

SOLICITATION AMENDMENT



I. AMENDMENT

SOLICITATION NO.	SOLICITATION DATE	AMENDMENT NO.	AMENDMENT DATE
268143	June 19, 2014	01	June 26, 2014
ISSUED BY AND RETURN TO: Washington River Protection Solutions, LLC P.O. Box 850 Richland, WA 99352 Attn: Ricky Franzen (509) 373-7141 Ricky_L_Franzen rl.gov MSIN: H6-16		DUE DATE This amendment does not change the date by which offers are due unless a date and time is inserted below.	
		DATE July 7, 2014	TIME 2:00pm

DESCRIPTION OF AMENDMENT

This amendment answers questions from bidders and extends the proposal due date.

Question 1: - Is the LAW Melter Transportation System Evaluation a small business set aside?

Answer 1: - No.

Question 2: - *In Section 3.2 with regard to Personnel Qualifications and Company Past Experience, you only refer to Hanford experience with regard to transportation of large loads. Will experience with the transportation of other large loads, including the evaluation of transportation alternatives of large nuclear components at other DOE sites or commercial nuclear sites be considered under this evaluation criterion. Also in Section 4.1.1 only the transportation of large loads at the Hanford Site is stated as being considered.*

Answer 2: - Experience with transportation of large loads at Hanford will be valued more than experience with transportation of large loads at other DOE sites which in turn will be valued more than experience with transportation of large nuclear components at commercial nuclear sites.

Question 3: - *The planned contract is indicated as being Firm Fixed Price. The information being requested in the Price Proposal does not seem to be consistent with this. Normally for a FFP proposal you would not require a breakdown of the labor categories (Base Rate, OH, G&A, etc.). Is this what you intend?*

Answer 3: - Yes.

Question 4: - Do you have bounding dose rate information for the spent/failed melters expected at the point of handling?

Answer 4: - The bounding dose rate for the spent/failed melters is in the lower end of contact handled limits.

Question 5: - The proposal due date of June 30th at 2:00pm is unusually short for a solicitation of this type. Especially since you are accepting questions from vendors through June 25th and if you allow one or two days to respond there is potentially less than one business day available to adjust proposals based upon the response to questions. Will WRPS consider extending the proposal due date by at least one week? If not we may have to make a no-bid decision on this.

Answer 5: - The proposal due date for Solicitation number 268143 will be extended until July 7 to allow more time for vendors to adjust proposals based on the question and answer period.

Except as provided herein, all terms and conditions of the solicitation remain unchanged and in full force and effect.

II. ACKNOWLEDGMENT OF AMENDMENT

Offerors must acknowledge receipt of this amendment in writing, by the date and time specified for proposal submissions or the revised Due Date above (if revised), whichever is later. FAILURE OF YOUR ACKNOWLEDGMENT TO BE RECEIVED AT THE DESIGNATED LOCATION BY THE SPECIFIED DATE AND TIME MAY RESULT IN REJECTION OF YOUR OFFER. If, by virtue of this amendment you wish to change your offer, such change must make reference to the solicitation and this amendment.

NAME AND ADDRESS OF OFFEROR	NAME OF SIGNER
	TITLE OF SIGNER
OFFEROR (<i>Signature of person authorized to sign</i>)	DATE