone	5–727
Figure 5–716.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-A, Chemical Releases at River Protection Project Disposal Facility to Vadose Zone	5–727
Figure 5–717.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-A, Radiological Releases at River Protection Project Disposal Facility to Groundwater	5–728
Figure 5–718.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-A, Chemical Releases at River Protection Project Disposal Facility to Groundwater	5–728
Figure 5–719.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-A, Radiological Releases at River Protection Project Disposal Facility to Columbia River	5–729
Figure 5–720.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-A, Chemical Releases at River Protection Project Disposal Facility to Columbia River	5–729
Figure 5–721.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-A, Iodine-129 Concentration Versus Time	5–731
Figure 5–722.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-A, Technetium-99 Concentration Versus Time	5–732
Figure 5–723.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-A, Chromium Concentration Versus Time	5–733
Figure 5–724.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-A, Nitrate Concentration Versus Time	5–733
Figure 5–725.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-A, Uranium-238 Concentration Versus Time	5–734
Figure 5–726.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-A, Total Uranium Concentration Versus Time	5–735

Figure 5-727.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-A, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Iodine-129 Concentration During Calendar Year 3890.....	5-736
Figure 5-728.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-A, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Iodine-129 Concentration During Calendar Year 7140.....	5-737
Figure 5-729.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-A, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Iodine-129 Concentration During Calendar Year 11,885.....	5-738
Figure 5-730.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-A, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Technetium-99 Concentration During Calendar Year 3890.....	5-739
Figure 5-731.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-A, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Technetium-99 Concentration During Calendar Year 7140.....	5-740
Figure 5-732.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-A, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Technetium-99 Concentration During Calendar Year 11,885.....	5-741
Figure 5-733.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-A, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Chromium Concentration During Calendar Year 3890.....	5-742
Figure 5-734.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-A, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Chromium Concentration During Calendar Year 7140.....	5-743
Figure 5-735.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-A, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Chromium Concentration During Calendar Year 11,885.....	5-744
Figure 5-736.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-A, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Nitrate Concentration During Calendar Year 3890.....	5-745
Figure 5-737.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-A, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Nitrate Concentration During Calendar Year 7140.....	5-746
Figure 5-738.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-A, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Nitrate Concentration During Calendar Year 11,885.....	5-747
Figure 5-739.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-A, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Uranium-238 Concentration During Calendar Year 11,885.....	5-748
Figure 5-740.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-A, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Total Uranium Concentration During Calendar Year 11,885.....	5-749
Figure 5-741.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-B, Radiological Releases at 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility to Vadose Zone	5-751
Figure 5-742.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-B, Chemical Releases at 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility to Vadose Zone	5-752
Figure 5-743.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-B, Radiological Releases at 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility to Groundwater	5-752
Figure 5-744.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-B, Chemical Releases at 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility to Groundwater	5-753

List of Figures

Figure 5–745.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-B, Radiological Releases at 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility to Columbia River	5–753
Figure 5–746.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-B, Chemical Releases at 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility to Columbia River	5–754
Figure 5–747.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-B, Radiological Releases at 200-West Area Integrated Disposal Facility to Vadose Zone	5–755
Figure 5–748.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-B, Chemical Releases at 200-West Area Integrated Disposal Facility to Vadose Zone	5–755
Figure 5–749.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-B, Radiological Releases at 200-West Area Integrated Disposal Facility to Groundwater	5–756
Figure 5–750.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-B, Chemical Releases at 200-West Area Integrated Disposal Facility to Groundwater	5–756
Figure 5–751.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-B, Radiological Releases at 200-West Area Integrated Disposal Facility to Columbia River	5–757
Figure 5–752.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-B, Chemical Releases at 200-West Area Integrated Disposal Facility to Columbia River	5–757
Figure 5–753.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-B, Radiological Releases at River Protection Project Disposal Facility to Vadose Zone	5–758
Figure 5–754.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-B, Chemical Releases at River Protection Project Disposal Facility to Vadose Zone	5–758
Figure 5–755.	Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-B, Radiological Releases at River Protection Project Disposal Facility to Groundwater	5–759
Figure 5–756.	Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-B, Chemical Releases at River Protection Project Disposal Facility to Groundwater	5–759
Figure 5–757.	Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-B, Radiological Releases at River Protection Project Disposal Facility to Columbia River	5–760
Figure 5–758.	Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-B, Chemical Releases at River Protection Project Disposal Facility to Columbia River	5–760
Figure 5–759.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-B, Iodine-129 Concentration Versus Time	5–762
Figure 5–760.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-B, Technetium-99 Concentration Versus Time	5–762
Figure 5–761.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-B, Chromium Concentration Versus Time	5–763
Figure 5–762.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-B, Nitrate Concentration Versus Time	5–763
Figure 5–763.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-B, Uranium-238 Concentration Versus Time	5–764
Figure 5–764.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-B, Total Uranium Concentration Versus Time	5–765
Figure 5–765.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-B, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Iodine-129 Concentration During Calendar Year 3890	5–766
Figure 5–766.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-B, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Iodine-129 Concentration During Calendar Year 7140	5–767

Figure 5-767.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-B, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Iodine-129 Concentration During Calendar Year 11,885.....	5-768
Figure 5-768.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-B, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Technetium-99 Concentration During Calendar Year 3890.....	5-769
Figure 5-769.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-B, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Technetium-99 Concentration During Calendar Year 7140.....	5-770
Figure 5-770.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-B, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Technetium-99 Concentration During Calendar Year 11,885.....	5-771
Figure 5-771.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-B, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Chromium Concentration During Calendar Year 3890.....	5-772
Figure 5-772.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-B, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Chromium Concentration During Calendar Year 7140.....	5-773
Figure 5-773.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-B, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Chromium Concentration During Calendar Year 11,885.....	5-774
Figure 5-774.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-B, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Nitrate Concentration During Calendar Year 3890.....	5-775
Figure 5-775.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-B, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Nitrate Concentration During Calendar Year 7140.....	5-776
Figure 5-776.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-B, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Nitrate Concentration During Calendar Year 11,885.....	5-777
Figure 5-777.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-B, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Uranium-238 Concentration During Calendar Year 11,885.....	5-778
Figure 5-778.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-B, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Total Uranium Concentration During Calendar Year 11,885.....	5-779
Figure 5-779.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-C, Radiological Releases at 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Area to Vadose Zone	5-781
Figure 5-780.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-C, Chemical Releases at 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Area to Vadose Zone.....	5-782
Figure 5-781.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-C, Radiological Releases at 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Area to Groundwater	5-783
Figure 5-782.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-C, Chemical Releases at 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Area to Groundwater.....	5-783
Figure 5-783.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-C, Radiological Releases at 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Area to Columbia River.....	5-784
Figure 5-784.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-C, Chemical Releases at 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Area to Columbia River	5-784

List of Figures

Figure 5–785.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-C, Radiological Releases at 200-West Area Integrated Disposal Area to Vadose Zone	5–785
Figure 5–786.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-C, Chemical Releases at 200-West Area Integrated Disposal Area to Vadose Zone	5–785
Figure 5–787.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-C, Radiological Releases at 200-West Area Integrated Disposal Area to Groundwater	5–786
Figure 5–788.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-C, Chemical Releases at 200-West Area Integrated Disposal Area to Groundwater	5–786
Figure 5–789.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-C, Radiological Releases at 200-West Area Integrated Disposal Area to Columbia River	5–787
Figure 5–790.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-C, Chemical Releases at 200-West Area Integrated Disposal Area to Columbia River	5–787
Figure 5–791.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-C, Radiological Releases at River Protection Project Disposal Area to Vadose Zone	5–788
Figure 5–792.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-C, Chemical Releases at River Protection Project Disposal Area to Vadose Zone	5–788
Figure 5–793.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-C, Radiological Releases at River Protection Project Disposal Area to Groundwater	5–789
Figure 5–794.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-C, Chemical Releases at River Protection Project Disposal Area to Groundwater	5–789
Figure 5–795.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-C, Radiological Releases at River Protection Project Disposal Area to Columbia River	5–790
Figure 5–796.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-C, Chemical Releases at River Protection Project Disposal Area to Columbia River	5–790
Figure 5–797.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-C, Iodine-129 Concentration Versus Time	5–792
Figure 5–798.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-C, Technetium-99 Concentration Versus Time	5–793
Figure 5–799.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-C, Chromium Concentration Versus Time	5–793
Figure 5–800.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-C, Nitrate Concentration Versus Time	5–794
Figure 5–801.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-C, Uranium-238 Concentration Versus Time	5–795
Figure 5–802.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-C, Total Uranium Concentration Versus Time	5–795
Figure 5–803.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-C, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Iodine-129 Concentration During Calendar Year 3890	5–797
Figure 5–804.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-C, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Iodine-129 Concentration During Calendar Year 7140	5–798
Figure 5–805.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-C, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Iodine-129 Concentration During Calendar Year 11,885	5–799

Figure 5–806.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-C, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Technetium-99 Concentration During Calendar Year 3890.....	5–800
Figure 5–807.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-C, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Technetium-99 Concentration During Calendar Year 7140.....	5–801
Figure 5–808.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-C, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Technetium-99 Concentration During Calendar Year 11,885.....	5–802
Figure 5–809.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-C, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Chromium Concentration During Calendar Year 3890.....	5–803
Figure 5–810.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-C, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Chromium Concentration During Calendar Year 7140.....	5–804
Figure 5–811.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-C, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Chromium Concentration During Calendar Year 11,885.....	5–805
Figure 5–812.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-C, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Nitrate Concentration During Calendar Year 3890.....	5–806
Figure 5–813.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-C, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Nitrate Concentration During Calendar Year 7140.....	5–807
Figure 5–814.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-C, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Nitrate Concentration During Calendar Year 11,885.....	5–808
Figure 5–815.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-C, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Uranium-238 Concentration During Calendar Year 11,885.....	5–809
Figure 5–816.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-C, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Total Uranium Concentration During Calendar Year 11,885.....	5–810
Figure 5–817.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-D, Radiological Releases at 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility to Vadose Zone	5–812
Figure 5–818.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-D, Chemical Releases at 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility to Vadose Zone	5–813
Figure 5–819.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-D, Radiological Releases at 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility to Groundwater	5–813
Figure 5–820.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-D, Chemical Releases at 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility to Groundwater	5–814
Figure 5–821.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-D, Radiological Releases at 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility to Columbia River.....	5–814
Figure 5–822.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-D, Chemical Releases at 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility to Columbia River.....	5–815
Figure 5–823.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-D, Radiological Releases at 200-West Area Integrated Disposal Facility to Vadose Zone	5–816
Figure 5–824.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-D, Chemical Releases at 200-West Area Integrated Disposal Facility to Vadose Zone.....	5–816

List of Figures

Figure 5–825.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-D, Radiological Releases at 200-West Area Integrated Disposal Facility to Groundwater	5–817
Figure 5–826.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-D, Chemical Releases at 200-West Area Integrated Disposal Facility to Groundwater	5–817
Figure 5–827.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-D, Radiological Releases at 200-West Area Integrated Disposal Facility to Columbia River	5–818
Figure 5–828.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-D, Chemical Releases at 200-West Area Integrated Disposal Facility to Columbia River	5–818
Figure 5–829.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-D, Radiological Releases at River Protection Project Disposal Facility to Vadose Zone	5–819
Figure 5–830.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-D, Chemical Releases at River Protection Project Disposal Facility to Vadose Zone	5–819
Figure 5–831.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-D, Radiological Releases at River Protection Project Disposal Facility to Groundwater	5–820
Figure 5–832.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-D, Chemical Releases at River Protection Project Disposal Facility to Groundwater	5–820
Figure 5–833.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-D, Radiological Releases at River Protection Project Disposal Facility to Columbia River	5–821
Figure 5–834.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-D, Chemical Releases at River Protection Project Disposal Facility to Columbia River	5–821
Figure 5–835.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-D, Technetium-99 Concentration Versus Time	5–823
Figure 5–836.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-D, Iodine-129 Concentration Versus Time	5–824
Figure 5–837.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-D, Chromium Concentration Versus Time	5–825
Figure 5–838.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-D, Nitrate Concentration Versus Time	5–826
Figure 5–839.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-D, Uranium-238 Concentration Versus Time	5–827
Figure 5–840.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-D, Total Uranium Concentration Versus Time	5–828
Figure 5–841.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-D, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Technetium-99 Concentration During the Calendar Year 3890	5–829
Figure 5–842.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-D, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Technetium-99 Concentration During the Calendar Year 7140	5–830
Figure 5–843.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-D, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Technetium-99 Concentration During the Calendar Year 11,885	5–831
Figure 5–844.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-D, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Iodine-129 Concentration During the Calendar Year 3890	5–832

Figure 5–845.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-D, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Iodine-129 Concentration During the Calendar Year 7140.....	5–833
Figure 5–846.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-D, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Iodine-129 Concentration During the Calendar Year 11,885.....	5–834
Figure 5–847.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-D, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Nitrate Concentration During the Calendar Year 3890.....	5–835
Figure 5–848.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-D, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Nitrate Concentration During the Calendar Year 7140.....	5–836
Figure 5–849.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-D, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Nitrate Concentration During the Calendar Year 11,885.....	5–837
Figure 5–850.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-D, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Chromium Concentration During the Calendar Year 3890.....	5–838
Figure 5–851.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-D, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Chromium Concentration During the Calendar Year 7140.....	5–839
Figure 5–852.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-D, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Chromium Concentration During the Calendar Year 11,885.....	5–840
Figure 5–853.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-D, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Uranium-238 Concentration During the Calendar Year 11,885.....	5–841
Figure 5–854.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-D, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Total Uranium Concentration During the Calendar Year 11,885	5–842
Figure 5–855.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-E, Radiological Releases at 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility to Vadose Zone	5–844
Figure 5–856.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-E, Chemical Releases at 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility to Vadose Zone	5–845
Figure 5–857.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-E, Radiological Releases at 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility to Groundwater	5–845
Figure 5–858.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-E, Chemical Releases at 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility to Groundwater	5–846
Figure 5–859.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-E, Radiological Releases at 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility to Columbia River.....	5–846
Figure 5–860.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-E, Chemical Releases at 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility to Columbia River.....	5–847
Figure 5–861.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-E, Radiological Releases at 200-West Area Integrated Disposal Facility to Vadose Zone	5–848
Figure 5–862.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-E, Chemical Releases at 200-West Area Integrated Disposal Facility to Vadose Zone.....	5–848

List of Figures

Figure 5–863.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-E, Radiological Releases at 200-West Area Integrated Disposal Facility to Groundwater	5–849
Figure 5–864.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-E, Chemical Releases at 200-West Area Integrated Disposal Facility to Groundwater	5–849
Figure 5–865.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-E, Radiological Releases at 200-West Area Integrated Disposal Facility to Columbia River	5–850
Figure 5–866.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-E, Chemical Releases at 200-West Area Integrated Disposal Facility to Columbia River	5–850
Figure 5–867.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-E, Radiological Releases at River Protection Project Disposal Facility to Vadose Zone	5–851
Figure 5–868.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-E, Chemical Releases at River Protection Project Disposal Facility to Vadose Zone.....	5–851
Figure 5–869.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-E, Radiological Releases at River Protection Project Disposal Facility to Groundwater	5–852
Figure 5–870.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-E, Chemical Releases at River Protection Project Disposal Facility to Groundwater.....	5–852
Figure 5–871.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-E, Radiological Releases at River Protection Project Disposal Facility to Columbia River.....	5–853
Figure 5–872.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-E, Chemical Releases at River Protection Project Disposal Facility to Columbia River	5–853
Figure 5–873.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-E, Technetium-99 Concentration Versus Time	5–855
Figure 5–874.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-E, Iodine-129 Concentration Versus Time.....	5–856
Figure 5–875.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-E, Chromium Concentration Versus Time	5–857
Figure 5–876.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-E, Nitrate Concentration Versus Time	5–857
Figure 5–877.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-E, Uranium-238 Concentration Versus Time.....	5–858
Figure 5–878.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-E, Total Uranium Concentration Versus Time	5–859
Figure 5–879.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-E, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Technetium-99 Concentration During Calendar Year 3890.....	5–860
Figure 5–880.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-E, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Technetium-99 Concentration During Calendar Year 7140.....	5–861
Figure 5–881.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-E, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Technetium-99 Concentration During Calendar Year 11,885.....	5–862
Figure 5–882.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-E, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Iodine-129 Concentration During Calendar Year 3890.....	5–863

Figure 5–883.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-E, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Iodine-129 Concentration During Calendar Year 7140.....	5–864
Figure 5–884.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-E, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Iodine-129 Concentration During Calendar Year 11,885.....	5–865
Figure 5–885.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-E, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Nitrate Concentration During Calendar Year 3890.....	5–866
Figure 5–886.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-E, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Nitrate Concentration During Calendar Year 7140.....	5–867
Figure 5–887.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-E, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Nitrate Concentration During Calendar Year 11,885.....	5–868
Figure 5–888.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-E, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Chromium Concentration During Calendar Year 3890.....	5–869
Figure 5–889.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-E, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Chromium Concentration During Calendar Year 7140.....	5–870
Figure 5–890.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-E, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Chromium Concentration During Calendar Year 11,885.....	5–871
Figure 5–891.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-E, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Uranium-238 Concentration During Calendar Year 11,885.....	5–872
Figure 5–892.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-E, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Total Uranium Concentration During Calendar Year 11,885.....	5–873
Figure 5–893.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-F, Radiological Releases at 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility to Vadose Zone	5–875
Figure 5–894.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-F, Chemical Releases at 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility to Vadose Zone	5–876
Figure 5–895.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-F, Radiological Releases at 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility to Groundwater	5–876
Figure 5–896.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-F, Chemical Releases at 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility to Groundwater	5–877
Figure 5–897.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-F, Radiological Releases at 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility to Columbia River.....	5–877
Figure 5–898.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-F, Chemical Releases at 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility to Columbia River.....	5–878
Figure 5–899.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-F, Radiological Releases at 200-West Area Integrated Disposal Facility to Vadose Zone	5–879
Figure 5–900.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-F, Chemical Releases at 200-West Area Integrated Disposal Facility to Vadose Zone.....	5–879
Figure 5–901.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-F, Radiological Releases at 200-West Area Integrated Disposal Facility to Groundwater	5–880

List of Figures

Figure 5–902.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-F, Chemical Releases at 200-West Area Integrated Disposal Facility to Groundwater	5–880
Figure 5–903.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-F, Radiological Releases at 200-West Area Integrated Disposal Facility to Columbia River	5–881
Figure 5–904.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-F, Chemical Releases at 200-West Area Integrated Disposal Facility to Columbia River	5–881
Figure 5–905.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-F, Technetium-99 Concentration Versus Time	5–883
Figure 5–906.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-F, Iodine-129 Concentration Versus Time	5–884
Figure 5–907.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-F, Chromium Concentration Versus Time	5–885
Figure 5–908.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-F, Nitrate Concentration Versus Time	5–886
Figure 5–909.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-F, Uranium-238 Concentration Versus Time	5–887
Figure 5–910.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-F, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Technetium-99 Concentration During Calendar Year 3890.....	5–889
Figure 5–911.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-F, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Technetium-99 Concentration During Calendar Year 7140.....	5–890
Figure 5–912.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-F, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Technetium-99 Concentration During Calendar Year 11,885.....	5–891
Figure 5–913.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-F, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Iodine-129 Concentration During Calendar Year 3890.....	5–892
Figure 5–914.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-F, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Iodine-129 Concentration During Calendar Year 7140.....	5–893
Figure 5–915.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-F, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Iodine-129 Concentration During Calendar Year 11,885.....	5–894
Figure 5–916.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-F, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Nitrate Concentration During Calendar Year 3890.....	5–895
Figure 5–917.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-F, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Nitrate Concentration During Calendar Year 7140.....	5–896
Figure 5–918.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-F, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Nitrate Concentration During Calendar Year 11,885.....	5–897
Figure 5–919.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-F, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Chromium Concentration During Calendar Year 3890.....	5–898
Figure 5–920.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-F, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Chromium Concentration During Calendar Year 7140.....	5–899
Figure 5–921.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-F, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Chromium Concentration During Calendar Year 11,885.....	5–900

