

Hanford Advisory Board Contractor presentation Questions and comments submitted by HAB members:

Questions:

1. Why not keep the Washington Closure contractor team (River Corridor) to continue working on other waste sites instead of ramping down the contract?
2. What effect does the vapor issue have on efficiency / productivity and is the effect being budgeted for?
3. Present questions on the screen so we can read and digest them as the speakers respond.
4. Present a slide on the screen with the panelists' names, companies, titles if it cannot be presented on the agenda.
5. What are the vapors that are causing the problems?
6. MSA: 200,000 MW?
7. MSA: It is probably that the land use for Hanford will continue like a walking path all along the river. Have you considered keeping some on the infrastructure in place to support a string of "mini parks" along this trail with restroom and water?
8. CH2MHill: How concerned are you about the degraded concrete in WESF? This is a concern in an earthquake. Please contact PNNL to study the concrete degradation. This would be valuable data that would be used across the DOE complex nationwide.
9. When a long-term employee is laid off by one site employer and then hired by a different site employer does his previous benefit package go with him/her? Example: do the old benefit structures move with a long-term employee or are they considered a new hire?
10. How are the companies dealing with the different benefit levels now in place for long-term employees and for the new hires?
11. For WRPS: Does the 242A evaporator effluent contain tritium? If so, how much?
12. For WRPS: What is the disposal method for the evaporator effluent?

Comments:

13. Great presentations, nice to have more specificity. Did not like the staged/vetted quality of the Q&A. Would have been better to just do presentations with no Q&A and instead have agencies come up and very briefly comment on priorities for agencies (2 minutes) and then open up for Q&A with the Board.
14. I thought it could also work to follow contractor presentations with short (5 min) PowerPoints from each agency and then Q&A with HAB. It is important to have some interaction with decision makers at each full HAB meeting. I missed that interaction at this meeting.
15. Current format did not allow questions from Board Q&A session should be from Board members directly with some follow-up
16. Good to hear from contractors who are doing the work. Might be a good format for a once-a-year session.
17. Might want to consider a session with input from various tribes and other stakeholder organizations.