

2020 June Meeting Topics

HAB Committee: Public Involvement and Communications (PIC)

Committee meeting: Tuesday, June 23, 2020, 8:30 am – 3:00 pm virtual via GoToMeeting and teleconference line

Committee call placeholder: Wednesday, August 12, 2020, 9:00 – 10:00 am

Agenda Topics	Background Notes. Framing Questions. When is HAB input needed?	Issue Managers (*Denotes Lead)	Agency Lead(s)	Time Needed (minutes)
<p>Topic: Tri-Party Agreement (TPA) Public Involvement Calendar</p> <p>FY2020 Priority: Yes</p> <p>Purpose: To provide an update on the current TPA Public Involvement Calendar and to consider any public involvement materials for PIC review and input</p> <p>Topic Type:</p>	<p>From Dec 2019 PIC meeting – Liz Mattson suggested/offered to develop framing questions for this topic</p> <p>From Jun 10 PIC call –</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • What is upcoming in summer/fall? • Look out further than typical PI calendar? • Are there special things to consider during the time when we can only meet virtually? 		<p>DOE:</p> <p>Ecology:</p> <p>EPA:</p>	<p>Update on upcoming public comment periods, meetings, and events:</p> <p>TPA Agency Perspectives:</p> <p>DOE</p> <p>EPA</p> <p>Ecology:</p> <p>Discussion or Q&A:</p> <p>Total = 45-60 minutes</p>

<p>Agenda Topics</p>	<p>Background Notes. Framing Questions. When is HAB input needed?</p>	<p>Issue Managers (*Denotes Lead)</p>	<p>Agency Lead(s)</p>	<p>Time Needed (minutes)</p>
<p>Topic: Public Comments and Questions at HAB Meetings FY2020 Priority: Purpose: Topic Type:</p>	<p>Deferred topic from September PIC meeting (holdover from June full Board meeting, too)</p> <p>What happens when the public asks questions to the Board or the TPA Agencies during public comment? How can they feel heard and responded to?</p> <p>Bring guidelines for public comment and HAB Process Manual to the meeting for PIC discussion</p>		<p>DOE: Ecology: EPA:</p>	<p>Agency Update or Presentation:</p> <p>Regulatory Perspectives:</p> <p>Discussion or Q&A:</p> <p>Total =</p>
<p>Topic: Regional HAB Meeting FY2020 Priority: Purpose: Update on logistical considerations Topic Type:</p>	<p>Date Time Location Outreach</p> <p>Different from the Regional Dialogue.</p> <p>Framing Questions:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • What do we hope to accomplish with public involvement in the HAB meeting? • Logistics of the meeting • How are we encouraging public involvement? • What topics will be brought by the agencies that are of public interest? • Do we still want to do these regional meetings in the future? What is our go/no go measure for future investment? 		<p>DOE: Ecology: EPA:</p>	<p>Agency Update or Presentation:</p> <p>Regulatory Perspectives:</p> <p>Discussion or Q&A:</p> <p>Total =</p>

Agenda Topics	Background Notes. Framing Questions. When is HAB input needed?	Issue Managers (*Denotes Lead)	Agency Lead(s)	Time Needed (minutes)
<p>Topic: Hanford Regional Dialogue (HRD) FY2020 Priority: Purpose: Topic Type:</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • HRD is a TPA thing, not a single agency thing • Format • Lessons learned from the past • How to get the word out to promote participation • What is the outreach strategy? • Will there be a pre-event survey? • How do we make sure people come to the HRD? (discussion of outreach methods) • What are the chosen focus areas? • Other logistics? 		<p>DOE: Ecology: EPA:</p>	<p>Agency Update or Presentation: Regulatory Perspectives: Discussion or Q&A: Total =</p>
<p>Topic: HAB Member Self-Assessments FY2020 Priority: Purpose: Topic Type:</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • What questions, feedback, and/or concerns have you received from your constituencies? • What public involvement activities have you been involved in since the last PIC meeting? How have you shared information you have received from the HAB? • What upcoming activities do you have to share? • What observations and/or lessons learned do you have to share? 			<p>Total = 15 minutes</p>
<p>Topic: Principles for effective public involvement (based on HAB advice #239) FY2020 Priority: Purpose: Topic Type:</p> <p>1st of 4-part conversation</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Feb: Focus on annual public involvement survey. Look back 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Should we update our principles? (homework assignment for all PIC members to read the previous principles) • What are the new challenges? • Trust? • How do we make public involvement “get with the times”? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Live web streaming of HAB meetings/ ○ How we get with the times on advertising events? 	<p>Needs an IM team</p>	<p>DOE: Ecology: EPA:</p>	<p>Agency Update or Presentation: Regulatory Perspectives: Discussion or Q&A: Total =</p>