Figure 5–922.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-F, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Uranium-238 Concentration During Calendar Year 11,885.....	5–901
Figure 5–923.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-G, Radiological Releases at 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility to Vadose Zone	5–903
Figure 5–924.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-G, Chemical Releases at 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility to Vadose Zone	5–904
Figure 5–925.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-G, Radiological Releases at 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility to Groundwater	5–904
Figure 5–926.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-G, Chemical Releases at 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility to Groundwater	5–905
Figure 5–927.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-G, Radiological Releases at 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility to Columbia River.....	5–905
Figure 5–928.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-G, Chemical Releases at 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility to Columbia River.....	5–906
Figure 5–929.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-G, Radiological Releases at 200-West Area Integrated Disposal Facility to Vadose Zone	5–906
Figure 5–930.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-G, Chemical Releases at 200-West Area Integrated Disposal Facility to Vadose Zone.....	5–907
Figure 5–931.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-G, Radiological Releases at 200-West Area Integrated Disposal Facility to Groundwater	5–907
Figure 5–932.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-G, Chemical Releases at 200-West Area Integrated Disposal Facility to Groundwater.....	5–908
Figure 5–933.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-G, Radiological Releases at 200-West Area Integrated Disposal Facility to Columbia River.....	5–908
Figure 5–934.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-G, Chemical Releases at 200-West Area Integrated Disposal Facility to Columbia River	5–909
Figure 5–935.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-G, Radiological Releases at River Protection Project Disposal Facility to Vadose Zone	5–909
Figure 5–936.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-G, Chemical Releases at River Protection Project Disposal Facility to Vadose Zone.....	5–910
Figure 5–937.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-G, Radiological Releases at River Protection Project Disposal Facility to Groundwater	5–910
Figure 5–938.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-G, Chemical Releases at River Protection Project Disposal Facility to Groundwater.....	5–911
Figure 5–939.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-G, Radiological Releases at River Protection Project Disposal Facility to Columbia River.....	5–911
Figure 5–940.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-G, Chemical Releases at River Protection Project Disposal Facility to Columbia River	5–912
Figure 5–941.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-G, Technetium-99 Concentration Versus Time	5–914

List of Figures

Figure 5-942.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-G, Iodine-129 Concentration Versus Time.....	5-915
Figure 5-943.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-G, Chromium Concentration Versus Time.....	5-916
Figure 5-944.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-G, Nitrate Concentration Versus Time.....	5-916
Figure 5-945.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-G, Uranium-238 Concentration Versus Time.....	5-917
Figure 5-946.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-G, Total Uranium Concentration Versus Time.....	5-918
Figure 5-947.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-G, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Iodine-129 Concentration During Calendar Year 3890.....	5-919
Figure 5-948.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-G, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Iodine-129 Concentration During Calendar Year 7140.....	5-920
Figure 5-949.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-G, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Iodine-129 Concentration During Calendar Year 11,885.....	5-921
Figure 5-950.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-G, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Technetium-99 Concentration During Calendar Year 3890.....	5-922
Figure 5-951.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-G, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Technetium-99 Concentration During Calendar Year 7140.....	5-923
Figure 5-952.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-G, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Technetium-99 Concentration During Calendar Year 11,885.....	5-924
Figure 5-953.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-G, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Nitrate Concentration During Calendar Year 3890.....	5-925
Figure 5-954.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-G, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Nitrate Concentration During Calendar Year 7140.....	5-926
Figure 5-955.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-G, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Nitrate Concentration During Calendar Year 11,885.....	5-927
Figure 5-956.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-G, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Chromium Concentration During Calendar Year 3890.....	5-928
Figure 5-957.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-G, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Chromium Concentration During Calendar Year 7140.....	5-929
Figure 5-958.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-G, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Chromium Concentration During Calendar Year 11,885.....	5-930
Figure 5-959.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-G, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Uranium-238 Concentration During Calendar Year 11,885.....	5-931
Figure 5-960.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-A, Radiological Releases at 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility to Vadose Zone.....	5-933

Figure 5-961.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-A, Chemical Releases at 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility to Vadose Zone	5-934
Figure 5-962.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-A, Radiological Releases at 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility to Groundwater	5-934
Figure 5-963.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-A, Chemical Releases at 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility to Groundwater	5-935
Figure 5-964.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-A, Radiological Releases at 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility to Columbia River	5-935
Figure 5-965.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-A, Chemical Releases at 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility to Columbia River	5-936
Figure 5-966.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-A, Radiological Releases at 200-West Area Integrated Disposal Facility to Vadose Zone	5-936
Figure 5-967.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-A, Chemical Releases at 200-West Area Integrated Disposal Facility to Vadose Zone	5-937
Figure 5-968.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-A, Radiological Releases at 200-West Area Integrated Disposal Facility to Groundwater	5-937
Figure 5-969.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-A, Chemical Releases at 200-West Area Integrated Disposal Facility to Groundwater	5-938
Figure 5-970.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-A, Radiological Releases at 200-West Area Integrated Disposal Facility to Columbia River	5-938
Figure 5-971.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-A, Chemical Releases at 200-West Area Integrated Disposal Facility to Columbia River	5-939
Figure 5-972.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-A, Iodine-129 Concentration Versus Time	5-940
Figure 5-973.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-A, Technetium-99 Concentration Versus Time	5-941
Figure 5-974.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-A, Chromium Concentration Versus Time	5-941
Figure 5-975.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-A, Nitrate Concentration Versus Time	5-942
Figure 5-976.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-A, Uranium-238 Concentration Versus Time	5-943
Figure 5-977.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-A, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Iodine-129 Concentration During Calendar Year 3890	5-944
Figure 5-978.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-A, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Iodine-129 Concentration During Calendar Year 7140	5-945
Figure 5-979.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-A, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Iodine-129 Concentration During Calendar Year 11,885	5-946
Figure 5-980.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-A, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Technetium-99 Concentration During Calendar Year 3890	5-947

List of Figures

Figure 5–981.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-A, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Technetium-99 Concentration During Calendar Year 7140.....	5–948
Figure 5–982.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-A, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Technetium-99 Concentration During Calendar Year 11,885.....	5–949
Figure 5–983.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-A, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Chromium Concentration During Calendar Year 3890.....	5–950
Figure 5–984.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-A, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Chromium Concentration During Calendar Year 7140.....	5–951
Figure 5–985.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-A, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Chromium Concentration During Calendar Year 11,885.....	5–952
Figure 5–986.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-A, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Nitrate Concentration During Calendar Year 3890.....	5–953
Figure 5–987.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-A, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Nitrate Concentration During Calendar Year 7140.....	5–954
Figure 5–988.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-A, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Nitrate Concentration During Calendar Year 11,885.....	5–955
Figure 5–989.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-A, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Uranium-238 Concentration During Calendar Year 11,885.....	5–956
Figure 5–990.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-B, Base Case, Radiological Releases at 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility to Vadose Zone	5–958
Figure 5–991.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-B, Base Case, Chemical Releases at 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility to Vadose Zone	5–959
Figure 5–992.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-B, Base Case, Radiological Releases at 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility to Groundwater	5–959
Figure 5–993.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-B, Base Case, Chemical Releases at 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility to Groundwater	5–960
Figure 5–994.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-B, Base Case, Radiological Releases at 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility to Columbia River.....	5–960
Figure 5–995.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-B, Base Case, Chemical Releases at 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility to Columbia River.....	5–961
Figure 5–996.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-B, Base Case, Radiological Releases at 200-West Area Integrated Disposal Facility to Vadose Zone	5–961
Figure 5–997.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-B, Base Case, Chemical Releases at 200-West Area Integrated Disposal Facility to Vadose Zone	5–962

Figure 5–998. Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-B, Base Case, Radiological Releases at 200-West Area Integrated Disposal Facility to Groundwater	5–962
Figure 5–999. Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-B, Base Case, Chemical Releases at 200-West Area Integrated Disposal Facility to Groundwater	5–963
Figure 5–1000. Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-B, Base Case, Radiological Releases at 200-West Area Integrated Disposal Facility to Columbia River	5–963
Figure 5–1001. Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-B, Base Case, Chemical Releases at 200-West Area Integrated Disposal Facility to Columbia River	5–964
Figure 5–1002. Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-B, Base Case, Radiological Releases at River Protection Project Disposal Facility to Vadose Zone	5–964
Figure 5–1003. Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-B, Base Case, Chemical Releases at River Protection Project Disposal Facility to Vadose Zone	5–965
Figure 5–1004. Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-B, Base Case, Radiological Releases at River Protection Project Disposal Facility to Groundwater	5–965
Figure 5–1005. Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-B, Base Case, Chemical Releases at River Protection Project Disposal Facility to Groundwater	5–966
Figure 5–1006. Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-B, Base Case, Radiological Releases at River Protection Project Disposal Facility to Columbia River	5–966
Figure 5–1007. Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-B, Base Case, Chemical Releases at River Protection Project Disposal Facility to Columbia River	5–967
Figure 5–1008. Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-B, Base Case, Iodine-129 Concentration Versus Time	5–968
Figure 5–1009. Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-B, Base Case, Technetium-99 Concentration Versus Time	5–969
Figure 5–1010. Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-B, Base Case, Chromium Concentration Versus Time	5–969
Figure 5–1011. Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-B, Base Case, Nitrate Concentration Versus Time	5–970
Figure 5–1012. Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-B, Base Case, Uranium-238 Concentration Versus Time	5–971
Figure 5–1013. Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-B, Base Case, Total Uranium Concentration Versus	5–972
Figure 5–1014. Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-B, Base Case, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Iodine-129 Concentration During Calendar Year 3890	5–973
Figure 5–1015. Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-B, Base Case, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Iodine-129 Concentration During Calendar Year 7140	5–974
Figure 5–1016. Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-B, Base Case, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Iodine-129 Concentration During Calendar Year 11,885	5–975

List of Figures

Figure 5–1017. Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-B, Base Case, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Technetium-99 Concentration During Calendar Year 3890	5–976
Figure 5–1018. Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-B, Base Case, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Technetium-99 Concentration During Calendar Year 7140	5–977
Figure 5–1019. Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-B, Base Case, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Technetium-99 Concentration During Calendar Year 11,885	5–978
Figure 5–1020. Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-B, Base Case, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Chromium Concentration During Calendar Year 3890	5–979
Figure 5–1021. Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-B, Base Case, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Chromium Concentration During Calendar Year 7140	5–980
Figure 5–1022. Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-B, Base Case, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Chromium Concentration During Calendar Year 11,885	5–981
Figure 5–1023. Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-B, Base Case, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Nitrate Concentration During Calendar Year 3890	5–982
Figure 5–1024. Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-B, Base Case, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Nitrate Concentration During Calendar Year 7140	5–983
Figure 5–1025. Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-B, Base Case, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Nitrate Concentration During Calendar Year 11,885	5–984
Figure 5–1026. Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-B, Base Case, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Total Uranium Concentration During Calendar Year 11,885	5–985
Figure 5–1027. Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-B, Option Case, Radiological Releases at 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility to Vadose Zone	5–987
Figure 5–1028. Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-B, Option Case, Chemical Releases at 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility to Vadose Zone	5–988
Figure 5–1029. Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-B, Option Case, Radiological Releases at 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility to Groundwater	5–988
Figure 5–1030. Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-B, Option Case, Chemical Releases at 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility to Groundwater	5–989
Figure 5–1031. Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-B, Option Case, Radiological Releases at 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility to Columbia River	5–989
Figure 5–1032. Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-B, Option Case, Chemical Releases at 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility to Columbia River	5–990
Figure 5–1033. Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-B, Option Case, Radiological Releases at 200-West Area Integrated Disposal Facility to Vadose Zone	5–990

Figure 5–1034. Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-B, Option Case, Chemical Releases at 200-West Area Integrated Disposal Facility to Vadose Zone	5–991
Figure 5–1035. Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-B, Option Case, Radiological Releases at 200-West Area Integrated Disposal Facility to Groundwater	5–991
Figure 5–1036. Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-B, Option Case, Chemical Releases at 200-West Area Integrated Disposal Facility to Groundwater	5–992
Figure 5–1037. Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-B, Option Case, Radiological Releases at 200-West Area Integrated Disposal Facility to Columbia River	5–992
Figure 5–1038. Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-B, Option Case, Chemical Releases at 200-West Area Integrated Disposal Facility to Columbia River	5–993
Figure 5–1039. Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-B, Option Case, Radiological Releases at River Protection Project Disposal Facility to Vadose Zone	5–993
Figure 5–1040. Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-B, Option Case, Chemical Releases at River Protection Project Disposal Facility to Vadose Zone	5–994
Figure 5–1041. Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-B, Option Case, Radiological Releases at River Protection Project Disposal Facility to Groundwater	5–994
Figure 5–1042. Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-B, Option Case, Chemical Releases at River Protection Project Disposal Facility to Groundwater	5–995
Figure 5–1043. Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-B, Option Case, Radiological Releases at River Protection Project Disposal Facility to Columbia River	5–995
Figure 5–1044. Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-B, Option Case, Chemical Releases at River Protection Project Disposal Facility to Columbia River	5–996
Figure 5–1045. Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-B, Option Case, Iodine-129 Concentration Versus Time	5–997
Figure 5–1046. Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-B, Option Case, Technetium-99 Concentration Versus Time	5–998
Figure 5–1047. Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-B, Option Case, Chromium Concentration Versus Time	5–999
Figure 5–1048. Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-B, Option Case, Nitrate Concentration Versus Time	5–999
Figure 5–1049. Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-B, Option Case, Total Uranium Concentration Versus Time	5–1001
Figure 5–1050. Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-B, Option Case, Uranium-238 Concentration Versus Time	5–1001
Figure 5–1051. Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-B, Option Case, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Iodine-129 Concentration During Calendar Year 3890	5–1003
Figure 5–1052. Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-B, Option Case, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Iodine-129 Concentration During Calendar Year 7140	5–1004

List of Figures

Figure 5–1053. Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-B, Option Case, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Iodine-129 Concentration During Calendar Year 11,885	5–1005
Figure 5–1054. Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-B, Option Case, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Technetium-99 Concentration During Calendar Year 3890	5–1006
Figure 5–1055. Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-B, Option Case, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Technetium-99 Concentration During Calendar Year 7140	5–1007
Figure 5–1056. Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-B, Option Case, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Technetium-99 Concentration During Calendar Year 11,885	5–1008
Figure 5–1057. Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-B, Option Case, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Chromium Concentration During Calendar Year 3890	5–1009
Figure 5–1058. Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-B, Option Case, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Chromium Concentration During Calendar Year 7140	5–1010
Figure 5–1059. Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-B, Option Case, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Chromium Concentration During Calendar Year 11,885	5–1011
Figure 5–1060. Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-B, Option Case, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Nitrate Concentration During Calendar Year 3890	5–1012
Figure 5–1061. Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-B, Option Case, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Nitrate Concentration During Calendar Year 7140	5–1013
Figure 5–1062. Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-B, Option Case, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Nitrate Concentration During Calendar Year 11,885	5–1014
Figure 5–1063. Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-B, Option Case, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Uranium-238 Concentration During Calendar Year 11,885	5–1015
Figure 5–1064. Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-B, Option Case, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Total Uranium Concentration During Calendar Year 11,885	5–1016
Figure 5–1065. Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 3, Base Case, Radiological Releases at 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility to Vadose Zone	5–1018
Figure 5–1066. Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 3, Base Case, Chemical Releases at 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility to Vadose Zone	5–1019
Figure 5–1067. Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 3, Base Case, Radiological Releases at 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility to Groundwater	5–1019
Figure 5–1068. Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 3, Base Case, Chemical Releases at 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility to Groundwater	5–1020
Figure 5–1069. Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 3, Base Case, Radiological Releases at 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility to Columbia River.....	5–1020
Figure 5–1070. Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 3, Base Case, Chemical Releases at 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility to Columbia River.....	5–1021
Figure 5–1071. Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 3, Base Case, Radiological Releases at 200-West Area Integrated Disposal Facility to Vadose Zone.....	5–1021

Figure 5–1072. Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 3, Base Case, Chemical Releases at 200-West Area Integrated Disposal Facility to Vadose Zone.....	5–1022
Figure 5–1073. Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 3, Base Case, Radiological Releases at 200-West Area Integrated Disposal Facility to Groundwater	5–1022
Figure 5–1074. Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 3, Base Case, Chemical Releases at 200-West Area Integrated Disposal Facility to Groundwater	5–1023
Figure 5–1075. Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 3, Base Case, Radiological Releases at 200-West Area Integrated Disposal Facility to Columbia River	5–1023
Figure 5–1076. Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 3, Base Case, Chemical Releases at 200-West Area Integrated Disposal Facility to Columbia River	5–1024
Figure 5–1077. Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 3, Base Case, Radiological Releases at River Protection Project Disposal Facility to Vadose Zone.....	5–1024
Figure 5–1078. Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 3, Base Case, Chemical Releases at River Protection Project Disposal Facility to Vadose Zone.....	5–1025
Figure 5–1079. Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 3, Base Case, Radiological Releases at River Protection Project Disposal Facility to Groundwater.....	5–1025
Figure 5–1080. Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 3, Base Case, Chemical Releases at River Protection Project Disposal Facility to Groundwater.....	5–1026
Figure 5–1081. Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 3, Base Case, Radiological Releases at River Protection Project Disposal Facility to Columbia River	5–1026
Figure 5–1082. Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 3, Base Case, Chemical Releases at River Protection Project Disposal Facility to Columbia River	5–1027
Figure 5–1083. Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 3, Base Case, Iodine-129 Concentration Versus Time	5–1028
Figure 5–1084. Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 3, Base Case, Technetium-99 Concentration Versus Time.....	5–1029
Figure 5–1085. Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 3, Base Case, Chromium Concentration Versus Time	5–1030
Figure 5–1086. Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 3, Base Case, Nitrate Concentration Versus Time	5–1030
Figure 5–1087. Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 3, Base Case, Uranium-238 Concentration Versus Time	5–1032
Figure 5–1088. Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 3, Base Case, Total Uranium Concentration Versus Time	5–1032
Figure 5–1089. Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 3, Base Case, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Iodine-129 Concentration During Calendar Year 3890.....	5–1034
Figure 5–1090. Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 3, Base Case, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Iodine-129 Concentration During Calendar Year 7140.....	5–1035
Figure 5–1091. Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 3, Base Case, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Iodine-129 Concentration During Calendar Year 11,885.....	5–1036
Figure 5–1092. Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 3, Base Case, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Technetium-99 Concentration During Calendar Year 3890.....	5–1037
Figure 5–1093. Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 3, Base Case, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Technetium-99 Concentration During Calendar Year 7140.....	5–1038

List of Figures

Figure 5–1094. Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 3, Base Case, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Technetium-99 Concentration During Calendar Year 11,885.....	5–1039
Figure 5–1095. Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 3, Base Case, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Chromium Concentration During Calendar Year 3890.....	5–1040
Figure 5–1096. Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 3, Base Case, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Chromium Concentration During Calendar Year 7140.....	5–1041
Figure 5–1097. Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 3, Base Case, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Chromium Concentration During Calendar Year 11,885.....	5–1042
Figure 5–1098. Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 3, Base Case, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Nitrate Concentration During Calendar Year 3890....	5–1043
Figure 5–1099. Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 3, Base Case, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Nitrate Concentration During Calendar Year 7140....	5–1044
Figure 5–1100. Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 3, Base Case, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Nitrate Concentration During Calendar Year 11,885.....	5–1045
Figure 5–1101. Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 3, Base Case, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Uranium-238 Concentration During Calendar Year 11,885.....	5–1046
Figure 5–1102. Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 3, Base Case, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Total Uranium Concentration During Calendar Year 11,885.....	5–1047
Figure 5–1103. Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 3, Option Case, Radiological Releases at 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility to Vadose Zone	5–1049
Figure 5–1104. Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 3, Option Case, Chemical Releases at 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility to Vadose Zone	5–1050
Figure 5–1105. Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 3, Option Case, Radiological Releases at 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility to Groundwater	5–1050
Figure 5–1106. Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 3, Option Case, Chemical Releases at 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility to Groundwater	5–1051
Figure 5–1107. Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 3, Option Case, Radiological Releases at 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility to Columbia River.....	5–1051
Figure 5–1108. Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 3, Option Case, Chemical Releases at 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility to Columbia River.....	5–1052
Figure 5–1109. Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 3, Option Case, Radiological Releases at 200-West Area Integrated Disposal Facility to Vadose Zone	5–1052
Figure 5–1110. Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 3, Option Case, Chemical Releases at 200-West Area Integrated Disposal Facility to Vadose Zone.....	5–1053
Figure 5–1111. Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 3, Option Case, Radiological Releases at 200-West Area Integrated Disposal Facility to Groundwater	5–1053
Figure 5–1112. Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 3, Option Case, Chemical Releases at 200-West Area Integrated Disposal Facility to Groundwater	5–1054

