

BCC Meeting Transcribed Flipcharts
April 11, 2013

When we say RISK, we mean:

- Potential to harm human health and the environment, short & long term (public, workers)
*Does not include program risk

Program risk: Technical and financial constraints

Page 1

When we say CLEANUP, we mean:

The following has been achieved:

- Regulatory compliance (at a minimum)
- Risk reduction
- Removing contamination from the environment
- Mitigates hazards
- Supports tribal treaty rights (previous advice)
Considers

Page 2

	Criteria 1	2	3	4	5	6
WTP	x					x
WESF	x		x		x	
DVZ charac						
P&T						x

Page 3

EPA Look Ahead

(Framed like criteria)

1. Protect the C. River
 - a. Robust gw protection
 - b. Remove source

Risk reduction

2. PFP “off the books”
3. Gw systems
4. K-Basin

Page 4

Framing

- Believe we will be \$600-800 MM short of compliance for next several years
 - HAB needs to weigh in on what to do under a reduced budget
 - Repeated years create “bow wave”
- HAB priorities may be able to influence WTP baselining
- TPA & RCRA permit & consent decree is a great tool for getting funding to Hanford

Page 5

Budget Priority Criteria

Next Steps

1. Send out product
 - Ask for feedback
 2. Call to refine criteria
 3. Call RE weighting options
-
1. Providing DOE a methodology for prioritizing – ask them to use this (incorporate) in their prioritization process
 2. Narrow down prelim filtering & discriminating criteria
 3. Determine if Board wants to weight criteria
 4. Preamble “is advice”

Art, Liz, Maynard, Jerry, Dick, Harold

Page 6

Lifecycle Report Advice

Next Steps

- Susan cleans up background – sends to Jerry
- Jerry revises advice points – provides to Susan to send to committee Monday
- Tues – refine advice on Tues call

Page 7

Follow-Up

1. Diff between “compliance” budget and what DOE actually receives
2. Get knowledgeable about OMB/DOE scoring process & guidance (future advice opportunity)
3. Follow up on conversation – “HAB is generally pleased” with LSSC Report