

River and Plateau Committee
FY 2012 Issues Table *(Updated November 2012)*

Area	RAP Committee Area of Interest	Issue Manager(s) (*denotes lead)	Other interested committee members	Focus/Product For FY2012	Framing Questions/Issues (Articulated by Issue Managers)	Cross-cutting
River Corridor	100 & 300 Areas (in order of expected document release) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 300 Area • 100 K Area • 100 F Area • 100 D & H Areas • 100 N Area • 100 B/C Area 	Shelley Cimon Dale Engstrom* Liz Mattson Jean Vanni Gerry Pollet	Bob Suyama Wade Riggsbee	6 RODs RI/FS and Proposed Plans to be issued between now & 2016 Risk Assessments	Are there issues preventing regulatory agreement on contaminates of potential concern, the conceptual site model, assumptions and uncertainties? How is the integration working between soil and groundwater remediation? What are the potential technology needs?	PIC, HSEP (RAs)
	300 Area D&D 618-10 & 11 324 Building B-Cell	Pam Larsen*	Shelley Cimon Dale Engstrom Bob Suyama Maynard Plahuta	Review of ongoing work, and determination of need for future actions; remediation technologies for 618-10 & 11, 324 Building B-Cell	How clean is clean? What can be left and what is left? What are the lessons learned from 618-10 that can be applied to 618-11? What are the remediation technologies available for 618-10 & 11, and 324 Building B-Cell?	PIC
	K-Basin Sludge Disposition	Dick Smith Bob Suyama*	Dale Engstrom	Review of ongoing work, and determination of need for future actions	What is the ultimate disposition? What is the path forward? What are the results of the Sludge alternatives analysis? What are the priorities for K-Area cleanup?	
Central Plateau	Central Plateau Cleanup Strategy (includes prior issues on PW-1,3,6; CW-5; UW-1)	Pam Larsen* Dale Engstrom Steve Hudson (PIC)	Shelly Cimon Bob Suyama Maynard Plahuta Liz Mattson	Review of ongoing strategy development, and determination of need for future actions	What are the proposed timelines for the proposed plan and when is it appropriate for committee input? What are the characterization efforts? What are the removal actions and does the HAB support these? What are the HAB values? What are the potential technology needs?	PIC

River and Plateau Committee
FY 2012 Issues Table *(Updated November 2012)*

Area	RAP Committee Area of Interest	Issue Manager(s) (*denotes lead)	Other interested committee members	Focus/Product For FY2012	Framing Questions (Articulated by Issue Managers)	Cross-cutting
Central Plateau	Transuranic Waste (TRU) and M-91 that is going to WIPP	Dale Engstrom*	Jerry Peltier Harold Heacock	Review of ongoing work, and determination of need for future actions	How much treatment will be funded? Will there be a backlog? What are the characterization and treatment efforts and appropriate remedy? What is the status of remote and contact handled TRU waste scheduled for disposition at WIPP under M-91? What is the potential to lose our ability for disposition at WIPP?	TWC PIC
	Pre-1970 Transuranic Waste (TRU)	Dale Engstrom Vince Panesko* Liz Mattson	Maynard Plahuta Jerry Peltier Gerry Pollet Shelley Cimon Harold Heacock	Review of ongoing work, and determination of need for future actions SWBG/public mtgs	What is the CERCLA process for TRU waste? What are the volume estimates? What are the risk factors for leaving it in place? What are the adequate characterization efforts and appropriate remedies? How do we ensure that DOE is characterizing all potential sites?	PIC
	Plutonium Finishing Plant	Pam Larsen Vince Panesko*	Liz Mattson	Review of ongoing work, and determination of need for future actions	How are budget reductions affecting PFP cleanup schedules? Are there concerns with the draft Remedial Action Work Plan?	
	200 East Area BC Cribs	Dale Engstrom* Vince Panesko	Wade Riggsbee Jerry Peltier	Review of ongoing work, and determination of need for future actions	[To be determined]	
	UP-1 and ZP-1	Dale Engstrom* Maynard Plahuta		Advice and letter completed; tracking	[To be determined]	

River and Plateau Committee
FY 2012 Issues Table (Updated November 2012)

Area	RAP Committee Area of Interest	Issue Manager(s) (*denotes lead)	Other interested committee members	Focus/Product For FY2013	Framing Questions (Articulated by Issue Managers)	Cross-cutting
Cross-Cutting	Site-wide Permit	Liz Mattson (PIC)* Gerry Pollet (PIC) Jean Vanni	Vince Panesko Pam Larsen Steve Hudson (PIC)	Review Ecology response to comments Winter 2013	Monitor permit modifications	TWC PIC
	DOE-HQ Science & Technology Roadmap initiatives	Shelley Cimon* Pam Larsen	Bob Suyama Maynard Plahuta Steve Hudson	Identify potential for Board advice on priority initiatives for Hanford Site. Science & technology Sharing Forum	What are the initiatives? Couple identified needs with research dollars.	TWC
	Institutional Controls (IC) / Long-Term Stewardship (LTS) / Legacy Management (LM) / End States	Bob Suyama* Doug Mercer Jean Vanni	Vince Panesko Liz Mattson Jerry Peltier	Land transition between DOE-EM programs and contractors ROD conditions (potential advice)	In light of previous HAB Advice on Institutional Controls and Long Term Stewardship (#63, #132 & #141), is additional advice needed to reflect the Board's position on on-going closure decisions? Are Cultural Resources, and Fish and Wildlife IC related requirements covered in our existing advice? What actions have been taken at other Closure Sites? Have they been effective? What can be applied to Hanford? What are the estimated costs?	TWC PIC
	Groundwater, Deep Vadose Zone, Soil	Dale Engstrom* Shelley Cimon	Maynard Plahuta Vince Panesko Wade Riggsbee	Maintain awareness of: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • C-tank • Project teams 	TBD	TWC