Figure 5–1113. Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 3, Option Case, Radiological Releases at 200-West Area Integrated Disposal Facility to Columbia River	5–1054
Figure 5–1114. Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 3, Option Case, Chemical Releases at 200-West Area Integrated Disposal Facility to Columbia River	5–1055
Figure 5–1115. Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 3, Option Case, Radiological Releases at River Protection Project Disposal Facility to Vadose Zone	5–1055
Figure 5–1116. Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 3, Option Case, Chemical Releases at River Protection Project Disposal Facility to Vadose Zone.....	5–1056
Figure 5–1117. Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 3, Option Case, Radiological Releases at River Protection Project Disposal Facility to Groundwater	5–1056
Figure 5–1118. Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 3, Option Case, Radiological Releases at River Protection Project Disposal Facility to Groundwater	5–1057
Figure 5–1119. Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 3, Option Case, Radiological Releases at River Protection Project Disposal Facility to Columbia River.....	5–1057
Figure 5–1120. Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 3, Option Case, Chemical Releases at River Protection Project Disposal Facility to Columbia River	5–1058
Figure 5–1121. Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 3, Option Case, Iodine-129 Concentration Versus Time	5–1059
Figure 5–1122. Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 3, Option Case, Technetium-99 Concentration Versus Time.....	5–1059
Figure 5–1123. Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 3, Option Case, Chromium Concentration Versus Time	5–1060
Figure 5–1124. Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 3, Option Case, Nitrate Concentration Versus Time	5–1060
Figure 5–1125. Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 3, Option Case, Uranium-238 Concentration Versus Time.....	5–1062
Figure 5–1126. Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 3, Option Case, Total Uranium Concentration Versus Time	5–1062
Figure 5–1127. Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 3, Option Case, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Iodine-129 Concentration During Calendar Year 3890.....	5–1064
Figure 5–1128. Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 3, Option Case, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Iodine-129 Concentration During Calendar Year 7140.....	5–1065
Figure 5–1129. Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 3, Option Case, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Iodine-129 Concentration During Calendar Year 11,885.....	5–1066
Figure 5–1130. Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 3, Option Case, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Technetium-99 Concentration During Calendar Year 3890.....	5–1067
Figure 5–1131. Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 3, Option Case, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Technetium-99 Concentration During Calendar Year 7140.....	5–1068
Figure 5–1132. Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 3, Option Case, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Technetium-99 Concentration During Calendar Year 11,885.....	5–1069

List of Figures

Figure 5–1133. Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 3, Option Case, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Chromium Concentration During Calendar Year 3890.....	5–1070
Figure 5–1134. Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 3, Option Case, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Chromium Concentration During Calendar Year 7140.....	5–1071
Figure 5–1135. Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 3, Option Case, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Chromium Concentration During Calendar Year 11,885.....	5–1072
Figure 5–1136. Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 3, Option Case, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Nitrate Concentration During Calendar Year 3890....	5–1073
Figure 5–1137. Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 3, Option Case, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Nitrate Concentration During Calendar Year 7140....	5–1074
Figure 5–1138. Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 3, Option Case, Spatial Distribution Year of Groundwater Nitrate Concentration During Calendar Year 11,885.....	5–1075
Figure 5–1139. Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 3, Option Case, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Uranium-238 Concentration During Calendar Year 11,885.....	5–1076
Figure 5–1140. Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 3, Option Case, Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Total Uranium Concentration During Calendar Year 11,885.....	5–1077
Figure 5–1141. Waste Management Alternative 1 Time Series of Radiological Risk for the Drinking-Water Well User at the Core Zone Boundary	5–1081
Figure 5–1142. Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-A, Time Series of Radiological Risk for the Drinking-Water Well User at the 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility Barrier	5–1084
Figure 5–1143. Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-A, Time Series of Radiological Risk for the Drinking-Water Well User at the Core Zone Boundary	5–1084
Figure 5–1144. Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-B, Time Series of Radiological Risk for the Drinking-Water Well User at the 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility Barrier	5–1087
Figure 5–1145. Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-B, Time Series of Radiological Risk for the Drinking-Water Well User at the Core Zone Boundary	5–1087
Figure 5–1146. Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-C, Time Series of Radiological Risk for the Drinking-Water Well User at the 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility Barrier	5–1090
Figure 5–1147. Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-C, Time Series of Radiological Risk for the Drinking-Water Well User at the Core Zone Boundary	5–1090
Figure 5–1148. Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-D, Time Series of Radiological Risk for the Drinking-Water Well User at the 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility Barrier	5–1093
Figure 5–1149. Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-D, Time Series of Radiological Risk for the Drinking-Water Well User at the Core Zone Boundary	5–1093
Figure 5–1150. Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-E, Time Series of Radiological Risk for the Drinking-Water Well User at the 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility Barrier	5–1096

Figure 5–1151. Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-E, Time Series of Radiological Risk for the Drinking-Water Well User at the Core Zone Boundary	5–1096
Figure 5–1152. Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-F, Time Series of Radiological Risk for the Drinking-Water Well User at the 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility Barrier	5–1099
Figure 5–1153. Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-F, Time Series of Radiological Risk for the Drinking-Water Well User at the Core Zone Boundary	5–1099
Figure 5–1154. Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-G, Time Series of Radiological Risk for the Drinking-Water Well User at the 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility Barrier	5–1102
Figure 5–1155. Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-G, Time Series of Radiological Risk for the Drinking-Water Well User at the Core Zone Boundary	5–1102
Figure 5–1156. Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-A, Time Series of Radiological Risk for the Drinking-Water Well User at the 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility Barrier	5–1105
Figure 5–1157. Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-A, Time Series of Radiological Risk for the Drinking-Water Well User at the Core Zone Boundary	5–1105
Figure 5–1158. Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-B, Base Case, Time Series of Radiological Risk for the Drinking-Water Well User at the 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility Barrier	5–1109
Figure 5–1159. Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-B, Base Case, Time Series of Radiological Risk for the Drinking-Water Well User at the Core Zone Boundary	5–1109
Figure 5–1160. Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-B, Option Case, Time Series of Radiological Risk for the Drinking-Water Well User at the 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility Barrier	5–1110
Figure 5–1161. Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-B, Option Case, Time Series of Radiological Risk for the Drinking-Water Well User at the Core Zone Boundary	5–1110
Figure 5–1162. Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 3, Base Case, Time Series of Radiological Risk for the Drinking-Water Well User at the 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility Barrier	5–1114
Figure 5–1163. Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 3, Base Case, Time Series of Radiological Risk for the Drinking-Water Well User at the Core Zone Boundary	5–1114
Figure 5–1164. Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 3, Option Case, Time Series of Radiological Risk for the Drinking-Water Well User at the 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility Barrier	5–1115
Figure 5–1165. Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 3, Option Case, Time Series of Radiological Risk for the Drinking-Water Well User at the Core Zone Boundary	5–1115
Figure 5–1166. Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-A, Time Series of Radiological Risk for the Drinking-Water Well User at the 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility Barrier	5–1119
Figure 5–1167. Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-A, Time Series of Radiological Risk for the Drinking-Water Well User at the 200-West Area Integrated Disposal Facility Barrier	5–1119

List of Figures

Figure 5–1168. Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-A, Time Series of Radiological Risk for the Drinking-Water Well User at the Core Zone Boundary	5–1120
Figure 5–1169. Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-B, Time Series of Radiological Risk for the Drinking-Water Well User at the 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility Barrier	5–1123
Figure 5–1170. Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-B, Time Series of Radiological Risk for the Drinking-Water Well User at the Core Zone Boundary	5–1123
Figure 5–1171. Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-C, Time Series of Radiological Risk for the Drinking-Water Well User at the 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility Barrier	5–1127
Figure 5–1172. Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-C, Time Series of Radiological Risk for the Drinking-Water Well User at the Core Zone Boundary	5–1127
Figure 5–1173. Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-D, Time Series of Radiological Risk for the Drinking-Water Well User at the 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility Barrier	5–1131
Figure 5–1174. Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-D, Time Series of Radiological Risk for the Drinking-Water Well User at the Core Zone Boundary	5–1131
Figure 5–1175. Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-E, Time Series of Radiological Risk for the Drinking-Water Well User at the 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility Barrier	5–1135
Figure 5–1176. Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-E Time Series of Radiological Risk for the Drinking-Water Well User at the Core Zone Boundary	5–1135
Figure 5–1177. Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-F, Time Series of Radiological Risk for the Drinking-Water Well User at the 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility Barrier	5–1139
Figure 5–1178. Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-F, Time Series of Radiological Risk for the Drinking-Water Well User at the Core Zone Boundary	5–1139
Figure 5–1179. Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-G, Time Series of Radiological Risk for the Drinking-Water Well User at the 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility Barrier	5–1143
Figure 5–1180. Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-G, Time Series of Radiological Risk for the Drinking-Water Well User at the Core Zone Boundary	5–1143
Figure 5–1181. Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-A, Time Series of Radiological Risk for the Drinking-Water Well User at the 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility Barrier	5–1147
Figure 5–1182. Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-A, Time Series of Radiological Risk for the Drinking-Water Well User at the Core Zone Boundary	5–1147
Figure 5–1183. Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-B, Base Case, Time Series of Radiological Risk for the Drinking-Water Well User at the 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility Barrier	5–1153
Figure 5–1184. Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-B, Base Case, Time Series of Radiological Risk for the Drinking-Water Well User at the Core Zone Boundary	5–1153

Figure 5–1185. Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-B, Option Case, Time Series of Radiological Risk for the Drinking-Water Well User at the 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility Barrier	5–1154
Figure 5–1186. Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-B, Option Case, Time Series of Radiological Risk for the Drinking-Water Well User at the Core Zone Boundary	5–1154
Figure 5–1187. Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 3, Base Case, Time Series of Radiological Risk for the Drinking-Water Well User at the 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility Barrier	5–1160
Figure 5–1188. Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 3, Base Case, Time Series of Radiological Risk for the Drinking-Water Well User at the Core Zone Boundary	5–1160
Figure 5–1189. Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 3, Option Case, Time Series of Radiological Risk for the Drinking-Water Well User at the 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility Barrier	5–1161
Figure 5–1190. Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 3, Option Case, Time Series of Radiological Risk for the Drinking-Water Well User at the Core Zone Boundary	5–1161
Figure 5–1191. Alternative Combination 1 Hydrogen-3 (Tritium) Concentration Versus Time	5–1172
Figure 5–1192. Alternative Combination 1 Iodine-129 Concentration Versus Time	5–1173
Figure 5–1193. Alternative Combination 1 Technetium-99 Concentration Versus Time	5–1174
Figure 5–1194. Alternative Combination 1 Chromium Concentration Versus Time	5–1174
Figure 5–1195. Alternative Combination 1 Nitrate Concentration Versus Time	5–1175
Figure 5–1196. Alternative Combination 1 Uranium-238 Concentration Versus Time	5–1176
Figure 5–1197. Alternative Combination 1 Total Uranium Concentration Versus Time	5–1176
Figure 5–1198. Alternative Combination 1 Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Hydrogen-3 (Tritium) Concentration During Calendar Year 2005	5–1178
Figure 5–1199. Alternative Combination 1 Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Iodine-129 Concentration During Calendar Year 2005	5–1179
Figure 5–1200. Alternative Combination 1 Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Iodine-129 Concentration During Calendar Year 3890	5–1180
Figure 5–1201. Alternative Combination 1 Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Iodine-129 Concentration During Calendar Year 7140	5–1181
Figure 5–1202. Alternative Combination 1 Total Area of Groundwater Iodine-129 Concentration Exceeding the Benchmark Concentration as a Function of Time	5–1181
Figure 5–1203. Alternative Combination 1 Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Technetium-99 Concentration During Calendar Year 2005	5–1182
Figure 5–1204. Alternative Combination 1 Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Technetium-99 Concentration During Calendar Year 3890	5–1183
Figure 5–1205. Alternative Combination 1 Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Technetium-99 Concentration During Calendar Year 7140	5–1184
Figure 5–1206. Alternative Combination 1 Total Area of Groundwater Technetium-99 Concentration Exceeding the Benchmark Concentration as a Function of Time	5–1184
Figure 5–1207. Alternative Combination 1 Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Chromium Concentration During Calendar Year 2005	5–1185
Figure 5–1208. Alternative Combination 1 Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Chromium Concentration During Calendar Year 3890	5–1186
Figure 5–1209. Alternative Combination 1 Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Chromium Concentration During Calendar Year 7140	5–1187
Figure 5–1210. Alternative Combination 1 Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Nitrate Concentration During Calendar Year 2005	5–1188

List of Figures

Figure 5–1211. Alternative Combination 1 Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Nitrate Concentration During Calendar Year 3890	5–1189
Figure 5–1212. Alternative Combination 1 Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Nitrate Concentration During Calendar Year 7140	5–1190
Figure 5–1213. Alternative Combination 1 Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Uranium-238 Concentration During Calendar Year 2135	5–1191
Figure 5–1214. Alternative Combination 1 Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Uranium-238 Concentration During Calendar Year 3890	5–1192
Figure 5–1215. Alternative Combination 1 Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Uranium-238 Concentration During Calendar Year 11,885	5–1193
Figure 5–1216. Alternative Combination 1 Total Area of Groundwater Uranium-238 Concentration Exceeding the Benchmark Concentration as a Function of Time	5–1193
Figure 5–1217. Alternative Combination 1 Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Total Uranium Concentration During Calendar Year 2135	5–1194
Figure 5–1218. Alternative Combination 1 Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Total Uranium Concentration During Calendar Year 3890	5–1195
Figure 5–1219. Alternative Combination 1 Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Total Uranium Concentration During Calendar Year 11,885	5–1196
Figure 5–1220. Alternative Combination 2 Hydrogen-3 (Tritium) Concentration Versus Time	5–1200
Figure 5–1221. Alternative Combination 2 Chromium Concentration Versus Time	5–1201
Figure 5–1222. Alternative Combination 2 Nitrate Concentration Versus Time	5–1201
Figure 5–1223. Alternative Combination 2 Iodine-129 Concentration Versus Time	5–1202
Figure 5–1224. Alternative Combination 2 Technetium-99 Concentration Versus Time	5–1202
Figure 5–1225. Alternative Combination 2 Uranium-238 Concentration Versus Time	5–1203
Figure 5–1226. Alternative Combination 2 Total Uranium Concentration Versus Time	5–1204
Figure 5–1227. Alternative Combination 2 Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Hydrogen-3 (Tritium) Concentration During Calendar Year 2005	5–1205
Figure 5–1228. Alternative Combination 2 Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Iodine-129 Concentration During Calendar Year 2005	5–1206
Figure 5–1229. Alternative Combination 2 Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Iodine-129 Concentration During Calendar Year 3890	5–1207
Figure 5–1230. Alternative Combination 2 Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Iodine-129 Concentration During Calendar Year 7140	5–1208
Figure 5–1231. Alternative Combination 2 Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Iodine-129 Concentration During Calendar Year 11,885	5–1209
Figure 5–1232. Alternative Combination 2 Total Area of Groundwater Iodine-129 Concentration Exceeding the Benchmark Concentration as a Function of Time	5–1209
Figure 5–1233. Alternative Combination 2 Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Technetium-99 Concentration During Calendar Year 2005	5–1210
Figure 5–1234. Alternative Combination 2 Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Technetium-99 Concentration During Calendar Year 3890	5–1211
Figure 5–1235. Alternative Combination 2 Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Technetium-99 Concentration During Calendar Year 7140	5–1212
Figure 5–1236. Alternative Combination 2 Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Technetium-99 Concentration During Calendar Year 11,885	5–1213
Figure 5–1237. Alternative Combination 2 Total Area of Groundwater Technetium-99 Concentration Exceeding the Benchmark Concentration as a Function of Time	5–1213
Figure 5–1238. Alternative Combination 2 Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Chromium Concentration During Calendar Year 2005	5–1214
Figure 5–1239. Alternative Combination 2 Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Chromium Concentration During Calendar Year 3890	5–1215

Figure 5–1240. Alternative Combination 2 Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Chromium Concentration During Calendar Year 7140	5–1216
Figure 5–1241. Alternative Combination 2 Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Chromium Concentration During Calendar Year 11,885	5–1217
Figure 5–1242. Alternative Combination 2 Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Nitrate Concentration During Calendar Year 2005	5–1218
Figure 5–1243. Alternative Combination 2 Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Nitrate Concentration During Calendar Year 3890	5–1219
Figure 5–1244. Alternative Combination 2 Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Nitrate Concentration During Calendar Year 7140	5–1220
Figure 5–1245. Alternative Combination 2 Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Uranium-238 Concentration During Calendar Year 2135	5–1221
Figure 5–1246. Alternative Combination 2 Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Uranium-238 Concentration During Calendar Year 3890	5–1222
Figure 5–1247. Alternative Combination 2 Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Uranium-238 Concentration During Calendar Year 11,885	5–1223
Figure 5–1248. Alternative Combination 2 Total Area of Groundwater Uranium-238 Concentration Exceeding the Benchmark Concentration as a Function of Time	5–1223
Figure 5–1249. Alternative Combination 2 Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Total Uranium Concentration During Calendar Year 2135	5–1224
Figure 5–1250. Alternative Combination 2 Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Total Uranium Concentration During Calendar Year 3890	5–1225
Figure 5–1251. Alternative Combination 2 Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Total Uranium Concentration During Calendar Year 11,885	5–1226
Figure 5–1252. Alternative Combination 3 Hydrogen-3 (Tritium) Concentration Versus Time	5–1231
Figure 5–1253. Alternative Combination 3 Chromium Concentration Versus Time	5–1232
Figure 5–1254. Alternative Combination 3 Nitrate Concentration Versus Time	5–1232
Figure 5–1255. Alternative Combination 3 Iodine-129 Concentration Versus Time	5–1233
Figure 5–1256. Alternative Combination 3 Technetium-99 Concentration Versus Time	5–1233
Figure 5–1257. Alternative Combination 3 Uranium-238 Concentration Versus Time	5–1234
Figure 5–1258. Alternative Combination 3 Total Uranium Concentration Versus Time	5–1235
Figure 5–1259. Alternative Combination 3 Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Hydrogen-3 (Tritium) Concentration During Calendar Year 2005	5–1236
Figure 5–1260. Alternative Combination 3 Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Iodine-129 Concentration During Calendar Year 2005	5–1237
Figure 5–1261. Alternative Combination 3 Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Iodine-129 Concentration During Calendar Year 3890	5–1238
Figure 5–1262. Alternative Combination 3 Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Iodine-129 Concentration During Calendar Year 7140	5–1239
Figure 5–1263. Alternative Combination 3 Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Iodine-129 Concentration During Calendar Year 11,885	5–1240
Figure 5–1264. Alternative Combination 3 Total Area of Groundwater Iodine-129 Concentration Exceeding the Benchmark Concentration as a Function of Time	5–1240
Figure 5–1265. Alternative Combination 3 Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Technetium-99 Concentration During Calendar Year 2005	5–1241
Figure 5–1266. Alternative Combination 3 Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Technetium-99 Concentration During Calendar Year 3890	5–1242
Figure 5–1267. Alternative Combination 3 Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Technetium-99 Concentration During Calendar Year 7140	5–1243
Figure 5–1268. Alternative Combination 3 Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Technetium-99 Concentration During Calendar Year 11,885	5–1244