<p>Agenda Topics</p>	<p>Background Notes. Framing Questions. When is HAB input needed?</p>	<p>Issue Managers (*Denotes Lead)</p>	<p>Agency Lead(s)</p>	<p>Time Needed (minutes)</p>
<p>at info & issues from previous surveys. Informational topic to kick off series.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Apr: Focus on looking back at Hanford public involvement over past 10 years. Review advice/principles. Identify successes, what has changed, major challenges. (meeting postponed) • Jun: Contrast Hanford public involvement experiences with other non-Hanford experiences members perceived as good/effective. (Combine Apr & June focus in June) • Sep: What action(s) should be taken in FY2021 to improve Hanford public involvement? 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ How do we increase public interest in Hanford when there are new competing causes/problems? • How do we better capitalize on national interest in Hanford and solicit national support for cleanup? • How have you as PIC members been effectively reached on issues in the past? (doesn't have to be Hanford related) • Why aren't more people coming to events? How do we increase public involvement? Why did people used to come? • Should we make this a longer-term focus on how to help the agencies achieve the principles (i.e., hold them accountable for heeding the advice?) Could we make this a topic where the agencies bring discussions of how they have incorporated the principles since they were first communicated in 2010? • What are the benchmarks of a good meeting? <p>From Jun2 10 PIC call –</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • As we get ready for public meetings, how can PIC help TPA agencies get information out to the public, especially during a time when meetings are virtual? • Need to change the way we engage • Discussing sharing examples of quality virtual engagement committee members have experienced and observed generally • How do we make virtual meetings successful? Eg, “one device” approach • What other virtual engagement opportunities are there beyond online public meetings? • What type of outreach do we do? 			

Agenda Topics	Background Notes. Framing Questions. When is HAB input needed?	Issue Managers (*Denotes Lead)	Agency Lead(s)	Time Needed (minutes)
<p>Topic: Diversity in Outreach Populations and Approaches/Venues FY2020 Priority: Purpose: Topic Type:</p> <p>DOE/JoLynn to look for DOE outreach statistics and other data (e.g. lessons learned and other tools from other SSABs like SRS)</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> How do we meet people where they are at and increase the outreach to communities that have not been involved in Hanford so far? What are the metrics to measure the effects of reaching out to a community? How do you define success with outreach? <p>A brainstorming conversation, then identify next steps to move topic/work forward</p>		<p>DOE:</p> <p>Ecology:</p> <p>EPA:</p>	<p>Agency Update or Presentation:</p> <p>Regulatory Perspectives:</p> <p>Discussion or Q&A:</p> <p>Total =</p>
<p>Topic: Future land use, end states for Hanford, the Inner Area Principles, and the CLUP FY2020 Priority: Purpose: Topic Type: Potential advice from PIC: Recommendations for how to explain the role of land use in cleanup</p> <p>Could be joint topic with RAP.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Tutorial on the CLUP and the Inner Area Principles (first bite of a longer running topic) How are future land uses used in decision - making? What are the supporting documents for decisions related to how the land will be used? (potential briefing from regulating agencies?) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Risk assessments (exposure scenarios) CLUP? IAP? Both CERCLA and RCRA example? How is cleanup on the river different from on the plateau from an end state perspective? Compare/contrast What has been decided about the end state of Hanford? How does that trickle down to cleanup levels? What is the status of public acceptance and how aware is the public of the “master plan” for Hanford as a time release capsule? Should the CLUP be revisited for the next generation of cleanup and the interested public? 	<p>Jeff Burrigh, lead</p> <p>Liz Mattson</p> <p>Dan Solitz</p> <p>Shannon Cram</p>	<p>DOE:</p> <p>Ecology:</p> <p>EPA:</p>	<p>Agency Update or Presentation:</p> <p>Regulatory Perspectives:</p> <p>Discussion or Q&A:</p> <p>Total =</p>

