

RAP Meeting Transcribed Flipcharts
April 18, 2012

Follow Up TRU Topics

1. Possibly have a larger conversation w/HAB re: waste classification, incl. concern about contracting incentives influencing/causing potential impact on waste classification.
2. Apply information to discussion re: 618-10/11 VPU and 324 Building-B Cell, etc.
3. Develop “mini tutorial” to present to full Board ahead of future advice – better relevancy
→ Look for HAB opportunities for this.

Page 1

324 Bldg B Cell Committee Comments & Concerns

1. Uncertainty of sampling – volume of cells not great enough to remove (hold) contamination.
2. “Heat” (thermal) of material being removed → Glove box implications
→ “Hot cells”
3. Cost factor among alternatives?
 - Not a driving factor
 - Construction start up of new facility would lead to ↑ cost
 - Among RTD alternative, there was not a big difference in cost

Page 2

324 Bldg B Cell Committee Comments & Concerns (cont'd)

4. Are there qualified contractors out there for the next steps?
→ Yes – expression of interest already indicates that there are people capable of doing this work.
5. Is the monolith approach considered “proven technology?”
→ Yes

6. Who would be responsible for management of the workforce?
 - WCH with subcontracted teams
 - DOE oversight
7. Will contract specify grouting or not?
 - Yes, but may/may be a monolith approach
8. Ventilation will be provided throughout remediation?
 - Yes

Page 3

Follow Up – 324 Building B-Cell

1. DOE requested to return to committee after the technical approach is selected (August)
2. Committee can then determine need for Sept advice/Board presentation, or any other action
3. Question to address: What level of RTD is being proposed?

Page 4

Progress at 100 K

1. DOE still in the process of reviewing red-line strikeout version. Will provide to EPA when done.
2. Key element: DOE now proposing Alt 3
 - More aggressive pump and treat
 - Includes RTD

Page 5

Progress at 100 K (cont'd)

3. Still discussing evaluation of protectiveness of surface barriers downstream of Reactor Areas (groundwater issues)
4. Proposing revised PRGs for irrigation scenarios – tentative area of agreement

Page 6

Progress at 100 K (cont'd)

5. Proposed milestone change package for orchard areas site-wide with full RI/FS (separate operable unit)
 - EPA/Ecology has sent proposed change package to DOE
 - If this issue cannot be resolved, agencies will enter into formal dispute resolution process
6. Looking at early July for getting final review to EPA (Rev.0 proposed plan)
→ Copy will be provided to HAB when it is provided to EPA
7. Then out for public review (led by TPA)

Page 7

Follow Up 100-K

1. DOE/EPA requested to return to RAP for update once document is out for public review
2. Committee requests public comment to end after HAB mtg in September
3. Attend River Corridor meetings in June for an opportunity to provide individual HAB member comment

Page 8

Follow Up – 300 Area

1. Steve and Dale, other IMs will take advice points from on-screen work today and develop draft advice to bring back in May RAP mtg.

Page 9

May 3 Site-Wide Permit Meeting

1. Provide opp. for people to learn where to find info within the permit
2. Identify HAB members for the 4-5pm slot who may take lead on certain advice topics going forward

Page 10

Follow Up Site Wide Permit Mtg (May 3)

1. Use SharePoint site as a repository for draft advice development
2. Other HAB members (in interested communities) work with Pam to coordinate TV broadcasts
3. For May RAP meeting, identify permit units of interest for potential advice development

Page 11

Follow Up/Action Items

1. Find out which elements get “on the list” for DOE’s definition of TRU –Mike Collins (Susan H. to coordinate with DOE on this).
2. Track 618-10/11 questions generated during “TRU” discussion & provide to presenters for next discussion – EnviroIssues
3. Check into not having June mtg, but have in July instead – EnviroIssues w/EIC & TPA agencies
4. Larry to send committee the paragraph from Draft Prop Plan (A.1) reference to remedy proposal if sequestration doesn’t work

Page 12

Follow Up/Action Items (cont’d)

5. Email agenda edits to Madeleine (Susan H.)
6. Pam to notify Madeleine by April 25th if she wants to be on 12:30 portion of May 3 agenda (Pam L.)
7. Encourage HAB members to visit site wide permit website ahead of May 3 mtg. (Madeleine by April 23)
8. Pam to talk with Dennis F. to help identify framing questions for 2015 vision discussion

Page 13