List of Figures

Figure 5–1269. Alternative Combination 3 Total Area of Groundwater Technetium-99 Concentration Exceeding the Benchmark Concentration as a Function of Time	5–1244
Figure 5–1270. Alternative Combination 3 Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Chromium Concentration During Calendar Year 2005	5–1245
Figure 5–1271. Alternative Combination 3 Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Chromium Concentration During Calendar Year 3890	5–1246
Figure 5–1272. Alternative Combination 3 Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Chromium Concentration During Calendar Year 7140	5–1247
Figure 5–1273. Alternative Combination 3 Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Chromium Concentration During Calendar Year 11,885	5–1248
Figure 5–1274. Alternative Combination 3 Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Nitrate Concentration During Calendar Year 2005	5–1249
Figure 5–1275. Alternative Combination 3 Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Nitrate Concentration During Calendar Year 3890	5–1250
Figure 5–1276. Alternative Combination 3 Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Nitrate Concentration During Calendar Year 7140	5–1251
Figure 5–1277. Alternative Combination 3 Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Nitrate Concentration During Calendar Year 11,885	5–1252
Figure 5–1278. Alternative Combination 3 Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Uranium-238 Concentration During Calendar Year 2135	5–1253
Figure 5–1279. Alternative Combination 3 Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Uranium-238 Concentration During Calendar Year 3890	5–1254
Figure 5–1280. Alternative Combination 3 Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Uranium-238 Concentration During Calendar Year 11,885	5–1255
Figure 5–1281. Alternative Combination 3 Total Area of Groundwater Uranium-238 Concentration Exceeding the Benchmark Concentration as a Function of Time	5–1255
Figure 5–1282. Alternative Combination 3 Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Total Uranium Concentration During Calendar Year 2135	5–1256
Figure 5–1283. Alternative Combination 3 Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Total Uranium Concentration During Calendar Year 3890	5–1257
Figure 5–1284. Alternative Combination 3 Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Total Uranium Concentration During Calendar Year 11,885	5–1258
Figure 5–1285. Tank Closure Alternative 1 Time Series of Lifetime Radiological Risk for the Drinking-Water Well User at the Core Zone Boundary	5–1262
Figure 5–1286. Waste Management Alternative 1 Time Series of Lifetime Radiological Risk for the Drinking-Water Well User at the Core Zone Boundary	5–1262
Figure 5–1287. FFTF Decommissioning Alternative 1 Time Series of Lifetime Radiological Risk for the Drinking-Water Well User at the FFTF Barrier	5–1263
Figure 5–1288. Tank Closure Alternative 2B Time Series of Lifetime Radiological Risk for the Drinking-Water Well User at the Core Zone Boundary	5–1265
Figure 5–1289. Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-A Time Series of Lifetime Radiological Risk for the Drinking-Water Well User at the Core Zone Boundary	5–1265
Figure 5–1290. FFTF Decommissioning Alternative 2 Time Series of Lifetime Radiological Risk for the Drinking-Water Well User at the FFTF Barrier	5–1266
Figure 5–1291. Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Base Case, Time Series of Lifetime Radiological Risk for the Drinking-Water Well User at the Core Zone Boundary	5–1268
Figure 5–1292. Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-B, Base Case, Time Series of Lifetime Radiological Risk for the Drinking-Water Well User at the Core Zone Boundary	5–1268

Figure 6–1.	Richland Operations Industrial Safety Total Recordable Cases and Incident Rate Between 1993 and 2006	6–40
Figure 6–2.	Alternative Combination 1 Cumulative Concentration Versus Time for Tritium	6–46
Figure 6–3.	Alternative Combination 1 Cumulative Concentration Versus Time for Iodine-129	6–47
Figure 6–4.	Alternative Combination 1 Cumulative Concentration Versus Time for Technetium-99	6–47
Figure 6–5.	Alternative Combination 1 Cumulative Concentration Versus Time for Carbon Tetrachloride	6–48
Figure 6–6.	Alternative Combination 1 Cumulative Concentration Versus Time for Chromium	6–48
Figure 6–7.	Alternative Combination 1 Cumulative Concentration Versus Time for Nitrate	6–49
Figure 6–8.	Alternative Combination 1 Cumulative Concentration Versus Time for Uranium-238	6–50
Figure 6–9.	Alternative Combination 1 Cumulative Concentration Versus Time for Total Uranium	6–50
Figure 6–10.	Alternative Combination 1 Spatial Distribution of Cumulative Groundwater Concentration for Tritium During Calendar Year 2005	6–52
Figure 6–11.	Alternative Combination 1 Spatial Distribution of Cumulative Groundwater Concentration for Iodine-129 During Calendar Year 2005	6–53
Figure 6–12.	Alternative Combination 1 Spatial Distribution of Cumulative Groundwater Concentration for Iodine-129 During Calendar Year 3890	6–54
Figure 6–13.	Alternative Combination 1 Spatial Distribution of Cumulative Groundwater Concentration for Iodine-129 During Calendar Year 7140	6–55
Figure 6–14.	Alternative Combination 1 Total Area for Which Cumulative Groundwater Concentrations of Iodine-129 Exceed the Benchmark Concentration as a Function of Time	6–56
Figure 6–15.	Alternative Combination 1 Spatial Distribution of Cumulative Groundwater Concentration for Technetium-99 During Calendar Year 2005	6–57
Figure 6–16.	Alternative Combination 1 Spatial Distribution of Cumulative Groundwater Concentration for Technetium-99 During Calendar Year 3890	6–58
Figure 6–17.	Alternative Combination 1 Spatial Distribution of Cumulative Groundwater Concentration for Technetium-99 During Calendar Year 7140	6–59
Figure 6–18.	Alternative Combination 1 Total Area for Which Cumulative Groundwater Concentrations of Technetium-99 Exceed the Benchmark Concentration as a Function of Time	6–60
Figure 6–19.	Alternative Combination 1 Spatial Distribution of Cumulative Groundwater Concentration for Chromium During Calendar Year 2005	6–61
Figure 6–20.	Alternative Combination 1 Spatial Distribution of Cumulative Groundwater Concentration for Chromium During Calendar Year 3890	6–62
Figure 6–21.	Alternative Combination 1 Spatial Distribution of Cumulative Groundwater Concentration for Chromium During Calendar Year 7140	6–63
Figure 6–22.	Alternative Combination 1 Spatial Distribution of Cumulative Groundwater Concentration for Nitrate During Calendar Year 2005	6–64
Figure 6–23.	Alternative Combination 1 Spatial Distribution of Cumulative Groundwater Concentration for Nitrate During Calendar Year 2135	6–65
Figure 6–24.	Alternative Combination 1 Spatial Distribution of Cumulative Groundwater Concentration for Nitrate During Calendar Year 3890	6–66

List of Figures

Figure 6–25.	Alternative Combination 1 Spatial Distribution of Cumulative Groundwater Concentration for Carbon Tetrachloride During Calendar Year 2005.....	6–67
Figure 6–26.	Alternative Combination 1 Spatial Distribution of Cumulative Groundwater Concentration for Carbon Tetrachloride During Calendar Year 2135.....	6–68
Figure 6–27.	Alternative Combination 1 Spatial Distribution of Cumulative Groundwater Concentration for Carbon Tetrachloride During Calendar Year 3890.....	6–69
Figure 6–28.	Alternative Combination/Spatial Distribution of Cumulative Groundwater Concentration for Uranium-238 During Calendar Year 2135	6–70
Figure 6–29.	Alternative Combination 1 Spatial Distribution of Cumulative Groundwater Concentration for Uranium-238 During Calendar Year 3890	6–71
Figure 6–30.	Alternative Combination 1 Spatial Distribution of Cumulative Groundwater Concentration for Uranium-238 During Calendar Year 11,885	6–72
Figure 6–31.	Alternative Combination 1 Total Area for Which Cumulative Groundwater Concentrations of Uranium-238 Exceed the Benchmark Concentration as a Function of Time	6–73
Figure 6–32.	Alternative Combination 1 Spatial Distribution of Cumulative Groundwater Concentration for Total Uranium During Calendar Year 2135	6–74
Figure 6–33.	Alternative Combination 1 Spatial Distribution of Cumulative Groundwater Concentration for Total Uranium During Calendar Year 3890	6–75
Figure 6–34.	Alternative Combination 1 Spatial Distribution of Cumulative Groundwater Concentration for Total Uranium During Calendar Year 11,885	6–76
Figure 6–35.	Alternative Combination 2 Cumulative Concentration Versus Time for Tritium.....	6–81
Figure 6–36.	Alternative Combination 2 Cumulative Concentration Versus Time for Iodine-129.....	6–82
Figure 6–37.	Alternative Combination 2 Cumulative Concentration Versus Time for Technetium-99	6–82
Figure 6–38.	Alternative Combination 2 Cumulative Concentration Versus Time for Carbon Tetrachloride	6–83
Figure 6–39.	Alternative Combination 2 Cumulative Concentration Versus Time for Chromium	6–83
Figure 6–40.	Alternative Combination 2 Cumulative Concentration Versus Time for Nitrate.....	6–84
Figure 6–41.	Alternative Combination 2 Cumulative Concentration Versus Time for Uranium-238.....	6–85
Figure 6–42.	Alternative Combination 2 Cumulative Concentration Versus Time for Total Uranium.....	6–85
Figure 6–43.	Alternative Combination 2 Spatial Distribution of Cumulative Groundwater Concentration for Tritium During Calendar Year 2005.....	6–87
Figure 6–44.	Alternative Combination 2 Spatial Distribution of Cumulative Groundwater Concentration for Iodine-129 During Calendar Year 2005	6–88
Figure 6–45.	Alternative Combination 2 Spatial Distribution of Cumulative Groundwater Concentration for Iodine-129 During Calendar Year 3890	6–89
Figure 6–46.	Alternative Combination 2 Spatial Distribution of Cumulative Groundwater Concentration for Iodine-129 During Calendar Year 7140	6–90
Figure 6–47.	Alternative Combination 2 Spatial Distribution of Cumulative Groundwater Concentration for Iodine-129 During Calendar Year 11,885	6–91
Figure 6–48.	Alternative Combination 2 Total Area for Which Cumulative Groundwater Concentrations of Iodine-129 Exceed the Benchmark Concentration as a Function of Time	6–92

Figure 6-49.	Alternative Combination 2 Spatial Distribution of Cumulative Groundwater Concentration for Technetium-99 During Calendar Year 2005	6-93
Figure 6-50.	Alternative Combination 2 Spatial Distribution of Cumulative Groundwater Concentration for Technetium-99 During Calendar Year 3890	6-94
Figure 6-51.	Alternative Combination 2 Spatial Distribution of Cumulative Groundwater Concentration for Technetium-99 During Calendar Year 7140	6-95
Figure 6-52.	Alternative Combination 2 Spatial Distribution of Cumulative Groundwater Concentration for Technetium-99 During Calendar Year 11,885	6-96
Figure 6-53.	Alternative Combination 2 Total Area for Which Cumulative Groundwater Concentrations of Technetium-99 Exceed the Benchmark Concentration as a Function of Time.....	6-97
Figure 6-54.	Alternative Combination 2 Spatial Distribution of Cumulative Groundwater Concentration for Chromium During Calendar Year 2005	6-98
Figure 6-55.	Alternative Combination 2 Spatial Distribution of Cumulative Groundwater Concentration for Chromium During Calendar Year 3890	6-99
Figure 6-56.	Alternative Combination 2 Spatial Distribution of Cumulative Groundwater Concentration for Chromium During Calendar Year 7140	6-100
Figure 6-57.	Alternative Combination 2 Spatial Distribution of Cumulative Groundwater Concentration for Chromium During Calendar Year 11,885	6-101
Figure 6-58.	Alternative Combination 2 Spatial Distribution of Cumulative Groundwater Concentration for Nitrate During Calendar Year 2005.....	6-102
Figure 6-59.	Alternative Combination 2 Spatial Distribution of Cumulative Groundwater Concentration for Nitrate During Calendar Year 3890.....	6-103
Figure 6-60.	Alternative Combination 2 Spatial Distribution of Cumulative Groundwater Concentration for Nitrate During Calendar Year 7140.....	6-104
Figure 6-61.	Alternative Combination 2 Spatial Distribution of Cumulative Groundwater Concentration for Nitrate During Calendar Year 11,885.....	6-105
Figure 6-62.	Alternative Combination 2 Spatial Distribution of Cumulative Groundwater Concentration for Carbon Tetrachloride During Calendar Year 2005.....	6-106
Figure 6-63.	Alternative Combination 2 Spatial Distribution of Cumulative Groundwater Concentration for Carbon Tetrachloride During Calendar Year 2135.....	6-107
Figure 6-64.	Alternative Combination 2 Spatial Distribution of Cumulative Groundwater Concentration for Carbon Tetrachloride During Calendar Year 3890.....	6-108
Figure 6-65.	Alternative Combination 2 Spatial Distribution of Cumulative Groundwater Concentration for Uranium-238 During Calendar Year 2135	6-109
Figure 6-66.	Alternative Combination 2 Spatial Distribution of Cumulative Groundwater Concentration for Uranium-238 During Calendar Year 3890	6-110
Figure 6-67.	Alternative Combination 2 Spatial Distribution of Cumulative Groundwater Concentration for Uranium-238 During Calendar Year 11,885	6-111
Figure 6-68.	Alternative Combination 2 Total Area for Which Cumulative Groundwater Concentrations of Uranium-238 Exceed the Benchmark Concentration as a Function of Time	6-112
Figure 6-69.	Alternative Combination 2 Spatial Distribution of Cumulative Groundwater Concentration for Total Uranium During Calendar Year 2135	6-113
Figure 6-70.	Alternative Combination 2 Spatial Distribution of Cumulative Groundwater Concentration for Total Uranium During Calendar Year 3890	6-114
Figure 6-71.	Alternative Combination 2 Spatial Distribution of Cumulative Groundwater Concentration for Total Uranium During Calendar Year 11,885	6-115
Figure 6-72.	Alternative Combination 3 Cumulative Concentration Versus Time for Tritium.....	6-120

List of Figures

Figure 6-73.	Alternative Combination 3 Cumulative Concentration Versus Time for Iodine-129.....	6-121
Figure 6-74.	Alternative Combination 3 Cumulative Concentration Versus Time for Technetium-99.....	6-122
Figure 6-75.	Alternative Combination 3 Cumulative Concentration Versus Time for Carbon Tetrachloride.....	6-122
Figure 6-76.	Alternative Combination 3 Cumulative Concentration Versus Time for Chromium.....	6-123
Figure 6-77.	Alternative Combination 3 Cumulative Concentration Versus Time for Nitrate.....	6-123
Figure 6-78.	Alternative Combination 3 Cumulative Concentration Versus Time for Uranium-238.....	6-124
Figure 6-79.	Alternative Combination 3 Cumulative Concentration Versus Time for Total Uranium.....	6-125
Figure 6-80.	Alternative Combination 3 Spatial Distribution of Cumulative Groundwater Concentration for Tritium During Calendar Year 2005.....	6-126
Figure 6-81.	Alternative Combination 3 Spatial Distribution of Cumulative Groundwater Concentration for Iodine-129 During Calendar Year 2005.....	6-127
Figure 6-82.	Alternative Combination 3 Spatial Distribution of Cumulative Groundwater Concentration for Iodine-129 During Calendar Year 3890.....	6-128
Figure 6-83.	Alternative Combination 3 Spatial Distribution of Cumulative Groundwater Concentration for Iodine-129 During Calendar Year 7140.....	6-129
Figure 6-84.	Alternative Combination 3 Spatial Distribution of Cumulative Groundwater Concentration for Iodine-129 During Calendar Year 11,885.....	6-130
Figure 6-85.	Alternative Combination 3 Total Area for Which Cumulative Groundwater Concentrations of Iodine-129 Exceed the Benchmark Concentration as a Function of Time.....	6-131
Figure 6-86.	Alternative Combination 3 Spatial Distribution of Cumulative Groundwater Concentration for Technetium-99 During Calendar Year 2005.....	6-132
Figure 6-87.	Alternative Combination 3 Spatial Distribution of Cumulative Groundwater Concentration for Technetium-99 During Calendar Year 3890.....	6-133
Figure 6-88.	Alternative Combination 3 Spatial Distribution of Cumulative Groundwater Concentration for Technetium-99 During Calendar Year 7140.....	6-134
Figure 6-89.	Alternative Combination 3 Spatial Distribution of Cumulative Groundwater Concentration for Technetium-99 During Calendar Year 11,885.....	6-135
Figure 6-90.	Alternative Combination 3 Total Area for Which Cumulative Groundwater Concentrations of Technetium-99 Exceed the Benchmark Concentration as a Function of Time.....	6-136
Figure 6-91.	Alternative Combination 3 Spatial Distribution of Cumulative Groundwater Concentration for Chromium During Calendar Year 2005.....	6-137
Figure 6-92.	Alternative Combination 3 Spatial Distribution of Cumulative Groundwater Concentration for Chromium During Calendar Year 3890.....	6-138
Figure 6-93.	Alternative Combination 3 Spatial Distribution of Cumulative Groundwater Concentration for Chromium During Calendar Year 7140.....	6-139
Figure 6-94.	Alternative Combination 3 Spatial Distribution of Cumulative Groundwater Concentration for Chromium During Calendar Year 11,885.....	6-140
Figure 6-95.	Alternative Combination 3 Spatial Distribution of Cumulative Groundwater Concentration for Nitrate During Calendar Year 2005.....	6-141
Figure 6-96.	Alternative Combination 3 Spatial Distribution of Cumulative Groundwater Concentration for Nitrate During Calendar Year 3890.....	6-142

Figure 6–97.	Alternative Combination 3 Spatial Distribution of Cumulative Groundwater Concentration for Nitrate During Calendar Year 7140.....	6–143
Figure 6–98.	Alternative Combination 3 Spatial Distribution of Cumulative Groundwater Concentration for Nitrate During Calendar Year 11,885.....	6–144
Figure 6–99.	Alternative Combination 3 Spatial Distribution of Cumulative Groundwater Concentration for Carbon Tetrachloride During Calendar Year 2005.....	6–145
Figure 6–100.	Alternative Combination 3 Spatial Distribution of Cumulative Groundwater Concentration for Carbon Tetrachloride During Calendar Year 2135.....	6–146
Figure 6–101.	Alternative Combination 3 Spatial Distribution of Cumulative Groundwater Concentration for Carbon Tetrachloride During Calendar Year 3890.....	6–147
Figure 6–102.	Alternative Combination 3 Spatial Distribution of Cumulative Groundwater Concentration for Uranium-238 During Calendar Year 2135	6–148
Figure 6–103.	Alternative Combination 3 Spatial Distribution of Cumulative Groundwater Concentration for Uranium-238 During Calendar Year 3890	6–149
Figure 6–104.	Alternative Combination 3 Spatial Distribution of Cumulative Groundwater Concentration for Uranium-238 During Calendar Year 11,885	6–150
Figure 6–105.	Alternative Combination 3 Total Area for Which Cumulative Groundwater Concentrations of Uranium-238 Exceed the Benchmark Concentration as a Function of Time	6–151
Figure 6–106.	Alternative Combination 3 Spatial Distribution of Cumulative Groundwater Concentration for Total Uranium During Calendar Year 2135	6–152
Figure 6–107.	Alternative Combination 3 Spatial Distribution of Cumulative Groundwater Concentration for Total Uranium During Calendar Year 3890	6–153
Figure 6–108.	Alternative Combination 3 Spatial Distribution of Cumulative Groundwater Concentration for Total Uranium During Calendar Year 11,885	6–154

