300 Area RI/FS Rev 0, Proposed Plan

- EPA provide info about MNA guidance, EnviroIssues distribute (Jean)
  - Proposed Plan Rev 0 out for public comment in mid-June, 30 day
  - Proposal 1 – Sounding Board for RAP captured in mtg summary & submitted during public comment period
    - Check with EIC (process)
    - No, hold on this

300 Area

- If ROD is delayed beyond September, consider developing advice (instead of committee Sounding Board)
- Proposal 2 – Short advice for June Board mtg
  - Show plan for evaluating effectiveness for preferred alt 3A

IMs: Dale*, Jean, Liz, Dan

ROD Development for 100-F Area

- Oct 2013 earliest – 100-F Proposed Plan Rev 0 for public comment (30 day) (Feb 2014 “more realistic”)
- ROD – April 2014 (hopefully, or maybe Sept 2014)
- Request to see/provide EPA comments on Draft A to RAP
  - Action: Develop advice on Draft A
    - Cont. develop at May RAP mtg
    - Anticipate for June Board mtg

IMs: Dale*, Jean, Liz, Dan, Bob, Shelley

Thoughts on Priorities for 2014/2015 Budget

“Prequel” discussion to Thursday’s BCC joint committee meeting

Point for BCC mtg: Jean

- Higher risk sites have higher mortgage costs
• River Corridor
  o Focus on highest risk first
  o Protect the river (pump & treat)
  o K Basin sludge
  o Close to river sites
• Central Plateau
  o PFP
  o TRU
  o PUREX

Budget Priorities cont.

“These are the criteria RAP (& the HAB) would use to make choices about priorities…”

• High risk – define what that means to HAB
• Take care of problems now to reduce future risk & cost
• It’s not important to shrink the site right now in a reduced budget time (e.g. LTS)
• Don’t spend cleanup $ on “not cleanup projects”

Budget Priorities cont.

• Things preventing achieving 2015 Vision are the high risk & high $ sites (e.g. 324 Building, K Basin)
  o “Getting off the river” is a product
• Address source material first
• Get mobile before immobile/stable (e.g. 618-10/11)
• Use proven over unproven remedies first
• Not identifying a particular cleanup item (e.g. vadose zone) does not mean RAP doesn’t think it should be addressed
• Need criteria for long-lived contaminants
  → Could be part of risk definition/evaluation

Land Transition at F Area

• Transition before the ROD & before cleanup is done? Discomfort with this process.
  o Public perception
• Bob* draft conceptual advice to either stand alone or incorporate into 100-F Draft A advice
For June Board mtg
Bob draft with Dale, Jean, Liz, Dan, Shelley

Reg Briefing on Comments on 100 D/H OU Proposed Plan Draft A

☐ Link to Ecy’s comments, send to RAP

Next steps
• Track & see how regulator comments incorporated
• Proposed Plan Rev 0 2015

BIN/FOLLOW UP

☐ Send link to past RCBRA advice
• 100 F Area LTS follow up with Keith Grindstaff (in a couple months)

May
• 300 Area advice development
• F Area advice development
  o Draft A
  o LTS
• Looking forward from TC&WM EIS gw modeling

June
• PNNL Deep Vadose Zone technologies (PNNL)
• Orchard lands OU work plan
  o Tiffany check if available
• 100 K West sludge – update
• Outcome of 2014 Budget
  o Have priorities changed based on budget

July – typically off month
No mtg
August

- ASCEM & Phoenix modeling/platform
- Draft work plan for BC cribs WA-1 RI/FS
  Cleanup BC control area

OTHER: Larry Gadbois’ (EPA) notes on modeling

EIS
- Modflow (does not handle dynamic river)
- Particle tracking
  Used Tech Guidance Document (DOE & Ecology)

300 Area
- STOMP 2-D for uranium (does handle dynamic river)
  Reactive Transport

100 Area
- STOMP 1-D
  Reactive Transport

300 Area & 100 Area: EIS did not affect proposed cleanup levels