



Section M

HAMMER

PROJECT MANAGERS

P. W. KRUGER, RL
(509) 372-4005

K.A. McGinnis, FH
(509) 376-9403

INTRODUCTION

The HAMMER Project consists of Project Baseline Summary (PBS) RL-SS05, Work Breakdown Structure (WBS) 3.4.5.

NOTE: Unless otherwise noted, all information contained herein is as of the end of January 2002.

Fiscal-year-to-date milestone performance (EA, DOE-HQ, and RL) shows that there are no milestones.

NOTABLE ACCOMPLISHMENTS

Volpentest HAMMER's first priority is to deliver hands-on training to the Hanford workforce. During January one hundred seventy-five classes were conducted at the Volpentest HAMMER facility, for a total of 2,787 Hanford site student days. Highest attended health and safety classes included Hazardous Waste Operations, Respiratory Protection, Radiation Worker II Requalification, Tank Farm Operator Continuing Training, and Fire Watch training. Overall satisfaction, rated on a scale from one to five based on level one evaluations, for the month of January: Course Content 4.48, Instructor(s) 4.60 and Facility 4.54.

HAMMER facility usage in FY 2002 continues to be very strong. Classroom and prop utilization statistics for January indicate 70 percent total classroom occupancy and 24 percent total prop occupancy. Scheduling courses during the rest of this year is a growing challenge. HAMMER personnel are working with training providers to shuffle courses and creatively maximize the usage of HAMMER resources.

HAMMER facilitated or brokered 26 training sessions covering 17 specific subjects at the HAMMER campus. These training sessions supported Sigma Six for BHI, SST Chartering, National Registry of Radiation Protection Technologists (NRRPT) Exam training for Duratec and Operating Engineering training for CH2M HILL Hanford Group, Inc. (CHG) and PBView for Pacific Northwest National Laboratory (PNNL). A total of seven sessions were provided to support facility training for Spent Nuclear Fuel. HAMMER also provided six multi-contractor sessions.

The computer based training room at HAMMER supported classes for PNNL, CHG, Department of Energy (DOE) and EnergX during January 2002. Classes included: Panorama Business Views, Computerized Asset Maintenance Program, Performance Indicator Forum, Individual development plan (IDP) training and other.

The Voluntary Protection Plan (VPP) champion team is progressing well on the VPP application. Currently the Self Assessment is approximately 80 percent complete. The staff were interviewed, the Corrective Action Plan prepared, and a briefing was delivered at the all employees meeting on February 14, 2002. Once the Self Assessment is completed, it will be available to the HAMMER/FH Training staff for review. The champions have been assigned individual elements to complete for the application. Several have been completed and are currently under review. The application is on schedule to have the draft delivered to management for review in April 2002.

BREAKTHROUGHS / OPPORTUNITIES FOR IMPROVEMENT

Nothing to report at this time.

UPCOMING ACTIVITIES

Complete installation of sheet metal walls in the Search and Rescue building in February 2002.

MILESTONE ACHIEVEMENT

Nothing to report at this time.

PERFORMANCE OBJECTIVES

Nothing to report at this time.

FY 2002 SCHEDULE / COST PERFORMANCE – ALL FUND TYPES FY TO DATE STATUS – (\$000)

By PBS		FYTD							
		BCWS	BCWP	ACWP	SV	%	CV	%	BAC
PBS SS05 WBS 3.4.5.1	Hammer	\$ 1,511	\$ 1,383	\$ 1,623	\$ (128)	-8%	\$ (240)	-17%	\$ 4,765
Total		\$ 1,511	\$ 1,383	\$ 1,623	\$ (128)	-8%	\$ (240)	-17%	\$ 4,765

FY TO DATE SCHEDULE / COST PERFORMANCE

The unfavorable schedule variance of eight percent is insignificant and within the established thresholds.

The unfavorable cost variance of seventeen percent is mainly due to receiving purchase order contract costs earlier than planned.

For all active sub-PBSs and TTPs associated with the Operations/Field Office, Fiscal Year to Date (FYTD) Cost and Schedule variances exceeding + / - 10 percent or one million dollars require submission of narratives to explain the variance.

Schedule Variance Analysis: (-\$0.13M)

HAMMER – 3.4.5.1/SS05

Description and Cause: The variance is within thresholds.

Impact: None.

Corrective Action: None.

Cost Variance Analysis: (-\$0.24)

HAMMER — 3.4.5.1/SS05

Description and Cause: The unfavorable cost variance which falls outside established thresholds is mainly due to receiving purchase order contract costs earlier than planned.

Impact: There is no significant project impact at this time.

Corrective Action: None required; continue to review contract costs.

FUNDS MANAGEMENT FYTD FUNDS VS SPENDING FORECAST (\$000)

	FH Funds RealLocation	FYSF	Variance
3.4.5 HAMMER			
SS05			
Project Completion - Operating	\$ 4,942	\$ 5,204	\$ (262)
- Line Item			0
Total	\$ 4,942	\$ 5,204	\$ (262)

[Status through January 2002]

Note: FH Reallocation reflects an FYSF adjusted for scope deletions, deferrals, and identified savings to address funding shortfalls, additional unplanned scope, and cost increases.

ISSUES

Technical, Regulatory, External, and DOE Issues and DOE Requests

Issue: Nothing to report at this time.

Impacts: None.

Corrective Action: None at this time.

BASELINE CHANGE REQUESTS CURRENTLY IN PROCESS

Nothing to report at this time.