List of Tables

Table 2–1.	Fast Flux Test Facility and Support Facilities	2–41
Table 2–2.	Tank Closure Alternatives – Summary by Mission Component	2–63
Table 2–3.	FFTF Decommissioning Alternatives – Summary by Mission Component.....	2–105
Table 2–4.	Waste Management Alternatives – Summary by Mission Component	2–114
Table 2–5.	Comparison of the Tank Closure Alternatives.....	2–129
Table 2–6.	Comparison of FFTF Decommissioning Alternatives.....	2–135
Table 2–7.	Comparison of Waste Management Alternatives	2–137
Table 2–8.	Comparison of Disposal Groups by Waste Management Alternative	2–139
Table 2–9.	Tank Closure Alternatives – Summary of Short-Term Environmental Impacts	2–156
Table 2–10.	FFTF Decommissioning Alternatives – Summary of Short-Term Environmental Impacts	2–179
Table 2–11.	Waste Management Alternatives – Summary of Short-Term Environmental Impacts	2–195
Table 2–12.	Maximum Concentrations in Groundwater at the A Barrier.....	2–205
Table 2–13.	Maximum Concentrations in Groundwater at the B Barrier.....	2–206
Table 2–14.	Maximum Concentrations in Groundwater at the S Barrier	2–207
Table 2–15.	Maximum Concentrations in Groundwater at the T Barrier	2–208
Table 2–16.	Maximum Concentrations in Groundwater at the U Barrier.....	2–209
Table 2–17.	Maximum Concentrations in Groundwater at the Core Zone Boundary	2–210
Table 2–18.	Maximum Concentrations in Groundwater at the Columbia River Nearshore.....	2–211
Table 2–19.	Tank Closure Alternatives – Summary of Radiological Dose at Year of Peak Dose for the Drinking-Water Well User.....	2–216
Table 2–20.	Tank Closure Alternatives – Summary of the Hazard Index at Year of Peak Hazard Index for Drinking-Water Well User	2–216
Table 2–21.	Tank Closure Alternatives – Long-Term Impacts of Contaminant Releases to Air on Terrestrial Receptors at the Onsite Maximum-Impact Location	2–226
Table 2–22.	Tank Closure Alternatives – Long-Term Impacts of Contaminant Releases to Air on Aquatic and Riparian Receptors at the Columbia River.....	2–227
Table 2–23.	Tank Closure Alternatives – Long-Term Impacts of Contaminant Releases to Groundwater on Ecological Resources.....	2–228
Table 2–24.	Maximum Concentrations in Groundwater at the Fast Flux Test Facility Barrier.....	2–230
Table 2–25.	Maximum Concentrations in Groundwater at the Columbia River Nearshore.....	2–231
Table 2–26.	FFTF Decommissioning Alternatives – Summary of Radiological Dose at Year of Peak Dose for the Drinking-Water Well User	2–233
Table 2–27.	FFTF Decommissioning Alternatives – Summary of Hazard Index at Year of Peak Hazard Index for the Drinking-Water Well User.....	2–233
Table 2–28.	FFTF Decommissioning Alternatives – Long-Term Impacts of Contaminant Releases to Air on Ecological Resources.....	2–236
Table 2–29.	FFTF Decommissioning Alternatives – Long-Term Impacts of Contaminant Releases to Groundwater on Ecological Resources.....	2–236
Table 2–30.	Alternative 1 Maximum Concentrations in Groundwater at Trenches 31 and 34.....	2–238
Table 2–31.	Alternative 2 Maximum Concentrations in Groundwater at the 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility	2–239
Table 2–32.	Alternative 2 Maximum Concentrations in Groundwater at the River Protection Project Disposal Facility.....	2–240

Table 2–33.	Alternative 3 Maximum Concentrations in Groundwater at the 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility	2–241
Table 2–34.	Alternative 3 Maximum Concentrations in Groundwater at the 200-West Area Integrated Disposal Facility	2–242
Table 2–35.	Alternative 3 Maximum Concentrations in Groundwater at the River Protection Project Disposal Facility.....	2–243
Table 2–36.	Alternative 2 Maximum Concentrations in Groundwater at the Core Zone Boundary	2–244
Table 2–37.	Alternative 3 Maximum Concentrations in Groundwater at the Core Zone Boundary	2–245
Table 2–38.	Alternative 2 Maximum Concentrations in Groundwater at the Columbia River Nearshore	2–246
Table 2–39.	Alternative 3 Maximum Concentrations in Groundwater at the Columbia River Nearshore	2–247
Table 2–40.	Waste Management Alternative 1 Summary of Radiological Dose at Year of Peak Dose for the Drinking-Water Well User	2–252
Table 2–41.	Waste Management Alternative 1 Summary of Hazard Index at Year of Peak Hazard Index for the Drinking-Water Well User.....	2–252
Table 2–42.	Waste Management Alternative 2, All Disposal Groups and Subgroups, Summary of Radiological Dose at Year of Peak Dose for the Drinking-Water Well User.....	2–254
Table 2–43.	Waste Management Alternative 2, All Disposal Groups and Subgroups, Summary of Hazard Index at Year of Peak Hazard Index for the Drinking-Water Well User.....	2–254
Table 2–44.	Waste Management Alternative 3 Summary of Radiological Dose at Year of Peak Dose for the Drinking-Water Well User	2–269
Table 2–45.	Waste Management Alternative 3 Summary of Hazard Index at Year of Peak Hazard Index for the Drinking-Water Well User.....	2–269
Table 2–46.	Waste Management Alternatives – Long-Term Impacts of Contaminant Releases to Air on Ecological Resources.....	2–283
Table 2–47.	Waste Management Alternatives – Long-Term Impacts of Contaminant Releases to Groundwater on Ecological Resources.....	2–284
Table 2–48.	Maximum Concentrations of Technetium-99 and Iodine-129 in the Peak Year at the IDF-East and IDF-West Barriers.....	2–297
Table 2–49.	Maximum Concentrations of Technetium-99 and Iodine-129 in the Peak Year at the IDF-East Barrier.....	2–298
Table 2–50.	Tank Closure Alternatives – Summary Cost Estimates, ^a Excluding Waste Form Disposal Costs (billions of 2008 dollars)	2–301
Table 2–51.	Tank Closure Alternatives – Costs for Final Waste Form Disposal (billions of 2008 dollars).....	2–303
Table 2–52.	Tank Closure Alternatives – Total Cost Projections, Including Waste Disposal Costs (billions of 2008 dollars).....	2–303
Table 2–53.	FFTF Decommissioning Alternatives – Summary Cost Estimates, Excluding Waste Form Disposal Costs (millions of 2008 dollars).....	2–304
Table 2–54.	FFTF Decommissioning Alternatives – Waste Form Disposal Cost Estimates.....	2–305
Table 2–55.	FFTF Decommissioning Alternatives – Total Cost Projections, Including Waste Disposal Costs (millions of 2008 dollars).....	2–305
Table 2–56.	Waste Management Alternatives – Summary Cost Estimates, Excluding Waste Form Disposal Costs (millions of 2008 dollars).....	2–306

List of Tables

Table 2–57.	Waste Management Alternatives – Waste Form Disposal Costs.....	2–306
Table 2–58.	Waste Management Alternatives – Total Cost Projections, Including Waste Disposal Costs (millions of 2008 dollars).....	2–307
Table 3–1.	General Regions of Influence for the Affected Environment.....	3–2
Table 3–2.	Hanford Site-wide Infrastructure Characteristics.....	3–13
Table 3–3.	Modeled Nonradiological Ambient Air Pollutant Concentrations from Hanford Site Sources and Ambient Air Quality Standards.....	3–22
Table 3–4.	Nonradioactive Constituents Emitted to the Atmosphere at the Hanford Site, 2005.....	3–23
Table 3–5.	Radionuclides Discharged to the Atmosphere at the Hanford Site, 2006 (curies).....	3–24
Table 3–6.	Prevention of Significant Deterioration Increments for the Hanford Site.....	3–25
Table 3–7.	Maximum Waste Treatment Plant Contributions to Ambient Air Concentrations as Analyzed for the Revised Prevention of Significant Deterioration Permit Application.....	3–26
Table 3–8.	Hanford Site Threatened, Endangered, and Other Special Status Species.....	3–69
Table 3–9.	Demographic Profile of Populations in the Hanford Site Socioeconomic Region of Influence, 2000.....	3–82
Table 3–10.	Income Information for the Hanford Site Region of Influence.....	3–82
Table 3–11.	Housing and Community Services in the Hanford Site Region of Influence.....	3–83
Table 3–12.	Sources of Radiation Exposure of Individuals in the Hanford Site Vicinity Unrelated to Hanford Site Operations.....	3–88
Table 3–13.	Radiation Doses to the Public from Hanford Site Normal Operations in 2005 (Total Effective Dose Equivalent).....	3–88
Table 3–14.	Radiation Doses to Workers from Hanford Site Normal Operations in 2006 (Total Effective Dose Equivalent).....	3–89
Table 3–15.	Populations in the Potentially Affected 10-County Area Surrounding the Hanford Site and the Two-State Region of Washington and Oregon in 1990.....	3–97
Table 3–16.	Populations in the Potentially Affected 10-County Area Surrounding the Hanford Site and the Two-State Region of Washington and Oregon in 2000.....	3–97
Table 3–17.	Populations Within 80 Kilometers of the 200 Areas at the Hanford Site in 2000.....	3–98
Table 3–18.	Population Within 80 Kilometers of the 400 Area at the Hanford Site in 2000.....	3–101
Table 3–19.	Total and Low-Income Populations in the Potentially Affected 10-County Area Surrounding the Hanford Site and in the Two-State Region of Washington and Oregon in 1989.....	3–104
Table 3–20.	Total and Low-Income Populations in the Potentially Affected 10-County Area Surrounding the Hanford Site and in the Two-State Region of Washington and Oregon in 1999.....	3–104
Table 3–21.	Total and Low-Income Populations Within 80 Kilometers of the 200 Areas at the Hanford Site in 1999.....	3–105
Table 3–22.	Total and Low-Income Populations Within 80 Kilometers of the 400 Area at the Hanford Site in 1999.....	3–107
Table 3–23.	Quantities of Solid Waste ^a Generated on the Hanford Site from 2000 Through 2006.....	3–109
Table 3–24.	Quantities of Liquid Waste ^a Generated and Stored Within the Tank Farm System on the Hanford Site During 2006 and Each of the Previous 6 Years.....	3–110
Table 3–25.	Projected Waste Generation 2006–2035.....	3–110

Table 3–26.	Preferred Treatment of Various Hanford Wastes as Stipulated in the <i>Waste Management PEIS</i> Records of Decision.....	3–118
Table 3–27.	Idaho National Laboratory Sitewide Infrastructure Characteristics.....	3–124
Table 3–28.	Modeled Nonradiological Ambient Air Pollutant Concentrations from Idaho National Laboratory Sources and Ambient Air Quality Standards.....	3–129
Table 3–29.	Air Pollutant Emissions at Idaho National Laboratory in 2006.....	3–129
Table 3–30.	PSD Increment Consumption at Craters of the Moon Wilderness Area (Class I) by Existing (1996) and Projected Sources Subject to PSD Regulation.....	3–131
Table 3–31.	PSD Increment Consumption at Idaho National Laboratory Class II Areas by Existing (1996) and Projected Sources Subject to PSD Regulation	3–131
Table 3–32.	Airborne Radiological Releases to the Environment at Idaho National Laboratory in 2006.....	3–132
Table 3–33.	Idaho National Laboratory Threatened, Endangered, and Other Special Status Species	3–150
Table 3–34.	Distribution of Employees by Place of Residence in the Idaho National Laboratory Region of Influence in 2008.....	3–153
Table 3–35.	Demographic Profile of the Populations in the Idaho National Laboratory Socioeconomic Region of Influence in 2000.....	3–154
Table 3–36.	Income Information for the Idaho National Laboratory Region of Influence	3–154
Table 3–37.	Housing and Community Services in the Idaho National Laboratory Region of Influence	3–155
Table 3–38.	Sources of Radiation Exposure of Individuals in the Idaho National Laboratory Vicinity Unrelated to Idaho National Laboratory Operations.....	3–157
Table 3–39.	Radiation Doses to the Public from Idaho National Laboratory Normal Operations in 2006 (Total Effective Dose Equivalent).....	3–157
Table 3–40.	Radiation Doses to Workers from Idaho National Laboratory Normal Operations in 2006 (Total Effective Dose Equivalent).....	3–158
Table 3–41.	Populations in Potentially Affected Counties Surrounding the Materials and Fuels Complex at the Idaho National Laboratory and in the State of Idaho in 1990	3–162
Table 3–42.	Populations in Potentially Affected Counties Surrounding the Materials and Fuels Complex at the Idaho National Laboratory and in the State of Idaho in 2000	3–162
Table 3–43.	Populations Within 80 Kilometers of the Materials and Fuels Complex at the Idaho National Laboratory in 2000.....	3–163
Table 3–44.	Total and Low-Income Populations in the Potentially Affected 14-County Area Surrounding Idaho National Laboratory and in the State of Idaho in 1989	3–166
Table 3–45.	Total and Low-Income Populations in the Potentially Affected 14-County Area Surrounding Idaho National Laboratory and in the State of Idaho in 1999	3–166
Table 3–46.	Total and Low-Income Populations Within 80 Kilometers of the Materials and Fuels Complex at Idaho National Laboratory in 1999.....	3–166
Table 3–47.	Waste Generation Rates and Inventories at Idaho National Laboratory in 2006	3–169
Table 3–48.	Waste Management Facilities at Idaho National Laboratory.....	3–170
Table 3–49.	<i>Waste Management PEIS</i> Records of Decision Affecting Idaho National Laboratory	3–173

List of Tables

Table 4-1.	Summary of Major New Facilities Required to Support Tank Closure Alternatives	4-2
Table 4-2.	Tank Closure Alternatives – Summary of Utility Infrastructure Requirements.....	4-17
Table 4-3.	Tank Closure Alternatives – Incremental Criteria Pollutant Concentrations.....	4-33
Table 4-4.	Tank Closure Alternative – Incremental Toxic Chemical Concentrations	4-36
Table 4-5.	Tank Closure Alternatives – Nonradiological Airborne Toxic Chemical Hazard Index for the Nearest Noninvolved Worker	4-37
Table 4-6.	Tank Closure Alternatives – Nonradiological Airborne Toxic Chemical Cancer Risk for the Nearest Noninvolved Worker	4-37
Table 4-7.	Summary of Major Geologic and Soil Resource Impact Indicators and Requirements	4-52
Table 4-8.	Tank Closure Alternative 1 Peak Annual Estimated Workforce Requirements	4-107
Table 4-9.	Tank Closure Alternative 2A Peak Annual Estimated Workforce Requirements	4-109
Table 4-10.	Tank Closure Alternative 2B Peak Annual Estimated Workforce Requirements	4-111
Table 4-11.	Tank Closure Alternative 3A Peak Annual Estimated Workforce Requirements	4-113
Table 4-12.	Tank Closure Alternative 3B Peak Annual Estimated Workforce Requirements	4-115
Table 4-13.	Tank Closure Alternative 3C Peak Annual Estimated Workforce Requirements	4-116
Table 4-14.	Tank Closure Alternative 4 Peak Annual Estimated Workforce Requirements	4-117
Table 4-15.	Tank Closure Alternative 5 Peak Annual Estimated Workforce Requirements	4-120
Table 4-16.	Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Base/Option Case, Peak Annual Estimated Workforce Requirements	4-122
Table 4-17.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Base/Option Case, Peak Annual Estimated Workforce Requirements	4-125
Table 4-18.	Tank Closure Alternative 6C Peak Annual Estimated Workforce Requirements	4-127
Table 4-19.	Tank Closure Alternative 1 Normal Operations Public Health Impacts of Atmospheric Radionuclide Releases.....	4-131
Table 4-20.	Tank Closure Alternative 1 Normal Operations Radiological Impacts on Workers.....	4-132
Table 4-21.	Tank Closure Alternative 2A Normal Operations Public Health Impacts of Atmospheric Radionuclide Releases.....	4-133
Table 4-22.	Tank Closure Alternative 2A Normal Operations Radiological Impacts on Workers.....	4-134
Table 4-23.	Tank Closure Alternative 2B Normal Operations Public Health Impacts of Atmospheric Radionuclide Releases.....	4-135
Table 4-24.	Tank Closure Alternative 2B Normal Operations Radiological Impacts on Workers.....	4-136
Table 4-25.	Tank Closure Alternative 3A Normal Operations Public Health Impacts of Atmospheric Radionuclide Releases.....	4-137
Table 4-26.	Tank Closure Alternative 3A Normal Operations Radiological Impacts on Workers.....	4-138

Table 4-27.	Tank Closure Alternative 3B Normal Operations Public Health Impacts of Atmospheric Radionuclide Releases.....	4-139
Table 4-28.	Tank Closure Alternative 3B Normal Operations Radiological Impacts on Workers.....	4-139
Table 4-29.	Tank Closure Alternative 3C Normal Operations Public Health Impacts of Atmospheric Radionuclide Releases.....	4-140
Table 4-30.	Tank Closure Alternative 3C Normal Operations Radiological Impacts on Workers.....	4-141
Table 4-31.	Tank Closure Alternative 4 Normal Operations Public Health Impacts of Atmospheric Radionuclide Releases.....	4-142
Table 4-32.	Tank Closure Alternative 4 Normal Operations Radiological Impacts on Workers.....	4-143
Table 4-33.	Tank Closure Alternative 5 Normal Operations Public Health Impacts of Atmospheric Radionuclide Releases.....	4-144
Table 4-34.	Tank Closure Alternative 5 Normal Operations Radiological Impacts on Workers.....	4-145
Table 4-35.	Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Base Case, Normal Operations Public Health Impacts of Atmospheric Radionuclide Releases.....	4-146
Table 4-36.	Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Option Case, Normal Operations Public Health Impacts of Atmospheric Radionuclide Releases.....	4-147
Table 4-37.	Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Base Case, Normal Operations Radiological Impacts on Workers.....	4-148
Table 4-38.	Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Option Case, Normal Operations Radiological Impacts on Workers.....	4-149
Table 4-39.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Base Case, Normal Operations Public Health Impacts of Atmospheric Radionuclide Releases.....	4-150
Table 4-40.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Option Case, Normal Operations Public Health Impacts of Atmospheric Radionuclide Releases.....	4-151
Table 4-41.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Base Case, Normal Operations Radiological Impacts on Workers.....	4-152
Table 4-42.	Tank Closure, Alternative 6B, Option Case, Normal Operations Radiological Impacts on Workers.....	4-153
Table 4-43.	Tank Closure Alternative 6C Normal Operations Public Health Impacts of Atmospheric Radionuclide Releases.....	4-154
Table 4-44.	Tank Closure Alternative 6C Normal Operations Radiological Impacts on Workers.....	4-155
Table 4-45.	Tank Closure Alternative 1 Radiological Consequences of Accidents.....	4-156
Table 4-46.	Tank Closure Alternative 1 Annual Cancer Risks from Accidents.....	4-157
Table 4-47.	Tank Closure Alternative 2A Radiological Consequences of Accidents.....	4-158
Table 4-48.	Tank Closure Alternative 2A Annual Cancer Risks from Accidents.....	4-159
Table 4-49.	Tank Closure Alternatives Chemical Impacts of Accidents.....	4-160
Table 4-50.	Tank Closure Alternative 2B Radiological Consequences of Accidents.....	4-161
Table 4-51.	Tank Closure Alternative 2B Annual Cancer Risks from Accidents.....	4-162
Table 4-52.	Tank Closure Alternative 3A Radiological Consequences of Accidents.....	4-164
Table 4-53.	Tank Closure Alternative 3A Annual Cancer Risks from Accidents.....	4-165
Table 4-54.	Tank Closure Alternative 3B Radiological Consequences of Accidents.....	4-166
Table 4-55.	Tank Closure Alternative 3B Annual Cancer Risks from Accidents.....	4-167
Table 4-56.	Tank Closure Alternative 3C Radiological Consequences of Accidents.....	4-169
Table 4-57.	Tank Closure Alternative 3C Annual Cancer Risks from Accidents.....	4-170
Table 4-58.	Tank Closure Alternative 4 Radiological Consequences of Accidents.....	4-172
Table 4-59.	Tank Closure Alternative 4 Annual Cancer Risks from Accidents.....	4-173