<p>Agenda Topics</p>	<p>Background Notes. Framing Questions. When is HAB input needed?</p>	<p>Issue Managers (*Denotes Lead)</p>	<p>Agency Lead(s)</p>	<p>Time Needed (minutes)</p>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • “Integration of everything” – example: tank closure, soil remediation, groundwater pump/treat impacts, etc. How do the decisions interact? • Tutorial on the CLUP to sharpen DOE’s communication skills about the long-term plan for Hanford <p>Framing questions from Dec 2019 PIC meeting:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • What are the “assumptions”? • How do we tell the End State Story? Where is it being told? How often do we have to revisit telling the story? Where does it live? • How are the CLUP and Inner Area Principles being used to drive cleanup? How should they filter down to cleanup? • We want to hear the tribal perspective. How does it fit in? Where do we stand on this? • It has been too long....trying to remember all of the decisions. HAB focuses on it, and it is still difficult to track. How do we make it permanently known? How do we keep things from losing traction over time? How do things change over time as we learn more? • What are the basic assumptions underlying the land use end state vision? Are they still valid? • From a public perspective, cleanup taking years, hundreds of billions of \$\$, and large pieces of land are not/may not be accessible.....what is driving cleanup? RCRA/CERCLA are isolated waste areas. The overall Hanford Question is what we will end up with. Need a tie between the two. • Who is telling the story of the end state and who/how should it be told? • Is this a question for a COTW? • What is in our control and what is not? 			

Agenda Topics	Background Notes. Framing Questions. When is HAB input needed?	Issue Managers (*Denotes Lead)	Agency Lead(s)	Time Needed (minutes)
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Check in on the 2015 HAB Advice on the Inner Area Principles. Are there any agency updates to their earlier response? The agencies might be able to talk to their recent discussions about how to fold the Inner Area Principles into cleanup documents. • What is the legal structure around the title to the land now? What happens in the future? • Using the 300 Area as an example. What would that land be able to be used for in the future? • Where does the HAB's role play into this topic? 			
<p>Topic: Open Forum</p> <p>FY2020 Priority:</p> <p>Purpose:</p> <p>Topic Type: Discussion</p>	<p>Consider scheduling mid-day?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Are we as a PIC committee setting ourselves up to have the right conversations needed right now? • What is a conversation with the public that needs to happen that hasn't yet occurred? • Are there new ways to communicate, either with the public or amongst the HAB, that we should be considering? • How is the information the HAB receives from the agencies flowing back to the organizations we each represent? How can we improve this critical outreach mechanism? • How can the agencies best create the right conditions to have productive conversations? How should they be having the hard conversations that are needed to make progress in the Hanford cleanup? • How can we as a PIC best fulfill our mission of advising the agencies in effective public involvement and communication regarding the Hanford cleanup? 			<p>Total = 30 minutes</p>

Agenda Topics	Background Notes. Framing Questions. When is HAB input needed?	Issue Managers (*Denotes Lead)	Agency Lead(s)	Time Needed (minutes)
Committee Business <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Adopt last meeting summary • Review of PIC FY2020 work plan items and 3-Month Look Ahead 				Total =

Working Draft