200 UP-1

1. Provide Dale with any issues on 200 UP-1 (thru Susan H.)
   - Dale w/track

2. Wait until RI/FS released to determine how issues were addressed and need for advice
   (potentially June Board mtg)

3. HAB provided a letter to EPA to provide to R.R.B. – referenced HAB advice relevant to this.

200 UP-1 (cont’d)

4. HAB members should keep an eye on budget support for GW cleanup

5. Look for agencies to make commitment to add more wells as needed (such a commitment could
   be expressed in the ROD)

300 Area

Potential Advice Points

Not yet

- Don’t like Alternative 3
  → Prefer a combination of RTD of hot spots, then use sequestration in other areas.

- Don’t believe the basis is correct for choosing an alternative

- Don’t think you’ve done enough risk assessment to inform a decision

- Looking for more transparency

300 Area (cont’d)

- Fundamental concern with remediation technology (Background)
  
  Recommend amendment to interim ROD and check back before 5 years.
  
  → Test technology before it is included in a final ROD

  Restate RTD values

- Sequestration feels like a mitigation, not a remedy (Background)
300 Area Advice -- Next steps

1. Provide high level advice in April (circled advice points)
2. Provide more detailed advice in June
3. Issue managers will collect more issues from RAP members before developing advice for June

Site-Wide Permit Follow-Up

1. Is the list of HAB topics on the agenda correct? Should topics be added/deleted?
2. Expression of interest to present on a topic
   
   Other Input
   
   • May be too many topics for allocated time
   • May have extra time during a.m. session

   Next Steps
   
   • On April agenda (if committee meets)
   • IM mtg before April RAP mtg

DOE Response – Advice 242

1. More specifically ask for accountability thru contracts/employees (provide examples)
2. Request a presentation to the committee/HAB on how DOE/contractors are accountable (?)
3. Develop advice that asks DOE to include incentive in award fee for preservation (could be problematic – hold on this idea)
4. “The Board advises DOE to respond to advice pts consistently/equally”

   Next Steps for 242 Response
   
   • Bring letter forward to the April Board mtg
   • Follow up with advice later, if needed
Follow-Up

1. Distribute 200 UP-1 presentation to committee (Emy to fix titles on cost slide before Susan H. distributes)

2. Susan to send HAB letter re: 200 UP-1 RRB to EPA → send to committee with agency cc’s

3. Dale to send Susan H. draft 300 Area (high level) advice by March 16; Susan H. will distribute to RAP

4. Use of alternative requirements in SW Permit (IMs)

5. Mike to provide 1 page that describes numeric amounts of uranium coming from a variety of sources (by source) into the Columbia River. Susan H. to distribute to RAP
   → Also provide solubility of autunite

6. Susan H. to send out potential advice bullets 300 Area (Wed)

Follow-Up (cont’d)

7. Susan H. to send out draft agenda & HAB topics discussion paper to RAP – solicit feedback & volunteers by March 16. Jean & Liz help draft email.

8. Let Ecology (Madeleine) know if you are interested in a permit presentation (outreach opportunity)

9. Pam to work w/ Maynard on adding points to 242 Response Letter. Susan H. will send to RAP by March 9th, comments due by March 16th