List of Tables

Table 4-60.	Tank Closure Alternative 5 Radiological Consequences of Accidents	4-174
Table 4-61.	Tank Closure Alternative 5 Annual Cancer Risks from Accidents	4-175
Table 4-62.	Tank Closure Alternative 6A Radiological Consequences of Accidents.....	4-177
Table 4-63.	Tank Closure Alternative 6A Annual Cancer Risks from Accidents	4-177
Table 4-64.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B Radiological Consequences of Accidents.....	4-179
Table 4-65.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B Annual Cancer Risks from Accidents.....	4-180
Table 4-66.	Tank Closure Alternative 6C Radiological Consequences of Accidents.....	4-181
Table 4-67.	Tank Closure Alternative 6C Annual Cancer Risks from Accidents.....	4-182
Table 4-68.	Tank Closure Alternatives – Estimated Number of Radioactive Waste Shipments.....	4-185
Table 4-69.	Tank Closure Alternatives – Risks of Transporting Radioactive Waste.....	4-186
Table 4-70.	Tank Closure Alternatives – Estimated Impacts of Construction and Operational Material Transport.....	4-187
Table 4-71.	Tank Closure Alternative 1 Average Individual Total Dose from Radioactive Air Emissions over the Life of the Project.....	4-196
Table 4-72.	Tank Closure Alternative 2A Average Individual Total Dose from Radioactive Air Emissions over the Life of the Project.....	4-197
Table 4-73.	Tank Closure Alternative 2B Average Individual Total Dose from Radioactive Air Emissions over the Life of the Project.....	4-199
Table 4-74.	Tank Closure Alternative 3A Average Individual Total Dose from Radioactive Air Emissions over the Life of the Project.....	4-200
Table 4-75.	Tank Closure Alternative 3B Average Individual Total Dose from Radioactive Air Emissions over the Life of the Project.....	4-201
Table 4-76.	Tank Closure Alternative 3C Average Individual Total Dose from Radioactive Air Emissions over the Life of the Project.....	4-202
Table 4-77.	Tank Closure Alternative 4 Average Individual Total Dose from Radioactive Air Emissions over the Life of the Project.....	4-204
Table 4-78.	Tank Closure Alternative 5 Average Individual Total Dose from Radioactive Air Emissions over the Life of the Project.....	4-205
Table 4-79.	Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Base Case, Average Individual Total Dose from Radioactive Air Emissions over the Life of the Project.....	4-206
Table 4-80.	Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Option Case, Average Individual Total Dose from Radioactive Air Emissions over the Life of the Project.....	4-207
Table 4-81.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Base Case, Average Individual Total Dose from Radioactive Air Emissions over the Life of the Project.....	4-209
Table 4-82.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Option Case, Average Individual Total Dose from Radioactive Air Emissions over the Life of the Project.....	4-210
Table 4-83.	Tank Closure Alternative 6C Average Individual Total Dose from Radioactive Air Emissions over the Life of the Project.....	4-211
Table 4-84.	Tank Closure Alternative 1 Waste Generation Volumes.....	4-220
Table 4-85.	Tank Closure Alternative 2A Waste Generation Volumes	4-222
Table 4-86.	Tank Closure Alternative 2B Waste Generation Volumes	4-224
Table 4-87.	Tank Closure Alternative 3A Waste Generation Volumes	4-227
Table 4-88.	Tank Closure Alternative 3B Waste Generation Volumes	4-230
Table 4-89.	Tank Closure Alternative 3C Waste Generation Volumes	4-233
Table 4-90.	Tank Closure Alternative 4 Waste Generation Volumes.....	4-236
Table 4-91.	Tank Closure Alternative 5 Waste Generation Volumes.....	4-239
Table 4-92.	Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Base Case, Waste Generation Volumes	4-242
Table 4-93.	Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Option Case, Waste Generation Volumes	4-243
Table 4-94.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Base Case, Waste Generation Volumes.....	4-246
Table 4-95.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Option Case, Waste Generation Volumes	4-247

Table 4-96.	Tank Closure Alternative 6C Waste Generation Volumes	4-250
Table 4-97.	Total Recordable Cases and Fatality Incident Rates.....	4-252
Table 4-98.	Tank Closure Alternatives – Industrial Safety Impacts	4-253
Table 4-99.	FFTF Decommissioning Alternatives and Options – Summary of Utility Infrastructure Requirements.....	4-264
Table 4-100.	Incremental Criteria Pollutant Concentrations by FFTF Decommissioning Alternative at Hanford	4-271
Table 4-101.	Incremental Toxic Chemical Concentrations by FFTF Decommissioning Alternative at Hanford	4-273
Table 4-102.	Nonradiological Airborne Toxic Chemical Hazard Index for the Nearest Noninvolved Worker at Hanford by FFTF Decommissioning Alternative	4-273
Table 4-103.	Nonradiological Airborne Toxic Chemical Cancer Risk for the Nearest Noninvolved Worker by FFTF Decommissioning Alternative	4-273
Table 4-104.	Incremental Criteria Pollutant Concentrations by FFTF Decommissioning Alternative at Idaho National Laboratory	4-276
Table 4-105.	Incremental Toxic Chemical Concentrations by FFTF Decommissioning Alternative at Idaho National Laboratory	4-277
Table 4-106.	FFTF Decommissioning Alternatives – Summary of Major Geologic and Soil Resource Impact Indicators and Requirements	4-280
Table 4-107.	FFTF Decommissioning Alternatives and Options – Summary of Peak Estimated Socioeconomic Indicators.....	4-303
Table 4-108.	FFTF Decommissioning Alternative 1 Radiological Impacts on Workers.....	4-309
Table 4-109.	FFTF Decommissioning Alternative 2 Radiological Impacts on the Public	4-310
Table 4-110.	FFTF Decommissioning Alternative 2 Radiological Impacts on Workers.....	4-312
Table 4-111.	FFTF Decommissioning Alternative 3 Radiological Impacts on the Public	4-314
Table 4-112.	FFTF Decommissioning Alternative 3 Radiological Impacts on Workers.....	4-315
Table 4-113.	FFTF Decommissioning Alternatives – Radiological Consequences of Accidents	4-317
Table 4-114.	FFTF Decommissioning Alternatives – Annual Cancer Risks from Accidents.....	4-317
Table 4-115.	Chemical Impacts of Fast Flux Test Facility Accidents at Hanford	4-319
Table 4-116.	Radiological Consequences of Accidents Under the Hanford Option for Disposition of Remote-Handled Special Components.....	4-319
Table 4-117.	Annual Cancer Risks from Accidents Under the Hanford Option for Disposition of Remote-Handled Special Components.....	4-320
Table 4-118.	Radiological Consequences of Accidents Under the Idaho Option for Disposition of Remote-Handled Special Components.....	4-321
Table 4-119.	Annual Cancer Risks from Accidents Under the Idaho Option for Disposition of Remote-Handled Special Components.....	4-321
Table 4-120.	Radiological Consequences of Accidents Under the Idaho Reuse Option for Disposition of Bulk Sodium	4-322
Table 4-121.	Annual Cancer Risks from Accidents Under the Idaho Reuse Option for Disposition of Bulk Sodium	4-323
Table 4-122.	Chemical Impacts of Accidents Under the Idaho Reuse Option for Disposition of Bulk Sodium	4-324
Table 4-123.	FFTF Decommissioning Alternatives – Estimated Number of Shipments.....	4-326
Table 4-124.	FFTF Decommissioning Alternatives – Risks of Transporting Radioactive Waste	4-327
Table 4-125.	FFTF Decommissioning Alternatives – Estimated Impacts of Construction and Operational Material Transport.....	4-328

List of Tables

Table 4–126.	FFTF Decommissioning Alternatives and Options – Summary of Waste Generation Volumes	4–345
Table 4–127.	FFTF Decommissioning Alternatives – Industrial Safety Impacts.....	4–349
Table 4–128.	Waste Management Alternatives – Summary of Utility Infrastructure Requirements.....	4–358
Table 4–129.	Incremental Criteria Pollutant Concentrations by Waste Management Alternative at Hanford	4–364
Table 4–130.	Incremental Toxic Chemical Concentrations by Waste Management Alternative at Hanford	4–366
Table 4–131.	Nonradiological Airborne Toxic Chemical Hazard Index for the Nearest Noninvolved Worker by Waste Management Alternative at Hanford.....	4–367
Table 4–132.	Nonradiological Airborne Toxic Chemical Cancer Risk for the Nearest Noninvolved Worker by Waste Management Alternative at Hanford.....	4–367
Table 4–133.	Waste Management Alternatives – Summary of Major Geologic and Soil Resource Impact Indicators and Requirements.....	4–375
Table 4–134.	Waste Management Alternatives and Options – Summary of Peak Estimated Socioeconomic Indicators.....	4–392
Table 4–135.	Waste Management Alternative 1 Radiological Impacts on Workers.....	4–397
Table 4–136.	Waste Management Alternative 2 Radiological Impacts on the Public.....	4–397
Table 4–137.	Waste Management Alternative 2 Radiological Impacts on Workers.....	4–398
Table 4–138.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Radiological Impacts on Workers.....	4–399
Table 4–139.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 2, Radiological Impacts on Workers.....	4–400
Table 4–140.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 3, Radiological Impacts on Workers.....	4–401
Table 4–141.	Waste Management Alternative 1 Radiological Consequences of Accidents	4–403
Table 4–142.	Waste Management Alternative 1 Annual Cancer Risks from Accidents	4–404
Table 4–143.	Waste Management Alternative 2 Radiological Consequences of Accidents	4–406
Table 4–144.	Waste Management Alternative 2 Annual Cancer Risks from Accidents	4–407
Table 4–145.	Waste Management Alternatives – Estimated Number of Shipments.....	4–409
Table 4–146.	Waste Management Alternatives – Risks of Transporting Radioactive Waste	4–410
Table 4–147.	Waste Management Alternatives – Estimated Impacts of Construction and Operational Material Transport.....	4–411
Table 4–148.	Waste Management Alternative 2 Average Individual Total Dose from Radioactive Air Emissions over the Life of the Project.....	4–417
Table 4–149.	Waste Management Alternatives – Summary of Waste Generation Volumes	4–421
Table 4–150.	Waste Management Alternatives – Industrial Safety Impacts	4–424
Table 4–151.	Combined Hanford Land Use Requirements.....	4–428
Table 4–152.	Combined Utility Infrastructure Requirements.....	4–430
Table 4–153.	Combined Criteria Air Pollutant Concentrations.....	4–432
Table 4–154.	Combined Geologic and Soil Resource Requirements	4–433
Table 4–155.	Combined Hanford Ecological Resource Disturbance	4–435
Table 4–156.	Combined Socioeconomic Impact Measures.....	4–438
Table 4–157.	Combined Public Health Impacts—Normal Operations.....	4–440
Table 4–158.	Combined Worker Health Impacts—Normal Operations.....	4–441
Table 4–159.	Combined Transportation Risks	4–442
Table 4–160.	Combined Waste Generation Volumes.....	4–445
Table 4–161.	Industrial Safety Impacts for Selected Combinations of Alternatives.....	4–448

Table 5-1.	Tank Closure Alternative 1 Maximum COPC Concentrations in the Peak Year at the Tank Farm Barriers, Core Zone Boundary, and Columbia River Nearshore.....	5-10
Table 5-2.	Tank Closure Alternative 2A Maximum COPC Concentrations in the Peak Year at the Tank Farm Barriers, Core Zone Boundary, and Columbia River Nearshore	5-41
Table 5-3.	Tank Closure Alternative 2B Maximum COPC Concentrations in the Peak Year at the Tank Farm Barriers, Core Zone Boundary, and Columbia River Nearshore	5-75
Table 5-4.	Tank Closure Alternative 4 Maximum COPC Concentrations in the Peak Year at the Tank Farm Barriers, Core Zone Boundary, and Columbia River Nearshore.....	5-111
Table 5-5.	Tank Closure Alternative 5 Maximum COPC Concentrations in the Peak Year at the Tank Farm Barriers, Core Zone Boundary, and Columbia River Nearshore.....	5-146
Table 5-6.	Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Base Case, Maximum COPC Concentrations in the Peak Year at the Tank Farm Barriers, Core Zone Boundary, and Columbia River Nearshore	5-183
Table 5-7.	Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Option Case, Maximum COPC Concentrations in the Peak Year at the Tank Farm Barriers, Core Zone Boundary, and Columbia River Nearshore	5-184
Table 5-8.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Base Case, Maximum COPC Concentrations in the Peak Year at the Tank Farm Barriers, Core Zone Boundary, and Columbia River Nearshore	5-249
Table 5-9.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Option Case, Maximum COPC Concentrations in the Peak Year at the Tank Farm Barriers, Core Zone Boundary, and Columbia River Nearshore	5-250
Table 5-10.	Tank Closure Alternative 1 Drinking-Water Well User and Resident Farmer Long-Term Human Health Impacts of Cribs and Trenches (Ditches).....	5-305
Table 5-11.	Tank Closure Alternative 1 American Indian Resident Farmer and American Indian Hunter-Gatherer Long-Term Human Health Impacts of Cribs and Trenches (Ditches).....	5-305
Table 5-12.	Tank Closure Alternative 1 Drinking-Water Well User and Resident Farmer Long-Term Human Health Impacts of Past Leaks.....	5-306
Table 5-13.	Tank Closure Alternative 1 American Indian Resident Farmer and American Indian Hunter-Gatherer Long-Term Human Health Impacts of Past Leaks	5-307
Table 5-14.	Tank Closure Alternative 1 Drinking-Water Well User and Resident Farmer Long-Term Human Health Impact Summary	5-308
Table 5-15.	Tank Closure Alternative 1 American Indian Resident Farmer and American Indian Hunter-Gatherer Long-Term Human Health Impact Summary.....	5-309
Table 5-16.	Tank Closure Alternative 2A Drinking-Water Well User and Resident Farmer Long-Term Human Health Impacts of Cribs and Trenches (Ditches).....	5-311
Table 5-17.	Tank Closure Alternative 2A American Indian Resident Farmer and American Indian Hunter-Gatherer Long-Term Human Health Impacts of Cribs and Trenches (Ditches).....	5-311
Table 5-18.	Tank Closure Alternative 2A Drinking-Water Well User and Resident Farmer Long-Term Human Health Impacts of Past Leaks.....	5-312
Table 5-19.	Tank Closure Alternative 2A American Indian Resident Farmer and American Indian Hunter-Gatherer Long-Term Human Health Impacts of Past Leaks	5-313
Table 5-20.	Tank Closure Alternative 2A Drinking-Water Well User and Resident Farmer Long-Term Human Health Impact Summary	5-314
Table 5-21.	Tank Closure Alternative 2A American Indian Resident Farmer and American Indian Hunter-Gatherer Long-Term Human Health Impact Summary.....	5-315

List of Tables

Table 5–22.	Tank Closure Alternatives 2B, 3A, 3B, 3C, and 6C Drinking-Water Well User and Resident Farmer Long-Term Human Health Impacts of Cribs and Trenches (Ditches).....	5–318
Table 5–23.	Tank Closure Alternatives 2B, 3A, 3B, 3C, and 6C American Indian Resident Farmer and American Indian Hunter-Gatherer Long-Term Human Health Impacts of Cribs and Trenches (Ditches).....	5–318
Table 5–24.	Tank Closure Alternatives 2B, 3A, 3B, 3C, and 6C Drinking-Water Well User and Resident Farmer Long-Term Human Health Impacts of Past Leaks	5–319
Table 5–25.	Tank Closure Alternatives 2B, 3A, 3B, 3C, and 6C American Indian Resident Farmer and American Indian Hunter-Gatherer Long-Term Human Health Impacts of Past Leaks	5–320
Table 5–26.	Tank Closure Alternatives 2B, 3A, 3B, 3C, and 6C Drinking-Water Well User and Resident Farmer Long-Term Human Health Impact Summary.....	5–321
Table 5–27.	Tank Closure Alternatives 2B, 3A, 3B, 3C, and 6C American Indian Resident Farmer and American Indian Hunter-Gatherer Long-Term Human Health Impact Summary.....	5–322
Table 5–28.	Tank Closure Alternative 4 Drinking-Water Well User and Resident Farmer Long-Term Human Health Impacts of Cribs and Trenches (Ditches).....	5–324
Table 5–29.	Tank Closure Alternative 4 American Indian Resident Farmer and American Indian Hunter-Gatherer Long-Term Human Health Impacts of Cribs and Trenches (Ditches).....	5–324
Table 5–30.	Tank Closure Alternative 4 Drinking-Water Well User and Resident Farmer Long-Term Human Health Impacts of Past Leaks.....	5–325
Table 5–31.	Tank Closure Alternative 4 American Indian Resident Farmer and American Indian Hunter-Gatherer Long-Term Human Health Impacts of Past Leaks	5–326
Table 5–32.	Tank Closure Alternative 4 Drinking-Water Well User and Resident Farmer Long-Term Human Health Impact Summary	5–327
Table 5–33.	Tank Closure Alternative 4 American Indian Resident Farmer and American Indian Hunter-Gatherer Long-Term Human Health Impact Summary.....	5–328
Table 5–34.	Tank Closure Alternative 5 Drinking-Water Well User and Resident Farmer Long-Term Human Health Impacts of Cribs and Trenches (Ditches).....	5–331
Table 5–35.	Tank Closure Alternative 5 American Indian Resident Farmer and American Indian Hunter-Gatherer Long-Term Human Health Impacts of Cribs and Trenches (Ditches).....	5–331
Table 5–36.	Tank Closure Alternative 5 Drinking-Water Well User and Resident Farmer Long-Term Human Health Impacts of Past Leaks.....	5–332
Table 5–37.	Tank Closure Alternative 5 American Indian Resident Farmer and American Indian Hunter-Gatherer Long-Term Human Health Impacts of Past Leaks	5–333
Table 5–38.	Tank Closure Alternative 5 Drinking-Water Well User and Resident Farmer Long-Term Human Health Impact Summary	5–334
Table 5–39.	Tank Closure Alternative 5 American Indian Resident Farmer and American Indian Hunter-Gatherer Long-Term Human Health Impact Summary.....	5–335
Table 5–40.	Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Base Case, Drinking-Water Well User and Resident Farmer Long-Term Human Health Impacts of Cribs and Trenches (Ditches).....	5–337
Table 5–41.	Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Base Case, American Indian Resident Farmer and American Indian Hunter-Gatherer Long-Term Human Health Impacts of Cribs and Trenches (Ditches)	5–337
Table 5–42.	Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Base Case, Drinking-Water Well User and Resident Farmer Long-Term Human Health Impacts of Past Leaks	5–338

