



Section B

300 Area Clean Up

PROJECT MANAGERS

B. Bilson, RL
(509) 376-6628

N.C. Boyter, FH
(509) 373-3725

INTRODUCTION

The 300 Area Clean Up consists of the Infrastructure Zone and the 340 Facility Deactivation, Project Baseline Summary (PBS) RL-RC02, Work Breakdown Structure (WBS) 3.1.2.12 and 3.1.2.15.

NOTE: Unless otherwise noted, the Safety, Conduct of Operations, Milestone Achievement, and Cost/Schedule data contained herein is as of November 30, 2001. All other information is as of December 27, 2001.

Fiscal-year-to-date milestone performance (EA, DOE-HQ, and RL) shows that one milestone is on schedule.

NOTABLE ACCOMPLISHMENTS

340 Facility Deactivation — During a recent corrective maintenance action for a failed heater in 340-B West, loose contamination was discovered and a radiological problem report initiated. A second heater was also surveyed and found to have contamination. A third heater that is less accessible is presumed also potentially contaminated. As decontamination is impractical and it has been determined that heat is no longer required, all three heaters will be removed and disposed of as Low Level Waste. Deactivating the heat in the 340B Facility further reduces electrical usage.

Infrastructure Zone — Nothing to report.

SAFETY

All River Corridor Project Safety and Conduct of Operations information is reported in section E.

BREAKTHROUGHS / OPPORTUNITIES FOR IMPROVEMENT

Breakthroughs

Nothing to report.

Opportunities for Improvement

Conduct of Operations Improvement Initiative — A Conduct of Operations Improvement Plan has been initiated to improve organizational performance, and to create a culture change regarding effective implementation of Conduct of Operations principles. The first three months of the Conduct of Operations Improvement Plan have been completed. Each facility and participating organization has spent time reviewing its Conduct of Operations Matrix, identifying areas of improvement and communicating results to the staff. The 340 project director will provide a summary review of progress at the two, four and six-month milestones. The four-month status meeting took place on December 6, 2001.

UPCOMING ACTIVITIES

340 Facility Deactivation — Continue to conduct routine surveillance and maintenance activities.

Milestone Achievement FH Contract Milestones

MSN	Title	Type	Due Date	Actual Date	Forecast Date	Status/Comments
TRP-01-948	Select Tech for Removal of Waste from 340 Vault Tanks	RL	9/30/02		9/30/02	Activities are on schedule

PERFORMANCE OBJECTIVES

None to report.

FY 2002 SCHEDULE / COST PERFORMANCE – ALL FUND TYPES FY TO DATE STATUS – (\$000)

Sub-Project	FISCAL YEAR TO DATE									
	BCWS	BCWP	ACWP	SV	%	CV	%	BAC	EAC	
PBS RC02 WBS 3.1.2.2 Zone B	0	0	1	0	0%	(1)	0%	0	0	
PBS RC02 WBS 3.1.2.3 Zone C	0	0	0	0	0%	(0)	0%	0	0	
PBS RC02 WBS 3.1.2.4 Zone D	0	0	18	0	0%	(18)	0%	8	8	
PBS RC02 WBS 3.1.2.8 Zone H	0	0	1	0	0%	(1)	0%	0	0	
PBS RC02 WBS 3.1.2.10 Zone K	0	0	1	0	0%	(1)	0%	0	0	
PBS RC02 WBS 3.1.2.12 Infrastructure Zone	0	0	0	0	0%	0	0%	77	77	
PBS RC02 WBS 3.1.2.15 340 Facility Deactivation	194	190	138	(4)	-2%	52	28%	1,275	1,275	
Total	194	190	157	(4)	-2%	32	17%	1,360	1,360	

FY TO DATE SCHEDULE / COST PERFORMANCE

The unfavorable schedule variance of \$4K (2 percent) is within established thresholds. The favorable cost variance of \$32K (17 percent) was due to labor underruns resulting from staff working on other priority work scope.

For all active sub-PBSs and TTPs associated with the Operations/Field Office, Fiscal Year to Date (FYTD) Cost and Schedule variances exceeding + / - 10 percent or one million dollars require submission of narratives to explain the variance.

Schedule Variance Analysis: (-\$4K)

The unfavorable schedule variance is within established thresholds.

Cost Variance Analysis: (+\$32K)

Zone D — 3.1.2.4/RC02

Description and Cause: The unfavorable cost variance was due to incorrect labor charging.

Impact: No Impact.

Corrective Action: Costs will be reversed and charged to the correct charge codes via the Time Information System (TIS).

340 Facility Deactivation — 3.1.2.15/RC02

Description and Cause: The favorable cost variance was due to labor underruns resulting from staff working on other priority work scope.

Impact: No Impact.

Corrective Action: No corrective action required.

All other sub-project cost variances in the RC02 PBS are within established thresholds.

ISSUES

Technical, Regulatory, External, and DOE Issues and DOE Requests

Issue: None at this time.

Impacts: None at this time.

Corrective Action: None at this time.

BASELINE CHANGE REQUESTS CURRENTLY IN PROCESS

None to report.