

## Questions & Answers – Solicitation 277116

1. What is the proposed contract type?

A.1 Labor hour as noted in Section 1.0 of the Solicitation.

2. Though the SOW asks for a Consultant to evaluate the Hanford tracking tools for future waste streams from the WTP operations, there are five very distinct (PM, waste expert, IT expert, ESH&Q, cost estimating/best value analyst) roles identified. Is it the intent of WRPS to; 1) fill this with one person, 2) for the contract to fill with several experts, and/or 3) supplement this SOW with WRPS support?

A.2 The SOW requires that the awarded subcontract will include sole performance of the five noted functions noted in the query. The SOW is implementing an independent review of existing onsite and new commercial systems.

3. Is WRPS only looking to evaluate streams from WTP or does WRPS also see relationships between their future streams and existing “future” streams (given waste is not waste until certified) at T-Plant, existing storage boxes and barrels from previous tanks ops, RL ops, etc.

A.3. The streams for consideration are only those solid waste streams originating from either operations at the WTP or Tank Farms (including the 222-S Laboratories managed by ATL). Solid waste generated from CH2MHill Plateau Remediation Company operations (e.g., 200 plateau building remediation such as T-plant), Mission Support Alliance company projects (infrastructure upgrades such as roads or electrical power), or Washington Closure Hanford remediation (e.g., river corridor cleanup) are not included in the data scope.

4. Has WRPS already evaluated the impact from a CIO perspective to existing systems (SWITS, LEMIS, SWIFT, etc.) if their decision is to not leverage the existing systems given those systems would rely on WTP funding to aid in their continued operation?

A.4. No. The proposed subcontractor scope is part of the initial management assessment of future needs and the applicability of SWITS to future waste streams. SWITS may be transitioning to only providing formal site environmental reports, but any new WTP or Tank Farm system would still need to provide output that could be imported by SWITS to maintain this site function.

5. Has WRPS already evaluated relationships between their streams, existing systems and any future tracking with entities such as WIPP and Permafex?

A.5. Yes. However, the interface with these facilities is viewed as minimal to the SOW; a minor function/requirement would be that the data be able to be exported to a format usable to support offsite permitted treatment, storage, and disposal.

6. Has WRPS already evaluated relationships between waste tracking and sample tracking?

### **Questions & Answers – Solicitation 277116**

A.6 No. Solid waste tracking and sample tracking are two separate functions. Sample tracking data is not part of the scope of this SOW, and therefore not a discriminator. It can be noted that the selected option may have this capability, but there is no planning at this time to integrate the two functions.