Table 5-43.	Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Base Case, American Indian Resident Farmer and American Indian Hunter-Gatherer Long-Term Human Health Impacts of Past Leaks	5-339
Table 5-44.	Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Base Case, Drinking-Water Well User and Resident Farmer Long-Term Human Health Impact Summary.....	5-340
Table 5-45.	Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Base Case, American Indian Resident Farmer and American Indian Hunter-Gatherer Long-Term Human Health Impact Summary	5-341
Table 5-46.	Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Option Case, Drinking-Water Well User and Resident Farmer Long-Term Human Health Impacts of Cribs and Trenches (Ditches).....	5-344
Table 5-47.	Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Option Case, American Indian Resident Farmer and American Indian Hunter-Gatherer Long-Term Human Health Impacts of Cribs and Trenches (Ditches).....	5-344
Table 5-48.	Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Option Case, Drinking-Water Well User and Resident Farmer Long-Term Human Health Impacts of Past Leaks	5-345
Table 5-49.	Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Option Case, American Indian Resident Farmer and American Indian Hunter-Gatherer Long-Term Human Health Impacts of Past Leaks	5-346
Table 5-50.	Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Option Case, Drinking-Water Well User and Resident Farmer Long-Term Human Health Impact Summary.....	5-347
Table 5-51.	Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Option Case, American Indian Resident Farmer and American Indian Hunter-Gatherer Long-Term Human Health Impact Summary	5-348
Table 5-52.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Base Case, Drinking-Water Well User and Resident Farmer Long-Term Human Health Impacts of Cribs and Trenches (Ditches).....	5-350
Table 5-53.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Base Case, American Indian Resident Farmer and American Indian Hunter-Gatherer Long-Term Human Health Impacts of Cribs and Trenches (Ditches)	5-351
Table 5-54.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Base Case, Drinking-Water Well User and Resident Farmer Long-Term Human Health Impacts of Past Leaks	5-352
Table 5-55.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Base Case, American Indian Resident Farmer and American Indian Hunter-Gatherer Long-Term Human Health Impacts of Past Leaks	5-353
Table 5-56.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Base Case, Drinking-Water Well User and Resident Farmer Long-Term Human Health Impact Summary.....	5-354
Table 5-57.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Base Case, American Indian Resident Farmer and American Indian Hunter-Gatherer Long-Term Human Health Impact Summary	5-355
Table 5-58.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Option Case, Drinking-Water Well User and Resident Farmer Long-Term Human Health Impacts of Cribs and Trenches (Ditches).....	5-357
Table 5-59.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Option Case, American Indian Resident Farmer and American Indian Hunter-Gatherer Long-Term Human Health Impacts of Cribs and Trenches (Ditches).....	5-357
Table 5-60.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Option Case, Drinking-Water Well User and Resident Farmer Long-Term Human Health Impacts of Past Leaks	5-358
Table 5-61.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Option Case, American Indian Resident Farmer and American Indian Hunter-Gatherer Long-Term Human Health Impacts of Past Leaks	5-359
Table 5-62.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Option Case, Drinking-Water Well User and Resident Farmer Long-Term Human Health Impact Summary.....	5-360

List of Tables

Table 5–63.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Option Case, American Indian Resident Farmer and American Indian Hunter-Gatherer Long-Term Human Health Impact Summary	5–361
Table 5–64.	Tank Closure Alternatives – Long-Term Impacts of Chemical COPC Releases to Air on Terrestrial Resources at the Onsite Maximum-Exposure Location.....	5–363
Table 5–65.	Tank Closure Alternatives – Long-Term Impacts of Contaminant Releases to Groundwater on Aquatic and Riparian Resources at the Columbia River.....	5–364
Table 5–66.	FFTF Decommissioning Alternative 1 Maximum COPC Concentrations in the Peak Year at the FFTF Barrier and Columbia River Nearshore	5–377
Table 5–67.	FFTF Decommissioning Alternative 2 Maximum COPC Concentrations in the Peak Year at the FFTF Barrier and Columbia River Nearshore	5–382
Table 5–68.	FFTF Decommissioning Alternative 1 Drinking-Water Well User and Resident Farmer Long-Term Human Health Impacts Summary	5–387
Table 5–69.	FFTF Decommissioning Alternative 1 American Indian Resident Farmer and American Indian Hunter-Gatherer Long-Term Human Health Impacts Summary	5–387
Table 5–70.	FFTF Decommissioning Alternative 2 Drinking-Water Well User and Resident Farmer Long-Term Human Health Impacts Summary	5–389
Table 5–71.	FFTF Decommissioning Alternative 2 American Indian Resident Farmer and American Indian Hunter-Gatherer Long-Term Human Health Impacts Summary	5–389
Table 5–72.	FFTF Decommissioning Alternatives – Long-Term Impacts of Chemical COPC Releases to Air on Terrestrial Resources at the Onsite Maximum-Exposure Location	5–391
Table 5–73.	FFTF Decommissioning Alternatives – Long-Term Impacts of Contaminant Releases to Groundwater on Aquatic and Riparian Resources at the Columbia River.....	5–392
Table 5–74.	Comparison of Peak Annual Emission Rates at INL Under FFTF Decommissioning Alternatives 2 and 3 and at the Hanford Site Under Tank Closure Alternatives	5–394
Table 5–75.	Waste Management Action Alternative Subgroupings.....	5–397
Table 5–76.	Waste Management Alternative 1 Maximum COPC Concentrations in the Peak Year at Trenches 31 and 34, and the RPPDF, Core Zone Boundary, and Columbia River Nearshore	5–409
Table 5–77.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-A, Maximum COPC Concentrations in the Peak Year at IDF-East and the RPPDF, Core Zone Boundary, and Columbia River Nearshore	5–432
Table 5–78.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-B, Maximum COPC Concentrations in the Peak Year at IDF-East and the RPPDF, Core Zone Boundary, and Columbia River Nearshore	5–457
Table 5–79.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-C, Maximum COPC Concentrations in the Peak Year at IDF-East and the RPPDF, Core Zone Boundary, and Columbia River Nearshore Groundwater	5–483
Table 5–80.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-D, Maximum COPC Concentrations in the Peak Year at IDF-East and the RPPDF, Core Zone Boundary, and Columbia River Nearshore	5–511
Table 5–81.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-E, Maximum COPC Concentrations in the Peak Year at IDF-East and the RPPDF, Core Zone Boundary, and Columbia River Nearshore	5–537
Table 5–82.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-F, Maximum COPC Concentrations in the Peak Year at IDF-East and the RPPDF, Core Zone Boundary, and Columbia River Nearshore	5–557

Table 5–83.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-G, Maximum COPC Concentrations in the Peak Year at IDF-East and the RPPDF, Core Zone Boundary, and Columbia River Nearshore	5–581
Table 5–84.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-A, Maximum COPC Concentrations in the Peak Year at IDF-East and the RPPDF, Core Zone Boundary, and Columbia River Nearshore	5–603
Table 5–85.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-B, Base Case, Maximum COPC Concentrations in the Peak Year at IDF-East and the RPPDF, Core Zone Boundary, and Columbia River Nearshore	5–621
Table 5–86.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2B, Option Case, Maximum COPC Concentrations in the Peak Year at IDF-East and the RPPDF, Core Zone Boundary, and Columbia River Nearshore	5–648
Table 5–87.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 3, Base Case, Maximum COPC Concentrations in the Peak Year at IDF-East and the RPPDF, Core Zone Boundary, and Columbia River Nearshore	5–681
Table 5–88.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 3, Option Case, Maximum COPC Concentrations in the Peak Year at IDF-East and the RPPDF, Core Zone Boundary, and Columbia River Nearshore	5–681
Table 5–89.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-A, Maximum COPC Concentrations in the Peak Year at IDF-East, IDF-West, the RPPDF, the Core Zone Boundary, and the Columbia River Nearshore	5–730
Table 5–90.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-B, Maximum COPC Concentrations in the Peak Year at IDF-East, IDF-West, the RPPDF, the Core Zone Boundary, and the Columbia River Nearshore	5–761
Table 5–91.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-C, Maximum COPC Concentrations in the Peak Year at IDF-East, IDF-West, the RPPDF, the Core Zone Boundary, and the Columbia River Nearshore	5–791
Table 5–92.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-D, Maximum COPC Concentrations in the Peak Year at IDF-East, IDF-West, the RPPDF, the Core Zone Boundary, and the Columbia River Nearshore	5–822
Table 5–93.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-E, Maximum COPC Concentrations in the Peak Year at IDF-East, IDF-West, the RPPDF, the Core Zone Boundary, and the Columbia River Nearshore	5–854
Table 5–94.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-F, Maximum COPC Concentrations in the Peak Year at IDF-East, IDF-West, the RPPDF, the Core Zone Boundary, and the Columbia River Nearshore	5–882
Table 5–95.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-G, Maximum COPC Concentrations in the Peak Year at IDF-East, IDF-West, the RPPDF, the Core Zone Boundary, and the Columbia River Nearshore	5–913
Table 5–96.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-A, Maximum COPC Concentrations in the Peak Year at IDF-East, IDF-West, the RPPDF, the Core Zone Boundary, and the Columbia River Nearshore	5–940
Table 5–97.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-B, Base Case, Maximum COPC Concentrations in the Peak Year at IDF-East, IDF-West, the RPPDF, the Core Zone Boundary, and the Columbia River Nearshore	5–970
Table 5–98.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-B, Option Case, Maximum COPC Concentrations in the Peak Year at IDF-East, IDF-West, the RPPDF, the Core Zone Boundary, and the Columbia River Nearshore	5–1000

List of Tables

Table 5–99.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 3, Base Case, Maximum COPC Concentrations in the Peak Year at IDF-East, IDF-West, the RPPDF, the Core Zone Boundary, and the Columbia River Nearshore	5–1031
Table 5–100.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 3, Option Case, Maximum COPC Concentrations in the Peak Year at IDF-East, IDF-West, the RPPDF, the Core Zone Boundary, and the Columbia River Nearshore	5–1061
Table 5–101.	Waste Management Alternative 1 Drinking-Water Well User and Resident Farmer Long-Term Human Health Impact Summary.....	5–1080
Table 5–102.	Waste Management Alternative 1 American Indian Resident Farmer and American Indian Hunter-Gatherer Long-Term Human Health Impact Summary	5–1080
Table 5–103.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-A, Drinking-Water Well User and Resident Farmer Long-Term Human Health Impact Summary	5–1083
Table 5–104.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-A, American Indian Resident Farmer and American Indian Hunter-Gatherer Long-Term Human Health Impact Summary	5–1083
Table 5–105.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-B, Drinking-Water Well User and Resident Farmer Long-Term Human Health Impact Summary	5–1086
Table 5–106.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-B, American Indian Resident Farmer and American Indian Hunter-Gatherer Long-Term Human Health Impact Summary	5–1086
Table 5–107.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-C, Drinking-Water Well User and Resident Farmer Long-Term Human Health Impact Summary	5–1089
Table 5–108.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-C, American Indian Resident Farmer and American Indian Hunter-Gatherer Long-Term Human Health Impact Summary	5–1089
Table 5–109.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-D, Drinking-Water Well User and Resident Farmer Long-Term Human Health Impact Summary	5–1092
Table 5–110.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-D, American Indian Resident Farmer and American Indian Hunter-Gatherer Long-Term Human Health Impact Summary	5–1092
Table 5–111.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-E, Drinking-Water Well User and Resident Farmer Long-Term Human Health Impact Summary	5–1095
Table 5–112.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-E, American Indian Resident Farmer and American Indian Hunter-Gatherer Long-Term Human Health Impact Summary	5–1095
Table 5–113.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-F, Drinking-Water Well User and Resident Farmer Long-Term Human Health Impact Summary	5–1098
Table 5–114.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-F, American Indian Resident Farmer and American Indian Hunter-Gatherer Long-Term Human Health Impact Summary	5–1098
Table 5–115.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-G, Drinking-Water Well User and Resident Farmer Long-Term Human Health Impact Summary	5–1101

Table 5–116.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-G, American Indian Resident Farmer and American Indian Hunter-Gatherer Long-Term Human Health Impact Summary	5–1101
Table 5–117.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-A, Drinking-Water Well User and Resident Farmer Long-Term Human Health Impact Summary	5–1104
Table 5–118.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-A, American Indian Resident Farmer and American Indian Hunter-Gatherer Long-Term Human Health Impact Summary	5–1104
Table 5–119.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-B, Base Case, Drinking-Water Well User and Resident Farmer Long-Term Human Health Impact Summary	5–1107
Table 5–120.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-B, Base Case, American Indian Resident Farmer and American Indian Hunter-Gatherer Long-Term Human Health Impact Summary	5–1107
Table 5–121.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-B, Option Case, Drinking-Water Well User and Resident Farmer Long-Term Human Health Impact Summary	5–1108
Table 5–122.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-B, Option Case, American Indian Resident Farmer and American Indian Hunter-Gatherer Long-Term Human Health Impact Summary	5–1108
Table 5–123.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 3, Base Case, Drinking-Water Well User and Resident Farmer Long-Term Human Health Impact Summary	5–1112
Table 5–124.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 3, Base Case, American Indian Resident Farmer and American Indian Hunter-Gatherer Long-Term Human Health Impact Summary	5–1112
Table 5–125.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 3, Option Case, Drinking-Water Well User and Resident Farmer Long-Term Human Health Impact Summary	5–1113
Table 5–126.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 3, Option Case, American Indian Resident Farmer and American Indian Hunter-Gatherer Long-Term Human Health Impact Summary	5–1113
Table 5–127.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-A, Drinking-Water Well User and Resident Farmer Long-Term Human Health Impact Summary	5–1117
Table 5–128.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-A, American Indian Resident Farmer and American Indian Hunter-Gatherer Long-Term Human Health Impact Summary	5–1118
Table 5–129.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-B, Drinking-Water Well User and Resident Farmer Long-Term Human Health Impact Summary	5–1121
Table 5–130.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-B, American Indian Resident Farmer and American Indian Hunter-Gatherer Long-Term Human Health Impact Summary	5–1122
Table 5–131.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 1-C, Drinking-Water Well User and Resident Farmer Long-Term Human Health Impact Summary	5–1125
Table 5–132.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-C, American Indian Resident Farmer and American Indian Hunter-Gatherer Long-Term Human Health Impact Summary	5–1126

List of Tables

Table 5–133.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-D, Drinking-Water Well User and Resident Farmer Long-Term Human Health Impact Summary	5–1129
Table 5–134.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-D, American Indian Resident Farmer and American Indian Hunter-Gatherer Long-Term Human Health Impact Summary	5–1130
Table 5–135.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-E, Drinking-Water Well User and Resident Farmer Long-Term Human Health Impact Summary	5–1133
Table 5–136.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-E, American Indian Resident Farmer and American Indian Hunter-Gatherer Long-Term Human Health Impact Summary	5–1134
Table 5–137.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-F Drinking-Water Well User and Resident Farmer Long-Term Human Health Impact Summary	5–1137
Table 5–138.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-F, American Indian Resident Farmer and American Indian Hunter-Gatherer Long-Term Human Health Impact Summary	5–1138
Table 5–139.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-G, Drinking-Water Well User and Resident Farmer Long-Term Human Health Impact Summary	5–1141
Table 5–140.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-G, American Indian Resident Farmer and American Indian Hunter-Gatherer Long-Term Human Health Impact Summary	5–1142
Table 5–141.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-A, Drinking-Water Well User and Resident Farmer Long-Term Human Health Impact Summary	5–1145
Table 5–142.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-A, American Indian Resident Farmer and American Indian Hunter-Gatherer Long-Term Human Health Impact Summary	5–1146
Table 5–143.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-B, Base Case, Drinking-Water Well User and Resident Farmer Long-Term Human Health Impact Summary	5–1149
Table 5–144.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-B, Base Case, American Indian Resident Farmer and American Indian Hunter-Gatherer Long-Term Human Health Impact Summary	5–1150
Table 5–145.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-B, Option Case, Drinking-Water Well User and Resident Farmer Long-Term Human Health Impact Summary	5–1151
Table 5–146.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-B, Option Case, American Indian Resident Farmer and American Indian Hunter-Gatherer Long-Term Human Health Impact Summary	5–1152
Table 5–147.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 3, Base Case, Drinking-Water Well User and Resident Farmer Long-Term Human Health Impact Summary	5–1156
Table 5–148.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 3, Base Case, American Indian Resident Farmer and American Indian Hunter-Gatherer Long-Term Human Health Impact Summary	5–1157
Table 5–149.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 3, Option Case, Drinking-Water Well User and Resident Farmer Long-Term Human Health Impact Summary	5–1158

Table 5–150.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 3, Option Case, American Indian Resident Farmer and American Indian Hunter-Gatherer Long-Term Human Health Impact Summary	5–1159
Table 5–151.	Waste Management Alternatives – Long-Term Impacts of Chemical COPC Releases to Air on Terrestrial Resources at the Onsite Maximum-Exposure Location	5–1163
Table 5–152.	Waste Management Alternatives – Long-Term Impacts of Contaminant Releases to Groundwater on Aquatic and Riparian Resources at the Columbia River	5–1164
Table 5–153.	Alternative Combination 1 Release to Vadose Zone for the COPC Drivers	5–1170
Table 5–154.	Alternative Combination 1 Release to Groundwater for the COPC Drivers	5–1170
Table 5–155.	Alternative Combination 1 Release to the Columbia River for the COPC Drivers	5–1171
Table 5–156.	Alternative Combination 1 Maximum COPC Concentrations in the Peak Year at the Core Zone Boundary and Columbia River Nearshore.....	5–1172
Table 5–157.	Alternative Combination 2 Release to Vadose Zone for the COPC Drivers	5–1198
Table 5–158.	Alternative Combination 2 Release to Groundwater Zone for the COPC Drivers	5–1198
Table 5–159.	Alternative Combination 2 Release to Columbia River for the COPC Drivers.....	5–1199
Table 5–160.	Alternative Combination 2 Maximum COPC Concentrations in the Peak Year at the Core Zone Boundary and Columbia River Nearshore.....	5–1199
Table 5–161.	Alternative Combination 3 Release to Vadose Zone for the COPC Drivers	5–1228
Table 5–162.	Alternative Combination 3 Release to Groundwater for the COPC Drivers	5–1228
Table 5–163.	Alternative Combination 3 Release to Columbia River for the COPC Drivers.....	5–1229
Table 5–164.	Alternative Combination 3 Maximum COPC Concentrations in the Peak Year at the Core Zone Boundary and Columbia River Nearshore.....	5–1230
Table 5–165.	Alternative Combination 1 Drinking-Water Well User and Resident Farmer Long-Term Human Health Impact Summary	5–1261
Table 5–166.	Alternative Combination 1 American Indian Resident Farmer and American Indian Hunter-Gatherer Long-Term Human Health Impact Summary.....	5–1261
Table 5–167.	Alternative Combination 2 Drinking-Water Well User and Resident Farmer Long-Term Human Health Impact Summary	5–1264
Table 5–168.	Alternative Combination 2 American Indian Resident Farmer and American Indian Hunter-Gatherer Long-Term Human Health Impact Summary.....	5–1264
Table 5–169.	Alternative Combination 3 Drinking-Water Well User and Resident Farmer Long-Term Human Health Impact Summary	5–1267
Table 5–170.	Alternative Combination 3 American Indian Resident Farmer and American Indian Hunter-Gatherer Long-Term Human Health Impact Summary.....	5–1267
Table 5–171.	Alternative Combinations – Long-Term Impacts of Chemical COPC Releases to Air on Terrestrial Resources at the Onsite Maximum-Exposure Location.....	5–1271
Table 5–172.	Alternative Combinations – Long-Term Impacts of Contaminant Releases to Groundwater on Aquatic and Riparian Resources at the Columbia River.....	5–1271
Table 6–1.	Cumulative Land Area Disturbed	6–7
Table 6–2.	Potential Cumulative Utility Infrastructure Requirements	6–10
Table 6–3.	Cumulative Impacts of Criteria Air Pollutants	6–14
Table 6–4.	Potential Cumulative Geologic and Soil Resource Requirements	6–17
Table 6–5.	Cumulative Area of Terrestrial Habitat Disturbed.....	6–21
Table 6–6.	Cumulative Socioeconomic Impacts.....	6–25
Table 6–7.	Cumulative Radiological Human Health Impacts to Hanford Site Workers and the Public	6–26

List of Tables

Table 6–8.	Cumulative Transportation Impacts.....	6–32
Table 6–9.	Cumulative Waste Volumes	6–35
Table 6–10.	Estimated Industrial Safety Cumulative Impacts.....	6–41
Table 6–11.	Alternative Combination 1 Release to Vadose Zone for COPC Drivers.....	6–43
Table 6–12.	Alternative Combination 1 Release to Groundwater for COPC Drivers.....	6–43
Table 6–13.	Alternative Combination 1 Release to Columbia River for Radiological Risk Drivers.....	6–44
Table 6–14.	Alternative Combination 1 Maximum Concentrations for COPCs.....	6–44
Table 6–15.	Alternative Combination 2 Release to Vadose Zone for COPC Drivers.....	6–78
Table 6–16.	Alternative Combination 2 Release to Groundwater for COPC Drivers.....	6–79
Table 6–17.	Alternative Combination 2 Release to Columbia River for COPC Drivers.....	6–79
Table 6–18.	Alternative Combination 2 Maximum Concentrations for COPCs.....	6–80
Table 6–19.	Alternative Combination 3 Release to Vadose Zone for COPC Drivers.....	6–117
Table 6–20.	Alternative Combination 3 Release to Groundwater for COPC Drivers.....	6–117
Table 6–21.	Alternative Combination 3 Release to Columbia River for COPC Drivers.....	6–118
Table 6–22.	Alternative Combination 3 Maximum Concentrations for COPCs.....	6–118
Table 6–23.	Summary of Peak Impacts of Releases from Non-TC & WM EIS Sources for the Drinking-Water Well User and Resident Farmer Receptors.....	6–157
Table 6–24.	Summary of Peak Impacts of Releases from Non-TC & WM EIS Sources for the American Indian Resident Farmer and American Indian Hunter- Gatherer Receptors	6–157
Table 6–25.	Alternative Combination 1 Summary of Peak Cumulative Impacts for the Drinking-Water Well User and Resident Farmer Receptors.....	6–159
Table 6–26.	Alternative Combination 1 Summary of Peak Cumulative Impacts for the American Indian Resident Farmer and American Indian Hunter- Gatherer Receptors	6–159
Table 6–27.	Alternative Combination 2 Summary of Peak Cumulative Impacts for the Drinking-Water Well User and Resident Farmer Receptors.....	6–161
Table 6–28.	Alternative Combination 2 Summary of Peak Cumulative Impacts for the American Indian Resident Farmer and American Indian Hunter- Gatherer Receptors	6–161
Table 6–29.	Alternative Combination 3 Summary of Peak Cumulative Impacts for the Drinking-Water Well User and Resident Farmer Receptors.....	6–163
Table 6–30.	Alternative Combination 3 Summary of Peak Cumulative Impacts for the American Indian Resident Farmer and American Indian Hunter- Gatherer Receptors	6–163
Table 6–31.	Potential Cumulative Impacts on Ecological Risk from Releases to Air.....	6–165
Table 6–32.	Toxicity Benchmark Concentrations for Ecological Receptors Exposed to Chemicals in Soil, Water, and Sediment	6–166
Table 6–33.	Summary of Long-Term Impacts of Alternative Combinations and Cumulative Impacts on Aquatic and Riparian Resources at the Columbia River Resulting from Contaminant Releases to Groundwater.....	6–167
Table 6–34.	Risk Indices for Aquatic and Riparian Receptors and Selected Chemical and Radiological Constituents of Potential Concern – Cumulative Impacts with Alternative Combinations	6–168
Table 6–35.	Summary of Long-Term Impacts of Alternative Combinations and Cumulative Impacts on Aquatic and Riparian Resources at the Columbia River Resulting from Contaminant Releases to Groundwater.....	6–170
Table 6–36.	Estimated Annual Average Carbon Dioxide Emissions by Alternative	6–173
Table 7–1.	Summary of Potential Mitigation Measures	7–3

Table 7-2.	Unavoidable Adverse Environmental Impacts for Alternative Combinations	7-30
Table 7-3.	Irreversible and Irrecoverable Commitment of Land Resources for Tank Closure Alternatives	7-35
Table 7-4.	Irreversible and Irrecoverable Commitment of Construction Materials for Tank Closure Alternatives	7-38
Table 7-5.	Irreversible and Irrecoverable Commitment of Nonconstruction Materials for Tank Closure Alternatives	7-39
Table 7-6.	Utility Resource Commitments for Tank Closure Alternatives	7-40
Table 7-7.	Labor Resource Commitments for Tank Closure Alternatives	7-41
Table 7-8.	Irreversible and Irrecoverable Commitment of Land Resources for FFTF Decommissioning Alternatives	7-43
Table 7-9.	Irreversible and Irrecoverable Commitment of Materials for FFTF Decommissioning Alternatives	7-44
Table 7-10.	Utility Resource Commitments for FFTF Decommissioning Alternatives	7-45
Table 7-11.	Labor Resource Commitments for FFTF Decommissioning Alternatives	7-46
Table 7-12.	Irreversible and Irrecoverable Commitment of Land Resources for Waste Management Alternatives	7-47
Table 7-13.	Irreversible and Irrecoverable Commitment of Materials for Waste Management Alternatives	7-49
Table 7-14.	Utility Resource Commitments for Waste Management Alternatives	7-50
Table 7-15.	Labor Resource Commitments for Waste Management Alternatives	7-51
Table 7-16.	Irreversible and Irrecoverable Commitment of Land Resources for Alternative Combinations	7-51
Table 7-17.	Irreversible and Irrecoverable Commitment of Materials for the Alternative Combinations	7-52
Table 7-18.	Utility Resource Commitments for Alternative Combinations	7-53
Table 7-19.	Labor Resource Commitments for Alternative Combinations	7-53
Table 7-20.	Short-Term Life Cycles for Tank Closure Alternatives	7-55
Table 7-21.	Short- and Long-Term Commitments of Land for Tank Closure Alternatives	7-56
Table 7-22.	Short-Term Life Cycles for FFTF Decommissioning Alternatives	7-58
Table 7-23.	Short- and Long-Term Commitments of Land for FFTF Decommissioning	7-59
Table 7-24.	Short-Term Life Cycles for Waste Management Alternatives	7-61
Table 7-25.	Short- and Long-Term Commitments of Land for Waste Management	7-62
Table 7-26.	Short-Term Life Cycles for Alternative Combinations	7-63
Table 7-27.	Short- and Long-Term Commitments of Land for Alternative Combinations	7-64
Table 8-1.	Potentially Applicable Environmental, Safety, and Health Laws, Regulations, Orders, and Other Requirements	8-1
Table 8-2.	Potential Permits and Approvals Needed for <i>TC & WM EIS</i> Activities	8-30
Table 8-3.	Organizations Contacted During the Consultation Process for the “Tank Closure EIS”	8-31
Table 8-4.	Organizations Contacted During the Consultation Process for This <i>TC & WM EIS</i>	8-32

List of Acronyms and Abbreviations

°C	degree(s) Celsius
°F	degree(s) Fahrenheit
AADT	annual average daily traffic
AEA	Atomic Energy Act of 1954
AEGL	Acute Exposure Guideline Level
ALARA	as low as is reasonably achievable
AMWTP	Advanced Mixed Waste Treatment Project
ANL-W	Argonne National Laboratory-West
ATR	Advanced Test Reactor
BLM	U.S. Bureau of Land Management
<i>BRMaP</i>	<i>Hanford Site Biological Resources Management Plan</i>
CEQ	Council on Environmental Quality
CERCLA	Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act
CFR	<i>Code of Federal Regulations</i>
CH	contact-handled
CH-TRU	contact-handled transuranic
COPC	constituent of potential concern
CSB	Canister Storage Building
CTR	commute trip reduction
CWC	Central Waste Complex
CY	calendar year
D&D	decontamination and decommissioning
dBA	decibels A-weighted
DOE	U.S. Department of Energy
DOE-ID	DOE Idaho Operations Office
DOE-RL	DOE Richland Operations Office
DOI	U.S. Department of the Interior
DOT	U.S. Department of Transportation
DST	double-shell tank
EA	environmental assessment
EBR-II	Experimental Breeder Reactor II
Ecology	Washington State Department of Ecology
EIS	environmental impact statement
EPA	U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
ERDF	Environmental Restoration Disposal Facility
ERPG	Emergency Response Planning Guideline
ETF	Effluent Treatment Facility
Fermi	Enrico Fermi Nuclear Generating Station

FFTF	Fast Flux Test Facility
“FFTF Decommissioning EIS”	“Environmental Impact Statement for the Decommissioning of the Fast Flux Test Facility at the Hanford Site, Richland, Washington” (rescoped in 2006 to the <i>TC & WM EIS</i>)
<i>FFTF Deactivation EA</i>	<i>Environmental Assessment – Sodium Residuals Reaction/Removal and Other Deactivation Work Activities, Fast Flux Test Facility (FFTF) Project, Hanford Site, Richland, Washington</i>
<i>Final Comprehensive Conservation Plan and EIS</i>	<i>Hanford Reach National Monument Final Comprehensive Conservation Plan and Environmental Impact Statement, Adams, Benton, Grant and Franklin Counties, Washington</i>
FMEF	Fuels and Materials Examination Facility
FONSI	Finding of No Significant Impact
FR	<i>Federal Register</i>
FTE	full-time equivalent
Gable Gap	Gable Mountain–Gable Butte Gap
GTCC	greater-than-Class C
<i>GTCC EIS</i>	<i>Environmental Impact Statement for the Disposal of Greater-Than-Class C Low-Level Radioactive Waste</i>
Hanford	Hanford Site
<i>Hanford Comprehensive Land-Use Plan EIS</i>	<i>Final Hanford Comprehensive Land-Use Plan Environmental Impact Statement</i>
<i>Hanford Comprehensive Land-Use Plan EIS SA</i>	<i>Final Supplement Analysis – Hanford Comprehensive Land-Use Plan Environmental Impact Statement</i>
<i>Hanford Defense Waste EIS</i>	<i>Final Environmental Impact Statement, Disposal of Hanford Defense High-Level, Transuranic and Tank Wastes, Hanford Site, Richland, Washington</i>
<i>Hanford NEPA Characterization Report</i>	<i>Hanford Site National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) Characterization Report</i>
<i>Hanford Site Environmental Report</i>	<i>Hanford Site Environmental Report for Calendar Year 2005 (Including Some Early 2006 Information)</i>
<i>HCRMP</i>	<i>Hanford Cultural Resources Management Plan</i>
HEDR	Hanford Environmental Dose Reconstruction
HEPA	high-efficiency particulate air
HIHTL	hose-in-hose transfer line
HLW	high-level radioactive waste
<i>HSW EIS</i>	<i>Final Hanford Site Solid (Radioactive and Hazardous) Waste Program Environmental Impact Statement, Richland, Washington</i>
IDAPA	Idaho Administrative Procedures Act
IDEQ	State of Idaho Department of Environmental Quality

IDF	Integrated Disposal Facility
IDF-East	200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility
IDF-West	200-West Area Integrated Disposal Facility
IHLW	immobilized high-level radioactive waste
ILAW	immobilized low-activity waste
INEEL	Idaho National Engineering and Environmental Laboratory
INL	Idaho National Laboratory
INTEC	Idaho Nuclear Technology and Engineering Center
IPCC	Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change
LAW	low-activity waste
LCF	latent cancer fatality
LERF	Liquid Effluent Retention Facility
LIGO	Laser Interferometer Gravitational-Wave Observatory
LLBG	low-level radioactive waste burial ground
LLW	low-level radioactive waste
LOS	level of service
LWPF	Liquid Waste Processing Facility
MCL	maximum contaminant level
MEI	maximally exposed individual
MFC	Materials and Fuels Complex
MLLW	mixed low-level radioactive waste
MMI	Modified Mercalli Intensity
MODFLOW	modular three-dimensional finite-difference groundwater flow model
MRS	mobile retrieval system
MTHM	metric ton(s) of heavy metal
MUST	miscellaneous underground storage tank
NAAQS	National Ambient Air Quality Standards
National Register	National Register of Historic Places
NDA	nondestructive assay
NDE	nondestructive examination
NEPA	National Environmental Policy Act
NESHAPs	National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants
<i>NI PEIS</i>	<i>Final Programmatic Environmental Impact Statement for Accomplishing Expanded Civilian Nuclear Energy Research and Development and Isotope Production Missions in the United States, Including the Role of the Fast Flux Test Facility (Nuclear Infrastructure PEIS)</i>
NOI	Notice of Intent
NPDES	National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System
NRC	U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
NRDWL	Nonradioactive Dangerous Waste Landfill

NTS	Nevada Test Site
ORP	Office of River Protection
ORR	Oak Ridge Reservation
P.L.	Public Law
PCB	polychlorinated biphenyl
PM	particulate matter
PM _n	particulate matter with an aerodynamic diameter less than or equal to <i>n</i> micrometers
PNNL	Pacific Northwest National Laboratory
PPA	Property Protected Area
ppb	part(s) per billion
PPF	Preprocessing Facility
ppm	part(s) per million
PSD	Prevention of Significant Deterioration
PT	Pretreatment
PUREX	Plutonium-Uranium Extraction
<i>Quality Review</i>	<i>Report of the Review of the “Hanford Solid Waste Environmental Impact Statement (EIS)” Data Quality, Control and Management Issues</i>
R&D	research and development
RADTRAN 5	Radioactive Material Transportation risk assessment computer code
RCB	Reactor Containment Building
RCRA	Resource Conservation and Recovery Act
RCW	<i>Revised Code of Washington</i>
REDOX	Reduction-Oxidation
RH	remote-handled
RH-SC	remote-handled special component
RH-TRU	remote-handled transuranic
RISKIND	Risks and Consequences of Radiological Material Transport computer code
ROD	Record of Decision
ROI	region of influence
RPP	River Protection Project
RPPDF	River Protection Project Disposal Facility
RTC	Reactor Technology Complex
RTP	Remote Treatment Project
RWMC	Radioactive Waste Management Complex
SA	supplement analysis
SALDS	State-Approved Land Disposal Site
SC	special component
SEPA	State Environmental Policy Act
SNF	spent nuclear fuel

<i>SNF PEIS</i>	<i>Department of Energy Programmatic Spent Nuclear Fuel Management and Idaho National Engineering Laboratory Environmental Restoration and Waste Management Programs Final Environmental Impact Statement</i>
SPF	Sodium Processing Facility
SRE	Sodium Reactor Experiment
SRF	Sodium Reaction Facility
SRS	Savannah River Site
SSF	Sodium Storage Facility
SST	single-shell tank
STOMP	Subsurface Transport Over Multiple Phases
STTS	Supplemental Treatment Technology Site
STTS-East	200-East Area Supplemental Treatment Technology Site
STTS-West	200-West Area Supplemental Treatment Technology Site
<i>SWIFT</i>	<i>Solid Waste Integrated Forecast Technical (SWIFT) Report</i>
SWITS	Solid Waste Information and Tracking System
SWOC	Solid Waste Operations Complex
<i>T&ESMP-SS</i>	<i>Threatened and Endangered Species Management Plan, Salmon and Steelhead</i>
“Tank Closure EIS”	“Environmental Impact Statement for Retrieval, Treatment, and Disposal of Tank Waste and Closure of Single-Shell Tanks at the Hanford Site, Richland, Washington” (rescoped in 2006 to the <i>TC & WM EIS</i>)
<i>TC & WM EIS</i>	<i>Tank Closure and Waste Management Environmental Impact Statement for the Hanford Site, Richland, Washington</i>
TCP	traditional cultural property
TEDF	Treated Effluent Disposal Facility
<i>The Green Book</i>	<i>Recommendations for the Preparation of Environmental Assessments and Environmental Impact Statements</i>
TMC	theoretical maximum capacity
TPA	Hanford Federal Facility Agreement and Consent Order (Tri-Party Agreement)
TRAGIS	Transportation Routing Analysis Geographic Information System
trenches	ditches
TRC	total recordable cases
TRU	transuranic
TSCA	Toxic Substances Control Act
TSD	treatment, storage, and disposal
<i>TWRS EIS</i>	<i>Tank Waste Remediation System, Hanford Site, Richland, Washington, Final Environmental Impact Statement</i>
U.S.C.	<i>United States Code</i>
USFWS	U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
USGS	U.S. Geological Survey
VBR	vacuum-based retrieval
VRM	Visual Resource Management

WAC	<i>Washington Administrative Code</i>
WAG	Waste Area Group
<i>Waste Management</i>	<i>Final Waste management Programmatic Environmental Impact Statement for Managing Treatment, Storage, and Disposal of Radioactive and Hazardous Waste</i>
WESF	Waste Encapsulation and Storage Facility
WIPP	Waste Isolation Pilot Plant
<i>WIPP SEIS-II</i>	<i>Waste Isolation Pilot Plant Disposal Phase Final Supplemental Environmental Impact Statement</i>
WLAP	Wastewater-Land Application Permit
<i>WM PEIS</i>	<i>Final Waste Management Programmatic Environmental Impact Statement for Managing Treatment, Storage, and Disposal of Radioactive and Hazardous Waste</i>
WRAP	Waste Receiving and Processing Facility
WRF	waste receiver facility
WSDOT	Washington State Department of Transportation
WTP	Waste Treatment Plant

Measurement Units

The principal measurement units used in this *Draft Tank Closure and Waste Management Environmental Impact Statement for the Hanford Site, Richland, Washington (TC & WM EIS)* are SI units (the abbreviation for the *Système International d'Unites*). The SI system is an expanded version of the metric system that was accepted in 1966 in Elsinore, Denmark, as the legal standard by the International Organization of Standardization. In this system, most units are made up of combinations of seven basic units, of which length in meters, mass in kilograms, and volume in liters are of most importance in this *TC & WM EIS*. Exceptions are radiological units that use the English system (e.g., rem, millirem).

SCIENTIFIC (EXPONENTIAL) NOTATION

Numbers that are very small or very large are often expressed in scientific, or exponential, notation as a matter of convenience. For example, the number 0.000034 may be expressed as 3.4×10^{-5} or 3.4E-05, and 65,000 may be expressed as 6.5×10^4 or 6.5E+04. In this *TC & WM EIS*, numerical values that are less than 0.001 or greater than 9,999 are generally expressed in scientific notation, i.e., 1.0×10^{-3} and 9.9×10^3 , respectively.

Multiples or submultiples of the basic units are also used. A partial list of prefixes that denote multiples and submultiples follows, with the equivalent multiplier values expressed in scientific notation.

Prefix	Symbol	Multiplier	
atto	a	0.000 000 000 000 000 001	1×10^{-18}
femto	f	0.000 000 000 000 001	1×10^{-15}
pico	p	0.000 000 000 001	1×10^{-12}
nano	n	0.000 000 001	1×10^{-9}
micro	μ	0.000 001	1×10^{-6}
milli	m	0.001	1×10^{-3}
centi	c	0.01	1×10^{-2}
deci	d	0.1	1×10^{-1}
deka	da	10	1×10^1
hecto	h	100	1×10^2
kilo	k	1,000	1×10^3
mega	M	1,000,000	1×10^6
giga	G	1,000,000,000	1×10^9
tera	T	1,000,000,000,000	1×10^{12}
peta	P	1,000,000,000,000,000	1×10^{15}
exa	E	1,000,000,000,000,000,000	1×10^{18}

The following symbols are occasionally used in conjunction with numerical expressions:

- < less than
- ≤ less than or equal to
- > greater than
- ≥ greater than or equal to

Conversions

English to Metric			Metric to English		
Multiply	by	To get	Multiply	by	To get
Area			Area		
square inches	6.4516	square centimeters	square centimeters	0.155	square inches
square feet	0.092903	square meters	square meters	10.7639	square feet
square yards	0.8361	square meters	square meters	1.196	square yards
acres	0.40469	hectares	hectares	2.471	acres
square miles	2.58999	square kilometers	square kilometers	0.3861	square miles
Length			Length		
inches	2.54	centimeters	centimeters	0.3937	inches
feet	30.48	centimeters	centimeters	0.0328	feet
feet	0.3048	meters	meters	3.281	feet
yards	0.9144	meters	meters	1.0936	yards
miles	1.60934	kilometers	kilometers	0.6214	miles
Temperature			Temperature		
degrees Fahrenheit	Subtract 32, then multiply by 0.55556	degrees Celsius	degrees Celsius	Multiply by 1.8, then add 32	degrees Fahrenheit
Volume			Volume		
fluid ounces	29.574	milliliters	milliliters	0.0338	fluid ounces
gallons	3.7854	liters	liters	0.26417	gallons
cubic feet	0.028317	cubic meters	cubic meters	35.315	cubic feet
cubic yards	0.76455	cubic meters	cubic meters	1.308	cubic yards
Weight			Weight		
ounces	28.3495	grams	grams	0.03527	ounces
pounds	0.45360	kilograms	kilograms	2.2046	pounds
short tons	0.90718	metric tons	metric tons	1.1023	short tons